# HINDUISM AND BUDDHISM VOLUME II

## HINDUISM AND BUDDHISM AN HISTORICAL SKETCH

### BY SIR CHARLES ELIOT

In three volumes VOLUME II



LONDON
ROUTLEDGE & KEGAN PAUL LTD
Broadway House, 68-74 Carter Lane

First published 1921
Reprinted 1954
Reprinted 1957
Reprinted 1962
Reprinted 1968

Printed in Great Britain
by Lone & Brydone (Printers) Ltd., London

### CONTENTS

#### BOOK IV

	THE MAHAYANA			
OHAPTER			P	AGE
XVI.	MAIN FEATURES OF THE MAHAYANA .	•	•	3
XVII.	BODHISATTVAS	•	•	7
XVIII.	THE BUDDHAS OF MAHAYANISM	•	•	26
XIX.	Mahayanist Metaphysics	•	•	36
XX	Mahayanist Scriptures		•	47
XXI	CHRONOLOGY OF THE MAHAYANA	•		63
XXII.	From Kanishka to Vasubandhu .			76
XXIII.	INDIAN BUDDHISM AS SEEN BY THE	CHIN	ese	
	Prigress	•		90
XXIV.	DECADENCE OF BUDDHISM IN INDIA .			107
	BOOK V			
	HINDUISM			
XXV	ŚIVA AND VISHNU			136
XXVI.	FEATURES OF HINDUISM RITUAL, CAST	e, S	ECT,	
	FAITH			166
XXVII.	. The Evolution of Hinduism Bhagav.	ATAS .	AND	
	Pāśupatas			186
XXVIII	Sankaba Sivaism in Southern India	Kash	MIR.	
	Lingâyats		•	206
XXIX	Vishnuism in South India			228
XXX	LATER VISHNUISM IN NORTH INDIA .			242
XXXI	Analgamation of Hinduism and Islan	1. K	ABIR	
	and the Sikes			262
XXXII	I. Śārtism			274
VVVII	T TT Th			

# BOOK IV THE MAHAYANA



#### CHAPTER XVI

#### MAIN FEATURES OF THE MAHAYANA

THE obscurest period in the history of Buddhism is that which follows the reign of Asoka, but the enquirer cannot grope for long in these dark ages without stumbling upon the word Mahavana This is the name given to a movement which in its various phases may be regarded as a philosophical school, a sect and a church, and though it is not always easy to define its relationship to other schools and sects it certainly became a prominent aspect of Buddhism in India about the beginning of our era besides achieving enduring triumphs in the Far East. The word signifies Great Vehicle or Carriage, that is a means of conveyance to salvation, and is contrasted with Hinayana. the Little Vehicle, a name bestowed on the more conservative party though not willingly accepted by them. The simplest description of the two Vehicles is that given by the Chinese traveller I-Ching (635-713 AD) who saw them both as living realities in India He says2 "Those who worship Bodhısattvas and read Mahayana Sutras are called Mahayanists, while those who do not do this are called Hinayanists." In other words. the Mahayanists have scriptures of their own, not included in the Hinayanist Canon and adore superhuman beings in the stage of existence immediately below Buddhahood and practically differing little from Indian derties Many characteristics could be added to I-Ching's description but they might not prove universally true of the Mahayana nor entirely absent from the Hinayana, for however divergent the two Vehicles may have become when separated geographically, for instance in Ceylon and Japan, it is clear that when they were in contact, as in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Sanskrit, Maháyána, Chinese, Ta Ch'éng (pronounced Ta: Shéng in many southern provinces), Japanese, Dat-jo; Tibetan, They-pa-chen-po, Mongolian, Yālā kālyān Sanskrit, Hinayāna; Chinese, Heiao-Ch'éng, Japanese, Shō-yō; Tibetan, Theg-dman, Mongolian Utsalan-Lulgan In Sanskrit the synonyms agrayans and uttams-yans are also found.

Record of Buddhist practices Transl Takakusu, 1896, p 14 Hauan Chuang seems to have thought that acceptance of the Yogacaryabhum: (Nanno, 1170) was essential for a Mahayamst Sec his life, transl by Beal, p 39, transl by Julien, p 50

India and China, the distinction was not always sharp But in general the Mahayana was more popular, not in the sense of being simpler, for parts of its teaching were exceedingly abstruse. but in the sense of striving to invent or include doctrines agreeable to the masses. It was less monastie than the older Buddhism, and more emotional, warmer in chanty, more personal in devotion, more ornate in art, literature and ritual, more disposed to evolution and development, whereas the Hinayana was conservative and rigid, secluded in its cloisters and open to the plausible if unjust accusation of selfishness. The two sections are sometimes described as northern and southern Buddhism, but except as a rough description of their distribution at the present day, this distinction is not accurate. for the Mahayana penetrated to Java, while the Hinayana reached Central Asia and China But it is true that the development of the Mahayana was due to influences prevalent in northern India and not equally prevalent in the South. The terms Palı and Sanskrit Buddhism are convenient and as accurate as can be expected of any nomenclature covering so large a field

Though European writers usually talk of two Yanas or Vehicles—the great and the little—and though this is clearly the important distinction for historical purposes, yet Indian and Chinese Buddhists frequently enumerate three These are the Srdvakayana, the vehicle of the ordinary Bhikshu who hopes to become an Arhat, the Pratuekabuddhaudna for the rare beings who are able to become Buddhas but do not preach the law to others, and in contrast to both of these the Mahdyana or vehicle of Buddhas and Bodhisattvas As a rule these three Vehicles are not regarded as hostile or even incompatible. Thus the Lotus sutra1, maintains that there is really but one vehicle though by a wise concession to human weakness the Buddha lets it appear that there are three to suit divers tastes. And the Mahayana is not a single vehicle but rather a train comprising many carriages of different classes It has an unfortunate but distinct later phase known in Sanskrit as Mantrayana and Vajrayana but generally described by Europeans as Tantrism. This phase took some of the worst features in Hinduism, such

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Saddharma Pundarika, chap 111 For brevity, I usually cite this work by the title of The Lotus

as spells, charms, and the worship of goddesses, and with misplaced ingenuity fitted them into Buddhism. I shall treat of it in a subsequent chapter, for it is chronologically late. The silence of Hsuan Chuang and I-Ching implies that in the seventh century it was not a noticeable aspect of Indian Buddhism.

Although the record of the Mahayana in literature and art is clear and even brilliant, it is not easy either to trace its rise or connect its development with other events in India. Its annals are an interminable list of names and doctrines, but bring before us few living personalities and hence are dull. They are like a record of the Christian Church's fight against Arians, Monophysites and Nestorians with all the great figures of Byzantine history omitted or called in question Hence I fear that my readers (if I have any) may find these chapters repellent. a mist of hypotheses and a catalogue of ancient paradoxes. I can only urge that if the history of the Mahayana is uncertain. its teaching fanciful and its soriptures tedious, yet it has been a force of the first magnitude in the secular history and art of China, Japan and Tibet and even to-day the most metaphysical of its sacred books, the Diamond Cutter, has probably more readers than Kant and Hegel

Since the early history of the Mahayana is a matter for argument rather than precise statement, it will perhaps be best to begin with some account of its doctrines and literature and proceed afterwards to chronology I may, however, mention that general tradition connects it with King Kanishka and asserts that the great doctors Aśvaghosha and Nagarjuna lived m and immediately after his reign The attitude of Kanishka and of the Council which he summoned towards the Mahayana is far from clear and I shall say something about this difficult subject below Unfortunately his date is not beyond dispute for while a considerable consensus of opinion fixes his accession at about 78 A D., some scholars place it earlier and others in the second century A.D.1 Apart from this, it appears established that the Sukhavati-vytha which is definitely Mahayanist was translated into Chinese between 147 and 186 AD. We may assume that it was then already well known and had been composed some time before, so that, whatever Kanishka's date may

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The data 58 n c has probably few supporters among scholars now, especially after Marshall's discoveries

have been, Mahayanist doctrines must have been in existence about the time of the Christian era, and porhaps considerably earlier. Naturally no one date like a reign or a council can be selected to mark the beginning of a great school. Such a body of doctrine must have existed piecemeal and unauthorized before it was collected and recognized and some tenets are older than others. Enlarging I-Ching's definition we may find in the Mahayana seven lines of thought or practice. All are not found in all sects and some are shared with the Hinayana but probably none are found fully developed outside the Mahayana. Many of them have parallels in the contemporary phases of Hinduism.

- 1. A belief in Bodhisattvas and in the power of human beings to become Bodhisattvas.
- 2 A code of altrustic ethics which teaches that everyone must do good in the interest of the whole world and make over to others any merit he may acquire by his virtues. The aim of the religious life is to become a Bodhisattva, not to become an Arhat.
- 3 A doctrine that Buddhas are supernatural beings, distributed through infinite space and time, and innumerable. In the language of later theology a Buddha has three bodies and still later there is a group of five Buddhas
- 4 Various systems of idealist metaphysics, which tend to regard the Buddha essence or Nirvana much as Brahman is regarded in the Vedanta
- 5 A canon composed in Sanskrit and apparently later than the Pali Canon
- 6 Habitual worship of images and elaboration of ritual. There is a dangerous tendency to rely on formulæ and charms.
- 7. A special doctrine of salvation by faith in a Buddha, usually Amitabha, and invocation of his name. Mahayanism can exist without this doctrine but it is tolerated by most sects and considered essential by some

#### CHAPTER XVII

#### BODHISATTVAS

LET us now consider these doctrines and take first the worship of Bodhisattvas. This word means one whose essence is knowledge but is used in the technical sense of a being who is in process of obtaining but has not yet obtained Buddhahood. The Palı Canon shows little interest in the personality of Bodhisattvas and regards them simply as the preliminary or larval form of a Buddha, either Såkyamumi or some of his predecessors It was incredible that a being so superior to ordinary humanity as a Buddha should be suddenly produced in a human family nor could he be regarded as an incarnation in the strict sense But it was both logical and edifying to suppose that he was the product of a long evolution of virtue, of good deeds and noble resolutions extending through countless ages and culminating in a being superior to the Devas. Such a being awaited in the Tushita heaven the time fixed for his appearance on earth as a Buddha and his birth was accompanied by marvels But though the Palı Canon thus recognizes the Bodhisattva as a type which, if rare, yet makes its appearance at certain intervals, it leaves the matter there. It is not suggested that saints should try to become Bodhisattvas and Buddhas, or that Bodhisattvas can be helpers of mankind2. But both these trains of thought are natural developments of the older ideas and soon made themselves prominent. It is a characteristic doctrine of Mahayanism that men can try and should try to become Bodhisattvas.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In dealing with the Mahayamsts, I use the expression Såkyamuni in preference to Gotama. It is their own title for the teacher and it seems incongruous to use the purely human name of Gotama in describing doctrines which represent him as superhuman.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> But Kings Hain byu-shin of Burma and Sri Süryavamaa Rāma of Siam have left inscriptions recording their desire to become Buddhas. See my chapters on Burma and Siam below. Mahayamat ideas may easily have entered these countries from China, but even in Ceylon the idea of becoming a Buddha or Bodhisattva is not unknown. See Manual of a Mystic (PTS 1916), pp xviii and 140

In the Palı Canon we hear of Arhats, Pacceka Buddhas, and perfect Buddhas For all three the ultimate goal is the same, namely Nirvana, but a Pacceka Buddha is greater than an Arhat, because he has greater intellectual powers though he is not omniscient, and a perfect Buddha is greater still, partly because he is omniscient and partly because he saves others But if we admit that the career of the Buddha is better and nobler, and also that it is, as the Introduction to the Jataka recounts, simply the result of an earnest resolution to school himself and help others, kept firmly through the long chain of existences, there is nothing illogical or presumptuous in making our goal not the quest of personal salvation, but the attainment of Bodhisattvaship, that is the state of those who may aspire to become Buddhas. In fact the Arhat, engrossed in his own salvation, is excused only by his humility and is open to the charge of selfish desire, since the passion for Nirvana is an ambition like any other and the quest for salvation can be best followed by devoting oneself entirely to others But though my object here is to render intelligible the Mahayanist point of view including its objections to Hinayanism. I must defend the latter from the accusation of selfishness The vigorous and authoritative character of Gotama led him to regard all mankind as patients requiring treatment and to emphasize the truth that they could cure themselves if they would try But the Buddhism of the Pah Canon does not ignore the duties of loving and instructing others1; it merely insists on man's power to save himself if properly instructed and bids him do it at once "sell all that thou hast and follow me" And the Mahayana, if less self-centred, has also less self-rehance, and self-discipline It is more human and charitable, but also more easygoing. it teaches the believer to lean on external supports which if well chosen may be a help, but if trusted without discrimination become paralyzing abuses And if we look at the abuses of both systems the fossilized monk of the Hinayana will compare favourably

<sup>1</sup> E g in Itavnttakam 75, there is a description of the man who is like a drought and gives nothing, the man who is like rain in a certain district and the man who is Sabhabhûtânukampako, compassionate to all creatures, and like ram falling everywhere Similarly ib 84, and elsewhere, we have descriptions of persons (ordinary disciples as well as Buddhas) who are born for the welfare of gods and men bahujanahitâya, bahujanasikhâya, lokânukampâya, atthâya, hitâya, sukhâya deyamanussânam

Ø

with the tantric adept. It was to the corruptions of the Mahayana rather than of the Hinayana that the decay of Buddhism in India was due.

The career of the Bodhisattva was early divided into stages (bhûmi) each marked by the acquisition of some virtue in his triumphant course. The stages are variously reckoned as five. seven and ten The Mahavastu1, which is the earliest work where the progress is described, enumerates ten without distinguishing them very clearly. Later writers commonly look at the Bodhisattva's task from the humbler point of view of the beginner who wishes to learn the initiatory stages. For them the Bodhisattva is primarily not a supernatural being or even a saint but simply a religious person who wishes to perform the duties and enjoy the privileges of the Church to the full, much like a communicant in the language of contemporary Christianity. We have a manual for those who would follow this path, in the Bodhicaryavatara of Santideva, which in its humility, sweetness and fervent piety has been rightly compared with the De Imitatione Christi. In many respects the virtues of the Bodhisattva are those of the Arhat His will must be strenuous and concentrated; he must cultivate the strictest morality, patience, energy, meditation and knowledge. But he is also a devotee, a bhakta. he adores all the Buddhas of the past, present and future as well as sundry superhuman Bodhisattvas, and he confesses his sins, not after the fashion of the Pâtimokkha, but by accusing himself before these heavenly Protectors and vowing to sin no more

Santideva lived in the seventh century<sup>2</sup> but tells us that he follows the scriptures and has nothing new to say. This seems to be true for, though his book being a manual of devotion presents its subject-matter in a dogmatic form, its main ideas are stated and even elaborated in the Lotus. Not only are eminent figures in the Church, such as Sanputra and Ananda, there designated as future Buddhas, but the same dignity is predicted wholesale for five hundred and again for two thousand

1 Ed Senart, vol. 1 p 142

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Bodhicary avatara was edited by Minayeff, 1889 and also in the Journal of the Buddhist Text Society and the Bibliotheca Indica De la Vallée Poussin published parts of the text and commentary in his Bouddhisme and also a translation in 1907

monks while in Chapter x is sketched the course to be followed by "young men or young ladies of good family" who wish to become Bodhisattvas<sup>1</sup> The chief difference is that the Bodhiearyavatara portrays a more spiritual life, it speaks more of devotion, less of the million shapes that compose the heavenly host more of love and wisdom, less of the merits of reading particular satras. While rendering to it and the faith that produced it all honour, we must remember that it is typical of the Mahayana only in the sense that the De Imitatione Christi is typical of Roman Catholicism, for both faiths have other sides

Santideva's Bodhisattva, when conceiving the thought of Bodhi or eventual supreme enlightenment to be obtained, it may be, only after numberless births, feels first a sympathetic lov in the good actions of all living beings He addresses to the Buddhas a prayer which is not a mere act of commemoration, but a request to preach the law and to defer their entrance into Nirvana He then makes over to others whatever ment he may possess or acquire and offers himself and all his possessions, moral and material, as a sacrifice for the salvation of all beings This on the one hand does not much exceed the limits of danam or the virtue of giving as practised by Sakyamuni in previous births according to the Pali scriptures, but on the other it contains in embryo the doctrine of vicarious ment and salvation through a saviour The older tradition admits that the future Buddha (eg in the Vessantara birth-story) gives all that is asked from him including life, wife and children To consider the surrender and transfer of ment (pattidana in Pali) as parallel is a natural though perhaps false analogy But the transfer of Karma is not altogether foreign to Brahmanic thought, for it is held that a wife may share in her husband's Karma nor is it wholly unknown to Sinhalese Buddhism<sup>2</sup> After thus deliberately rejecting all personal success and selfish aims, the neophyte makes a vow (pranidhana) to acquire enlightenment for the good of all beings and not to swerve from the rules of life and faith requisite for this end He is then a "son

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The career of the Bodhisativa is also discussed in detail in the Avatamsalasütra and in works attributed to N\u00e4g\u00e4rjuna and Sthiramati, the Lakshana vimuktahridaya-\u00e4\u00e4stra and the Meb\u00e4y\u00e4na-dharma-dh\u00e4tvavi\u00e4sshata \u00e4\u00e4stra I only know of these works as quoted by Teitaro Suzuki
2 See Childers, Pah Dict av Patti, Pattianuppad\u00e4nam end Pu\u00e4\u00fan

of Buddha," a phrase which is merely a natural metaphor for saying that he is one of the household of faith<sup>1</sup> but still paves the way to later ideas which make the celestial Bodhisattva an emanation or spiritual son of a celestial Buddha.

Asanga gives<sup>2</sup> a more technical and scholastic description of the ten bhûmis or stages which mark the Bodhisattva's progress towards complete enlightenment and culminate in a phase bearing the remarkable but ancient name of Dharmamegha known also to the Yoga philosophy The other stages are called: muditâ (joyful). vimalâ (immaculate). prabhâkarî (light giving). arcismatî (radiant) durjaya (hard to gain) abhimukhî (facing, because it faces both transmigration and Nirvana). dûramgamâ (far-going) acalâ (immovable) sâdhumatî (good minded).

The mearnate Buddhas and Bodhisattvas of Tibet are a travesty of the Mahayana which on Indian soil adhered to the sound doctrine that saints are known by their achievements as men and cannot be selected among infant prodigies3. It was the general though not universal opinion that one who had entered on the career of a Bodhisattva could not fall so low as to be reborn in any state of punishment, but the spirit of humility and self-effacement which has always marked the Buddhist ideal tended to represent his triumph as incalculably distant Meanwhile, although in the whirl of births he was on the upward grade, he yet had his ups and downs and there is no evidence that Indian or Far Eastern Buddhists arrogated to themselves special claums and powers on the ground that they were well advanced in the career of Buddhahood The vow to suppress self and follow the light not only in this life but in all future births contains an element of faith or fantasy, but has any religion formed a nobler or even equivalent picture of the soul's destiny or built a better staircase from the world of men to the immeasurable spheres of the superhuman?

One aspect of the story of Såkyamuni and his antecedent births thus led to the idea that all may become Buddhas. An

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It occurs in the Pah Canon, e.g. Itivuttakam 100 Tassa me tumbe puttā orasā, mukhato jātā, dhammayā

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  See Sylvam Lévi, Maháyána-sátrálan Lára introduction and passim. For much additional information about the Bhūmis see De la Vallee Poussin's article "Bodhisativa" in  $E\ R\ E$ 

Emment doctors such as N\u00e5g\u00e5rjuna and Asanga are often described as Bodhi sattvas just as emment Hmdu texohers, e.g Cartanya, are described as Avat\u00e5ras.

equally natural development in another direction created celestial and superhuman Bodhisattvas The Hinayana held that Gotama, before his last birth, dwelt in the Tushita heaven enjoying the power and splendour of an Indian god and it looked forward to the advent of Maitreya. But it admitted no other Bodhisattvas, a consequence apparently of the doctrine that there can only be one Buddha at a time But the luxuriant fancy of India, which loves to multiply divinities, soon broke through this restriction and fashioned for itself beautiful images of benevolent beings who refuse the bliss of Nirvana that they may alleviate the sufferings of others1 So far as we can judge, the figures of these Bodhisattvas took shape just about the same time that the personalities of Vishnu and Siva were acquiring consistency. The impulse in both cases is the same, namely the desire to express in a form accessible to human prayer and sympathetic to human emotion the forces which rule the universe But in this work of portraiture the Buddhists laid more emphasis on moral and spiritual law than did the Brahmans they isolated in personification qualities not found isolated in nature Siva is the law of change, of death and rebirth, with all the riot of slaughter and priapism which it entails Vishnu is the protector and preserver, the type of good energy warring against evil, but the unity of the figure is smothered by mythology and broken up into various mearnations But Avalokita and Manjusri, though they had not such strong roots in Indian humanity as Siva and Vishnu, are genii of purer and brighter presence. They are the personifications of kindness and knowledge Though manifold in shape, they have little to do with mythology, and are analogous to the archangels of Christian and Jewish tradition and to the Amesha Spentas of Zoroastrianism With these latter they may have some historical connection, for Persian ideas may well have influenced Buddhism about the time of the Christian era However difficult it may be to prove the foreign origin of Bodhisattvas, few of them have a clear origin in India and all of them

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The idea that Arhats may postpone their entry into Nirvana for the good of the world is not unknown to the Pah Canon According to the Maha Parm Sutta the Buddha himself might have done so Legends which cannot be called definitely Mahayanut relate how Pindola and others are to tarry until Maitreya come and how Kâiyapa in a less active rôle awaits him in a cave or tomb, ready to revive at his advent See J A 1916, in pp 196, 270

are much better known in Central Asia and China. But they are represented with the appearance and attributes of Indian Devas, as is natural, since even in the Pali Canon Devas form the Buddha's retinue. The early Buddhists considered that these spirits, whether called Bodhisattvas or Devas, had attained their high position in the same way as Sâkyamum himself, that is by the practice of moral and intellectual virtues through countless existences, but subsequently they came to be regarded as emanations or sons of superhuman Buddhas. Thus the Kâranda-vyûha relates how the original Âdi-Buddha produced Avalokita by meditation and how he in his turn produced the universe with its gods.

Millions of unnamed Bodhisattvas are freely mentioned and even in the older books copious lists of names are found<sup>1</sup>, but two, Avalokita and Manjuśri, tower above the rest, among whom only few have a definite personality. The tantric school counts eight of the first rank. Maitreya (who does not stand on the same footing as the others), Samantabhadra, Mahâsthânaprâpta and above all Kshitigarbha, have some importance,

especially in China and Japan.

Avalokita2 in many forms and in many ages has been one of the principal deities of Asia but his origin is obscure. His main attributes are plain. He is the personification of divine mercy and pity but even the meaning of his name is doubtful. In its full form it is Avalokitesvara, often rendered the Lord who looks down (from heaven). This is an appropriate title for the God of Mercy, but the obvious meaning of the participle avalokita in Sanskrit is passive, the Lord who is looked at. Kern<sup>3</sup> thinks it may mean the Lord who is everywhere visible as a very present help in trouble, or else the Lord of View, like the epithet Drishtiguru applied to Siva. Another form of the name is Lokesvara or Lord of the world and this suggests that avaloksta may be a synonym of loka, meaning the visible umverse. It has also been suggested that the name may refer to the small image of Amitabha which is set in his diadem and thus looks down on him. But such small images set in the head of a larger figure are not distinctive of Avalokita: they are found

1 Eg Lotus, chap r

De la Vallée Pousan's article "Avalokita" in E R E. may be consulted.
 Lotus, S.B B. xxi p 407.

in other Buddhist statues and paintings and also outside India, for instance at Palmyra. The Tibetan translation of the name means he who sees with bright eyes. Hsuan Chuang's rendering Kwan-tzū-tsai expresses the same idea, but the more usual Chinese translation Kuan-yin or Kuan-shih-yin, the deity who looks upon voices or the region of voices, seems to imply a verbal misunderstanding. For the use of Yin or voice makes us suspect that the translator identified the last part of Avalokite-fraga not with Israra lord but with swara sound.

Avalokitesvara is unknown to the Pali Canon and the Milinda Pañha So far as I can discover he is not mentioned in the Divvavadana, Jatakamala or any work attributed to Aśvaghosha His name does not occur in the Lalita-vistara but a list of Bodhisattvas in its introductory chapter includes Mahâkarunûcandın, suggesting Mahâkaruna, the Great Compassionate, which is one of his epithets. In the Lotust he is placed second in the introductory list of Bodhisattvas after Manjusti But Chapter xxIV, which is probably a later addition, is dedicated to his praises as Samantamukha, he who looks every way or the omnipresent. In this section his character as the all-merciful saviour is fully developed. He saves those who call on him from shipwreck, and execution, from robbers and all violence and distress He saves too from moral evils, such as passion, hatred and folly He grants children to women who worship him. This power, which is commonly exercised by female derties, is worth remarking as a hint of his subsequent transformation into a goddess. For the better achievement of his merciful deeds, he assumes all manner of forms, and appears in the guise of a Buddha, a Bodhisattva, a Hindu deity, a goblin, or a Brahman and in fact in any shape. This chapter was translated into Chinese before 417 A D and therefore can hardly be later than 350. He is also mentioned in the Sukhavati-vyûha.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> aPyan ras gzigs rendered in Mongol by Nidubär udzākei. The other common Mongol name Ariobalo appears to be a corruption of Arjávalokita.

a Meaning apparently the seeing and self existent one Cf Ta-tzü-teai as a name

<sup>3</sup> A maidscreant in the drama Mālatīmādhava is called Avalokitā. It is not clear whether it is a feminino form of the divine name or an adjective meaning looked at, or admirable

<sup>4</sup> S B E XXI. pp 4 and 406 ff It was translated in Chinese between A D 265 and 316 and chap XXIV was separately translated between A D 384 and 417. See Namio, Catalogue Nos. 130, 137, 138

The records of the Chinese pilgrims Fa-Hsien and Hsuan Chuang¹ indicate that his worship prevailed in India from the fourth till the seventh century and we are perhaps justified in dating its beginnings at least two centuries earlier. But the absence of any mention of it in the writings of Aévaghosha is remarkable².

Avalokita is connected with a mountain called Potala or Potalaka. The name is borne by the palace of the Grand Lama at Lhassa and by another Lamaistic establishment at Jehol in north China. It reappears in the sacred island of P'u-t'o near Ningpo. In all these cases the name of Avalokita's Indian residence has been transferred to foreign shrines. In India there were at least two places called Potala or Potalaka-one at the mouth of the Indus and one in the south. No certain connection has been traced between the former and the Bodhisattva but in the seventh century the latter was regarded as his abode. Our information about it comes mainly from Hsuan Chuang3 who describes it when speaking of the Malakuta country and as near the Mo-lo-ya (Malaya) mountain. But apparently he did not visit it and this makes it probable that it was not a religious centre but a mountain in the south of which Buddhists in the north wrote with little precision. There is no evidence that Avalokita was first worshipped on this Potalaka, though he is often associated with mountains such as Kapota in Magadha and Valavati in Kataha<sup>5</sup>. In fact the connection of Potala with Avalokita remains a mystery.

Avalokita has, like most Bodhisattvas, many names. Among the principal are Mahâkaruna, the Great Compassionate one, Lokanâtha or Lokeśvara, the Lord of the world, and Padmapâni, or lotus-handed. This last refers to his appearance as portrayed in statues and miniatures. In the older works of art his figure

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Hsüan Chuang (Watters, 11 215, 224) relates how an Indian sage recited the Sui-han dhâram before Kuan-tzū-tsai's image for three years

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> As will be noticed from time to time in these pages, the sudden appearance of new deities in Indian literature often seems atrange. The fact is that until derives are generally recognized, standard works pay no attention to them.

Watters, vol n pp 228 ff It is and that Potalaka is also mentioned in the Rws yen-ching or Avatamsaka sûtra Tibetan tradition connects it with the Sâkya family. See Csoma de Koros, Tibetan studies reprinted 1912, pp 32–34

Just as the Lankavatāra sûtra purports to have been delivered at Lankapurasamudra-malaya-šikhara rendered in the Chinese translation as "in the city of Lanka on the summit of the Malaya mountain on the border of the sea "

See Foucher, Iconographie bouddhigue, 1900, pp 100, 102.

is human, without redundant limbs, and represents a youth in the costume of an Indian prince with a high jewelled chignon, or sometimes a crown The head-dress is usually surmounted by a small figure of Amitâbha His right hand is extended in the position known as the gesture of charity! In his left he carries a red lotus and he often stands on a larger blossom. His complexion is white or red Sometimes he has four arms and in later images a great number. He then carries besides the lotus such objects as a book, a rosary and a jug of nectar?

The images with many eyes and arms seem an attempt to represent him as looking after the unhappy in all quarters and stretching out his hands in help<sup>3</sup> It is doubtful if the Bodhisattvas of the Gandhara sculptures, though approaching the type of Avalokita, represent him rather than any other, but nearly all the Buddhist sites of India contain representations of him which date from the early centuries of our era<sup>4</sup> and others are preserved in the miniatures of manuscripts<sup>5</sup>.

He is not a mere adaptation of any one Hindu god Some of his attributes are also those of Brahmā. Though in some late texts he is said to have evolved the world from himself, his characteristic function is not to create but, like Vishnu, to save and like Vishnu he holds a lotus. But also he has the title of Isvara, which is specially applied to Siva. Thus he does not issue from any local cult and has no single mythological pedigree but is the idea of divine compassion represented with such materials as the art and mythology of the day offered

He is often accompanied by a female figure Târâ<sup>6</sup> In the tantric period she is recognized as his spouse and her images, common in northern India from the seventh century onwards,

<sup>1</sup> Varamudra

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> These as well as the red colour are attributes of the Hindu deity Brahmā

<sup>\*</sup> A temple on the north side of the lake in the Imperial City at Peking contains a gigantic image of him which has literally a thousand heads and a thousand hands. This monstrous figure is a warning against an attempt to represent metaphors literally.

Waddell on the Cult of Avalokita, JRAS 1894, pp 51 ff thinks they are not earlier than the fifth century

See especially Foucher, Iconographic Bouddhique, Paris, 1900

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> See especially de Blonay, Études pour serur à l'histoire de la décese bouddhque Téré, Pans, 1895 Târê continned to be worshipped as a Hindu goddess after Buddhism had disappeared and several works were written in her honour See Raj Mitra, Search for Sk. MSS iv 168, 171, x 67

show that she was adored as a female Bodhisattva. In Tibet Tara is an important derty who assumes many forms and even before the tantric influence had become prominent she seems to have been associated with Avalokita. In the Dharmasangraha she is named as one of the four Devîs, and she is mentioned twice under the name of To-lo Pu-sa by Hsuan Chuang, who saw a statue of her in Vaisali and another at Tiladhaka in Magadha. This last stood on the right of a gigantic figure of Buddha, Avalokita being on his left.

Hsuan Chuang distinguishes To-lo (Târâ) and Kuan-tzŭ-tsai. The latter under the name of Kuan-yin or Kwannon has become the most popular goddess of China and Japan, but is apparently a form of Avalokita. The god in his desire to help mankind assumes many shapes and, among these, divine womanhood has by the suffrage of millions been judged the most appropriate. But Târâ was not originally the same as Kuan-yin, though the fact that she accompanies Avalokita and shares his attributes may have made it easier to think of him in female form<sup>2</sup>.

The circumstances in which Avalokita became a goddess are obscure. The Indian images of him are not feminine, although his sex is hardly noticed before the tantric period. He is not a male deity like Krishna, but a strong, bright spirit and like the Christian archangels above sexual distinctions. No female form of him is reported from Tibet and this confirms the idea that none was known in India<sup>3</sup>, and that the change was made in China. It was probably facilitated by the worship of Târâ and of Hâritî, an ogress who was converted by the Buddha and is frequently represented in her regenerate state caressing a child.

About the time of Hsüan Chuang's travels Sarvajüämitra wrote a hymn to Tärä which has been preserved and published by de Blonay, 1894

Chinese Buddhists say Tārā and Kuan-Yim are the same but the difference between them is this Tārā is an Indian and Lamaist goddess associated with Avalokita and in origin analogous to the Saktis of Tantrism Kuan-yin is a female form of Avalokita who can assume all shapes The original Kuan-yin was a male deity: male Kuan-yins are not unknown in China and are said to be the rule in Korea But Tārā and Kuan-yin may justly be described as the same in so far as they are attempts to embody the idea of divine pity in a Madonna

But many scholars think that the formula Om manipadine hum, which is supposed to be addressed to Avolokita, is really an invocation to a form of Sakti called Manipadina A Nepalese inscription says that "The Saktas call him Sakti" (ERE vol 11 p 260 and JA IX 192), but this may be merely a way of saying that he is identical with the great gods of all sects.

She is mentioned by Hsuan Chuang and by I-Ching who adds that her image was already known in China The Chinese also worshipped a native goddess called Tien-hou or Tou-mu. Kuanyin was also identified with an ancient Chinese heroine called Miao-shên<sup>1</sup> This is parallel to the legend of Ti-tsang (Kshitigarbha) who, though a male Bodhisattva, was a virtuous maiden in two of his previous existences. Evidently Chinese religious sentiment required a Madonna and it is not unnatural if the god of mercy, who was reputed to assume many shapes and to give sons to the childless, came to be thought of chiefly in a feminine form The artists of the T'ang dynasty usually represented Avalokita as a youth with a slight moustache and the evidence as to early female figures does not seem to me strong2, though a priori I see no reason for doubting their existence. In 1102 a Chinese monk named P'u-ming published a romantic legend of Kuan-yin's earthly life which helped to popularize her worship In this and many other cases the later developments of Buddhism are due to Chinese fancy and have no connection with Indian tradition

Tara is a goddess of north India, Nepal and the Lamaist Church and almost unknown in China and Japan. Her name means she who causes to cross, that is who saves, life and its troubles being by a common metaphor described as a sea. Tara also means a star and in Puranic mythology is the name given to the mother of Buddha, the planet Mercury. Whether the name was first used by Buddhists or Brahmans is unknown, but after the seventh century there was a decided tendency to give Tara the epithets bestowed on the Saktas of Siva and assimilate her to those goddesses. Thus in the list of her 108 names she is described among other more amuable attributes as

<sup>1</sup> Harles, Leve des esprits et des ummortels, p 195, and Doré, Recherches sur les superstitions en Chine, pp 04-138

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Fenollosa, Epochs of Chinese and Japanese Art, 1 pp 105 and 124, Johnston, Buddhist China, 275 ff Soveral Chinese detries appear to be of uncertain or varying sex. Thus Chun-ta is sometimes described as a defield Chinese General and sometimes identified with the Indian goddess Mariel Yu-ta, generally masculine, is sometimes feminine. See Doré, le 212 Still more strangely the Patriarch Aévaghosha (Ma Ming) is represented by a female figure. On the other hand the monk Ta Sheng (c 705 A D) is said to bave been an incornation of the female Kuan Yin. Mañjuśri is said to be worshipped in Nepal sometimes as a male, sometimes as a female. See Bendall and Haraprasad, Nepalese MSS p lxvii.

a de Bionay, lc pp 48-57

knowledge, and meditation. It is for this that he has in his hands the sword of knowledge and a book. A beautiful figure from Java bearing these emblems is in the Berlin Museum<sup>1</sup> Miniatures represent him as of a yellow colour with the hands (when they do not carry emblems) set in the position known as teaching the law<sup>2</sup>. Other signs which distinguish his images are the blue lotus and the lion on which he sits.

An interesting fact about Mañjuéri is his association with Chinas, not only in Chinese but in late Indian legends The mountain Wu-t'ai-shan in the province of Shan-si is sacred to him and is covered with temples erected in his honours. The name (mountain of five terraces) is rendered in Sanskrit as Pancasirsha, or Pancasikha, and occurs both in the Syayambhū Purana and in the text appended to miniatures representing Mañjusri The principal temple is said to have been erected between 471 and 500 AD I have not seen any statement that the locality was sacred in pre-Buddhist times, but it was probably regarded as the haunt of derives, one of whomperhaps some spirit of divination—was identified with the wise Mañjusri It is possible that during the various inroads of Græco-Bactrians, Yueh-Chih, and other Central Asian tribes into India. Mañiusri was somehow imported into the pantheon of the Mahayana from China or Central Asia, and he has, especially in the earlier descriptions, a certain pure and abstract quality which recalls the Amesha-Spentas of Persia. But still his attributes are Indian, and there is little positive evidence of a foreign origin. I-Ching is the first to tell us that the Hindus believed he came from China 5. Hsuan Chuang does not mention this belief, and probably did not hear of it, for it is an interesting detail which no one writing for a Chinese audience would have omitted. We may therefore suppose that the idea arose in India about 650 AD By that date the temples of Wu-t'ai-Shan would

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  It is reproduced in Grunwedel's Buddhist Art in India  $\,$  Translated by Gabson, 1901, p 200

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Dharmacakramudra

<sup>\*</sup> For the Nepaless legends see S Levi, Le Nepal, 1905-9

For an account of this sacred mountain see Edkms, Religion in China, chaps

<sup>5</sup> See I tsing, trans Takakusu, 1896, p 136 For some further remarks on the possible foreign origin of Manjusti see below, chapter on Central Asia. The verses attributed to King Haraba (Nanjio, 1971) praise the reliquances of China but without details.

have had time to become celebrated, and the visits paid to India by distinguished Chinese Buddhists would be likely to create the impression that China was a centre of the faith and frequented by Bodhisattvas<sup>1</sup>. We hear that Vajrabodhi (about 700) and Prajña (782) both went to China to adore Mañjuśri. In 824 a Tibetan envoy arrived at the Chinese Court to ask for an image of Mañjuśri, and later the Grand Lamas officially recognized that he was incarnate in the Emperor<sup>2</sup>. Another legend relates that Mañjuśri came from Wu-t'ai-Shan to adore a miraculous lotus<sup>3</sup> that appeared on the lake which then filled Nepal. With a blow of his sword he cleft the mountain barrier and thus drained the valley and introduced civilization. There may be hidden in this some tradition of the introduction of culture into Nepal but the Nepalese legends are late and in their collected form do not go back beyond the sixteenth century.

After Avalokita and Mañjuśri the most important Bodhisattva is Maitreya, also called Ajita or unconquered, who is the only one recognized by the Pali Canon. This is because he does not stand on the same footing as the others. They are superhuman in their origin as well as in their career, whereas Maitreya is simply a being who like Gotama has lived innumerable lives and ultimately made himself worthy of Buddhahood which he awaits in heaven. There is no reason to doubt that Gotama regarded himself as one in a series of Buddhas: the Pali scriptures relate that he mentioned his predecessors by name, and also spoke of unnumbered Buddhas to come. Nevertheless Maitreya or Metteyya is rarely mentioned in the Pali Canon.

JRAS new series, xn 522 and JASB 1882, p 41. The name Manchu perhaps contributed to this behef

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  Some of the Tantras,  $e\,g\,$  the Mahâcinakramâcârs, though they do not connect Mañjustî with China, represent some of their most surprising novelties as having been brought thence by ancient sages like Vasishtha

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> It is described as a Svayambhū or spontaneous manifestation of the Adi-Buddha.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Sanskrit, Maitreya, Pali, Metteyya; Chinese, Mi li; Japanese, Miroku; Mongol, Maidan, Tibetan, Byams pa (pronounced Jampa). For the history of the Maitreya idea see especially Pen,  $B \to F \to O$  1911, pp 439-457.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> But a Stamese inscription of about 1361, possibly influenced by Chinese Mahayanism, speaks of the ten Bodhisatty as headed by Metteyya. See B E.F.E O. 1917, No. 2, pp. 30, 31

<sup>\*</sup> Eg in the Mahapannibbina Sûtra

Dig Nik XXVI. 25 and Buddhavamsa, XXVII. 10, and even this last verse is said to be an addition.

He is, however, frequently alluded to in the exegetical Pali literature, in the Anagata-vamsa and in the earlier Sanskrit works such as the Lalita-vistara, the Divyavadana and Mahavastu. In the Lotus he plays a prominent part, but still is subordinate to Manjuśri Ultimately he was eclipsed by the two great Bodhisattyas but in the early centuries of our era he received much respect. His images are frequent in all parts of the Buddhist world he was believed to watch over the propagation of the Faith1, and to have made special revelations to Asanga<sup>2</sup> In paintings he is usually of a golden colour, his statues, which are often gigantic, show him standing or sitting in the European fashion and not cross-legged He appears to be represented in the earliest Gandharan soulptures and there was a famous image of him in Udyana of which Fa-Hsien (399-414 AD) speaks as if it were already ancient3 Hsuan Chuang describes it as well as a stupa erected 4 to commemorate Såkvamuni's prediction that Maitreva would be his successor On attaining Buddhahood he will become lord of a terrestrial paradise and hold three assemblies under a dragon flower tree<sup>5</sup>. at which all who have been good Buddhists in previous births will become Arhats I-Ching speaks of meditating on the advent of Maitreya in language like that which Christian piety uses of the second coming of Christ and concludes a poem which is incorporated in his work with the aspiration "Deep as the depth of a lake be my pure and calm meditation. Let me look for the first meeting under the Tree of the Dragon Flower when I hear the deep rappling voice of the Buddha Maitreyas." But messianic

<sup>1</sup> See s g Watters, Yaan Chuang, 1 239

See Watters and Pfri in BEFEO 1911, 439 A temple of Maitreya has been found at Turfan in Contral Asia with a Chinese inscription which speaks of him as an active and benevolant deity manifesting himself in many forms

<sup>\*</sup> Ho has not fared well in Chinese iconography which represents him as an enormously fat smiling monk. In the Liang dynasty there was a monk called Pu tai (Jap Hotei) who was regarded as an incarnation of Maitreya and becams a popular subject for carcature. It would appear that the Bodhisattva himself has become superseded by this cheerful but undignified incarnation.

<sup>4</sup> The stupa was apparently at Benares but Hsuan Chuang's narrative is not clear and other versions make Rajagriba or Śrāvasti the scene of the prediction

<sup>5</sup> Campa This is his bodhi tres under which he will obtain enlightenment as Sākyamuni under the Ficus religiosa Each Buddha has his own special kind of bodhi tree

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Record of the Buddhast religion, Trans Takakusu, p 213 Sec too Watters, Yuan Chwang, 11 57, 144, 210, 215

ideas were not much developed in either Buddhism or Hinduism and perhaps the figures of both Maitreya and Kalkî owe something to Persian legends about Saoshvant the Saviour.

The other Bodhisattvas, though lauded in special treatises, have left little impression on Indian Buddhism and have obtained in the Far East most of whatever importance they possess. The makers of images and miniatures assign to each his proper shape and colour, but when we read about them we feel that we are dealing not with the objects of real worship or even the products of a lively imagination, but with names and figures which have a value for picturesque but conventional art.

Among the best known is Samantabhadra, the all gracious1, who is still a popular derty in Tibet and the patron saint of the sacred mountain Omei in China, with which he is associated as Mañjuśri with Wu-t'ai-shan. He is represented as green and riding on an elephant. In Indian Buddhism he has a moderately prominent position. He is mentioned in the Dharmasangraha and in one chapter of the Lotus he is charged with the special duty of protecting those who follow the law. But the Chinese pilgrims do not mention his worship

Mahasthamaprapta2 is a somewhat similar figure. A chapter of the Lotus (XIX) is dedicated to him without however giving any clear idea of his personality and he is extolled in several descriptions of Sukhavati or Paradise, especially in the Amitâyurdhyana-sûtra. Together with Amitabha and Avalokita he forms a triad who rule this Happy Land and are often repre-

sented by three images in Chinese temples.

Vajrapânî is mentioned in many lists of Bodhisattvas (e a. in the Dharmasangraha) but is of somewhat doubtful position as Hsuan Chuang calls him a deva<sup>3</sup>. Historically his recognition as a Bodhısattva is interesting for he is merely Indra transformed into a Buddhist. The mysterious personages called Vajradhara and Vajrasattva, who in later times are even

<sup>1</sup> Chinese P'u-haien See Johnston, From Peling to Mandalay, for an interesting account of Mt Omes

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Or Mahasthana Chinese, Tar-shih-chih He appears to be the Arhat Maudgalyayana desied. In China and Japan there is a marked tendency to regard all Bodhisattvas as ancient worthes who by their yows and virtues have usen to their present high position. But these enhemeristic explanations are common in the Far East and the real origin of the Bodhisattvas may be quite different. \* Eg Watters, r p 229, m 215.

identified with the original Buddha spirit, are further developments of Vajrapani He owes his elevation to the fact that Vajra, originally meaning simply thunderbolt, came to be used as a mystical expression for the highest truth.

More important than these is Kshitigarbha, Ti-tsang or Jızō¹ who in China and Japan ranks second only to Kuan-vin Visser has consecrated to him an interesting monograph<sup>2</sup> which shows what strange changes and chances may attend spirits and how ideal figures may alter as century after century they travel from land to land We know little about the origin of Kshitigarbha The name seems to mean Earth-womb and he has a shadowy counterpart in Akasagarbha, a similar deity of the air, who it seems never had a hold on human hearts The Earth is generally personified as a goddess and Kshitigarbha has some slight feminine traits, though on the whole decidedly masculine The stones of his previous births relate how he was twice a woman: in Japan he was identified with the mountain goddess of Kamado, and he helps women in labour, a boon generally accorded by goddesses In the pantheon of India he played an inconspicuous part4, though reckoned one of the eight great Bodhisattvas, but met with more general esteem in Turkestan, where he began to collect the attributes afterwards defined in the Far East. It is there that his history and transformations become clear.

He is primarily a deity of the nether world, but like Amitabha and Avalokita he made a vow to help all living creatures and specially to deliver them from hell The Taoists pictured hell as divided into ten departments ruled over by as many kings, and Chinese fancy made Ti-tsang the superintendent of these functionaries He thus becomes not so much a Saviour as the kindly superintendent of a prison who preaches to the inmates and willingly procures their release Then we hear of six Titsangs, corresponding to the six worlds of sentient beings, the gracious spirit being supposed to multiply his personality in

Kshtigarbha 15 translated into Chinese as Ti-teang and Jizō 18 the Japanese pronunciation of the same two characters

In Ostasiat Zieft 1913-15 See too Johnston, Buddhist China, chap viii

The Earth goddess is known to the earliest Buddhist legends The Buddha called her to witness when sitting under the Bo tree

<sup>4</sup> Three Sûtras, analysed by Visser, treat of Kshitigarbha They are Nanjio, Nos 64, 65, 67.

order to minister to the wants of all. He is often represented as a monk, staff in hand and with shaven head. The origin of this guise is not clear and it perhaps refers to his previous births. But in the eighth century a monk of Chiu Hua<sup>1</sup> was regarded as an incarnation of Ti-tsang and after death his body was gilded and enshrined as an object of worship. In later times the Bodhisattva was confused with the incarnation, in the same way as the portly figure of Pu-tai, commonly known as the laughing Buddha, has been substituted for Maitreya in Chinese iconography.

In Japan the cult of the six Jizos became very popular. They were regarded as the deities of roads<sup>2</sup> and their effigies ultimately superseded the ancient phallic gods of the crossways. In this martial country the Bodhisattva assumed yet another character as Shōgun Jızō, a militant priest riding on horseback<sup>3</sup> and wearing a helmet who became the patron saint of warriors and was even identified with the Japanese war god, Hachiman. Until the seventeenth century Jizō was worshipped principally by soldiers and priests, but subsequently his cult spread among all classes and in all districts. His benevolent activities as a guide and saviour were more and more emphasized: he heals sickness, he lengthens life, he leads to heaven, he saves from hell he even suffers as a substitute in hell and is the special protector of the souls of children amid the perils of the underworld Though this modern figure of Jizo is wrought with ancient materials, it is in the main a work of Japanese sentiment.

<sup>2</sup> There is some reason to think that even in Turkestan Kahitigarbha was a god of roads.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A celebrated monastery in the portion of An-hui which lies to the south of the Yang-tee See Johnston, Buddhist China, chaps viii, ix and x.

In Annam too Jizō is represented on horseback.

#### CHAPTER XVIII

#### THE BUDDHAS OF MAHAYANISM

This mythology did not grow up around the Buddha without affecting the central figure. To understand the extraordinary changes of meaning both mythological and metaphysical which the word Buddha undergoes in Mahayanist theology we must keep in mind not the personality of Gotama but the idea that he is one of several successive Buddhas who for convenience may be counted as four, seven or twenty-four but who really form an infinite series extending without limit backwards into the past and forwards into the future. This belief in a series of Buddhas produced a plentiful crop of imaginary personalities and also of speculations as to their connection with one another, with the phenomena of the world and with the human soul

In the Pali Canon the Buddhas antecedent to Gotama are introduced much like ancient kings as part of the legendary history of this world. But in the Lahta-vistara (Chap xx) and the Lotus (Chap vii) we hear of Buddhas, usually described as Tathagatas, who apparently do not belong to this world at all, but rule various points of the compass, or regions described as Buddha-fields (Buddha-kshetra). Their names are not the same in the different accounts and we remain dazzled by an endless panorama of an infinity of universes with an infinity of shining Buddhas, illuminating infinite space

Somewhat later five of these unearthly Buddhas were formed into a pentad and described as Jinas<sup>2</sup> or Dhyâni Buddhas (Buddhas of contemplation), namely, Vairocana, Akshobhya, Ratnasambhava, Amitâbha and Amoghasiddhi In the fully

developed form of this doctrine these five personages are
1 In Mahaparinib Sut r 16 the Buddhais made to speak of all the other Buddhas

who have been in the long ages of the past and will be in the long ages of the future s Though Dhyani Buddha is the title most frequently used in European works it would appear that Jina is more usual in Sanskrit works, and in fact Dhyani Buddha is hardly known outside Nepalese literature Ratmasambhava and Amoghamdhi are rarely mentioned apart from the others. According to Gotty (Gods of Northern Buddhism, pp. 26, 27) a group of six, including the Adi-Buddha himself under the name of Vajrasattva, is sometimes worshipped.

produced by contemplation from the Adi-Buddha or original Buddha spirit and themselves produce various reflexes, including Bodhisattvas, human Buddhas and goddesses like Târâ. The date when these beliefs first became part of the accepted Mahayana creed cannot be fixed but probably the symmetrical arrangement of five Buddhas is not anterior to the tantrio period of Buddhism.

The most important of the five are Vairocana and Amitabha. Akshobhya is mentioned in both the Lotus and Smaller Sukhavati-vyûha as the chief Buddha of the eastern quarter, and a work purporting to be a description of his paradise still extant in Chinese? is said to have been translated in the time of the Eastern Han dynasty. But even in the Far East he did not find many worshippers. More enduring has been the glory of Vairocana who is the chief derty of the Shingon sect in Japan and is represented by the gigantic image in the temple at Nara. In Java he seems to have been regarded as the principal and supreme Buddha. The name occurs in the Mahavastu as the designation of an otherwise unknown Buddha of luminous attributes and in the Lotus we hear of a distant Buddha-world called Vairocana-rasmi-pratimandita, embellished by the rays of the sun<sup>3</sup>. Vairocana is clearly a derivative of Virocana, a recognized title of the sun in Sanskrit, and is rendered in Chinese by Ta-jih meaning great Sun How this solar deity first came to be regarded as a Buddha is not known but the connection between a Buddha and light has always been recognized. Even the Palı texts represent Gotama as being luminous on some occasions and in the Mahayanist scriptures Buddhas are radiant and light-giving beings, surrounded by halos of prodigious extent and emitting flashes which illuminate the depths of space. The visions of unnumerable paradises in all quarters containing jewelled stupes and lighted by refulgent Buddhas which are frequent in these works seem founded on astronomy vaporized under the influence of the idea that there are millions of universes all equally transitory and unsubstantial. There is no reason, so

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> About the same period Siva and Vishnu were worshipped in five forms See below, Book v ohap in. eec 3 ad fin

Nanpo, Cat No 28

Virocana also occurs in the Chândogya Up. viii 7 and 8 as the name of an Asura who misunderstood the teaching of Prajapati. Verocana is the name of an Asura in Sam Nik i xi. 1. 8.

far as I see, to regard Gotama as a mythical solar hero, but the celestial Buddhas¹ elearly have many solar attributes. This is natural. Solar deities are so abundant in Vedic mythology that it is hardly possible to be a benevolent god without having something of the character of the sun. The stream of foreign religions which flowed into India from Bactria and Persia about the time of the Christian era brought new aspects of sun worship such as Mithra, Helios and Apollo and strengthened the tendency to connect divinity and light. And this connection was peculiarly appropriate and obvious in the case of a Buddha, for Buddhas are clearly revealers and light-givers, conquerors of darkness and dispellers of ignorance

Amitabha (or the Buddha of measureless light), rising suddenly from an obscure origin, has like Avalokita and Vislinu become one of the great gods of Asia. He is also known as Amitâyus or measureless life, and is therefore a god of light and immortality. According to both the Lotus and the Smaller Sukhavati-vyûha he is the lord of the western quarter but he is unknown to the Lalita-vistara. It gives the ruler of the west a lengthy title?, which suggests a land of gardens. Now Paradise, which has biblical authority as a name for the place of departed spirits, appears to mean in Persian a park or enclosed garden and the Avesta speaks of four heavens, the good thought Paradise, the good word Paradise, the good deed Paradise and the Endless Lights3. This last expression bears a remarkable resemblance to the name of Amitabha and we can understand that he should rule the west, because it is the home to which the sun and departed spirits go. Amitâbha's Paradise is called Sukhâvatî or Happy Land In the Puranas the city of Varuna (who is suspected of having a non-Indian origin) is said to be situated in the west and is called Sukha (Linga P and Vayu P) or Mukhya (so Vishnu P and others) The name Amitabha also occurs in the Vishnu Purana as the name of a class of gods and it is oursous that they are in one place4 associated with other

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The names of many of these Buddhas, perhaps the majority, contain some word expressive of light such as Aditya, prabha or tojas

Chap XX Pushpavalivanarājikusumitābhijās
Eg Yashts XXII and XXIV SBE vol XXIII pp 317 and 344 The title
Pure Land (Chinese Ch'ing t'u, Japanese Jo do) has also a Persian ring about it.
See further in the chapter on Central Asia

<sup>4</sup> Vishnu P, Book III chap II.

deities called the Mukhyas. The worship of Amitabha, so far as its history can be traced, goes back to Saraha, the teacher of Nagarjuna. He is said to have been a Sudra and his name seems un-Indian. This supports the theory that this worship was

foreign and imported into India1.

This worship and the doctrine on which it is based are an almost complete contradiction of Gotama's teaching, for they amount to this, that religion consists in faith in Amitabha and prayer to him, in return for which he will receive his followers after death in his paradise. Yet this is not a late travesty of Buddhism but a relatively early development which must have begun about the Christian era The principal works in which it is preached are the Greater Sukhāvatī-vyūha or Description of the Happy Land, translated into Chinese between 147 and 186 A D., the lesser work of the same name translated in 402 A.D. and the Sûtra of meditation on Amitâyus2 translated in 424. The first of these works purports to be a discourse of Såkvamuni himself, delivered on the Vulture's Peak in answer to the questions of Ananda. He relates how innumerable ages ago there was a monk called Dharmakara who, with the help of the Buddha of that period, made a vow or vows3 to become a Buddha but on conditions. That is to say he rejected the Buddhahood to which he might become entitled unless his ments obtained certain advantages for others, and having obtained Buddhahood on these conditions he can now cause them to be fulfilled. In other words he can apportion his vast store of accumulated merit to such persons and in such manner as he chooses. The gist of the conditions is that he should when he obtained Buddhahood be ford of a paradise whose inhabitants live in unbroken happiness until they obtain Nirvana. All who have thought of this paradise ten times are to be admitted therem, unless they have committed grievous sin, and Amitabha will appear to them at the moment of death so that their thoughts may not be troubled. The Buddha shows Ananda a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See below · Section on Central Asis, and Grünwedel, Mythologie, 31, 36 and notes · Taranatha (Shiefner), p 93 and notes

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Amitâyur-dhyâna sûtra All three works are translated in S B R. vol XLIX.

<sup>2</sup> Pranidhâna Not only Amitâbha but all Bodhisattvas (especially Avalokita and Kshitigarbha) are supposed to have made such vows This idea is very common in China and Japan but goes back to Indian sources. See e.g. Lotus, XXIV. versa 3

miraculous vision of this paradise and its joys are described in language recalling the account of the New Jerusalem in the book of Revelation and, though coarser pleasures are excluded, all the delights of the eye and ear, such as jewels, gardens, flowers, rivers and the songs of birds await the faithful.

The smaller Sukhåvatí-vyûha, represented as preached by Såkyamuni at Śrāvasti, is occupied almost entirely with a description of the paradise. It marks a new departure in definitely preaching salvation by faith only, not by works, whereas the previous treatise, though dwelling on the efficacy of faith, also makes merit a requisite for hie in heaven. But the shorter discourse says dogmatically "Beings are not born in that Buddha country as a reward and result of good works performed in this present life. No, all men or women who hear and bear in mind for one, two, three, four, five, six or seven nights the name of Amitâyus, when they come to die, Amitâyus will stand before them in the hour of death, they will depart this life with quiet minds and after death they will be born in Paradise."

The Amitâyur-dhyâna-sûtra also purports to be the teaching of Sâkyamuni and has an historical introduction connecting it with Queen Vaidehî and King Bimbisâra. In theology it is more advanced than the other treatises: it is familiar with the doctrine of Dharma-kâya (which will be discussed below) and it represents the rulers of paradise as a triad, Amitâyus being assisted by Avalokita and Mahasthâmaprâpta! Admission to the paradise can be obtained in various ways, but the method recommended is the practice of a series of meditations which are described in detail. The system is comprehensive, for salvation can be obtained by mere virtue with little or no prayer but also by a single invocation of Amitâyus, which suffices to free from deadly sins

Strange as such doctrines appear when set beside the Pali texts, it is clear that in their origin and even in the form which they assume in the larger Sukhavati-vyûha they are simply an exaggeration of ordinary Mahayanist teaching. Amitabha is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> These Bodhisattvas are also mentioned but without much emphasis in the

Greater Sukhāvati-vyūha

2 Even in Hinayanust works such as the Nidānakathā Sumedha's resolution to become a Buddha, formed as he lies on the ground before Dipankara, has a resemblance to Amida's vow He resolves to attain the truth, to enable mankind to cross the sea of the world and only then to attain Nirvana

theistic form of Buddhism is late and is recorded from Nepal, Tibet (in the Kalacakra system) and Java, a distribution which implies that it was exported from Bengal<sup>1</sup> But another form in which the Buddha-force is impersonal and analogous to the Parabrahma of the Vedanta is much older Yet when this philosophic idea is expressed in popular language it comes very near to Theism. As Kern has pointed out, Buddha is not called Deva or Isvara in the Lotus simply because he is above such beings He declares that he has existed and will exist for incalculable ages and has preached and will preach in innumerable millions of worlds. His birth here and his nirvana are illusory, kindly devices which may help weak disciples but do not mark the real beginning and end of his activity. This implies a view of Buddha's personality which is more precisely defined in the doctrine known as Trikaya or the three bodies' and expounded in the Mahâyâna-sûtrâlankâra, the Awakening of Faith, the Suvarna-prabhasa sûtra and many other works. It may be stated dogmatically as follows, but it assumes somewhat divergent forms according as it is treated theologically or metaphysically.

A Buddha has three bodies or forms of existence. The first is the Dharma-kâya, which is the essence of all Buddhas. It is true knowledge or Bodhi. It may also be described as Nirvana and also as the one permanent reality underlying all phenomena and all individuals. The second is the Sambhoga-kâya, or body had not the precise dogmatic sense which it acquired later. His argument is that no one can become a Buddha without an equipment (Sambhâra) of ment and knowledge. Such an equipment can only be obtained from a previous Buddha and therefore the series of Buddhas must extend mfinitely backwards.

<sup>1</sup> For the prevalence of the doctrine in mediaval Bengal see B K Sarkar, Follilore Element in Hindu Culture, which is however sparing of precise references. The Dharma or Niranjana of the Sunya Purana seems to be equivalent to Adi-Buddha

Sometimes the Adi Buddha is identified with Vajrasattva or Samantabhadra, although these beings are otherwise classified as Bodhisattvas. This appears analogous to the procedure common in Hinduism by which a devotee declares that his special deity is all the gods and the supreme spirit

It would appear that some of the Tantraa treat of five bodies, adding to the three here given others such as the Anaudakays, Vajrakaya and Svabhavakays For this doctrine see especially De la Vallée Poussin, J. R. A. S. 1906, pp. 943-997 and Muséon, 1913, pp. 267ff Jigs med nam-mkd, the historian of Tibetan Buddham, desoribes four See Huth, Ges d. Bud in d. Mongole, vol 11 pp. 83-89 Hinfiniam also assigns to living beings three bodies, the Karana sarira, lingua, and sthélas

\* Translated into Chinese by Dharmaraksha between 397 and 439 A.D

XVIII]

of enjoyment, that is to say the radiant and superhuman form in which Buddhas appear in their paradises or when otherwise manifesting themselves in celestial splendour. The third is the Nırmâna-kâya, or the body of transformation, that is to say the human form worn by Sakyamuni or any other Buddha and regarded as a transformation of his true nature and almost a distortion, because it is so partial and inadequate an expression of it Later theology regards Amitabha, Amitavus and Sakvamuni as a series corresponding to the three bodies Amitabha does not really express the whole Dharma-kaya, which is incapable of personification, but when he is accurately distinguished from Amitâyus (and frequently they are regarded as synonyms) he is made the more remote and ethereal of the two. Amitâyus with his rich ornaments and his flask containing the water of eternal life is the ideal of a splendidly beneficent saviour and represents the Sambhoga-kâya¹. Sâkyamuni is the same beneficent being shrunk into human form. But this is only one aspect, and not the most important, of the doctrine of the three bodies. We can easily understand the Sambhoga-kaya and Nirmana-kaya, they correspond to a deity such as Vishnu and his incarnation Krishna, and they are puzzling in Buddhism simply because we think naturally of the older view (not entirely discarded by the Mahayana) which makes the human Buddha the crown and apex of a series of lives that find in him their fulfilment But it is less easy to understand the Dharma-kaya.

The word should perhaps be translated as body of the law and the thought originally underlying it may have been that the essential nature of a Buddha, that which makes him a Buddha, is the law which he preaches As we might say, the teacher lives in his teaching: while it survives, he is active and

not dead.

The change from metaphor to theology is illustrated by Hsuan Chuang when he states<sup>2</sup> (no doubt quoting from his edition of the Pitakas) that Gotama when dying said to those around him "Say not that the Tathagata is undergoing final

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The prototype of the Sambhoga-kâys is found in the Pah Canon, for the Buddha says (Mahâparimb, Sut in 22) that when he appears among the different classes of gods his form and voice are similar to theirs

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Watters, vol n p 38 "Spiritual essence" is Fa-shën in Chinese, i.e. Dharma-kâya. Another passage is quoted to the effect that "henceforth the observances of all my disciples constitute the Tathâgata's Fa shën, eternal and imperishable"

extinction, his spiritual presence abides for ever unchangeable" This apparently corresponds to the passage in the Pali Canon<sup>1</sup>. which runs "It may be that in some of you the thought may arise, the word of the Master is ended we have no more a teacher But it is not thus that you should regard it The truths and the rules which I have set forth, let them, after I am gone, be the Teacher to you" But in Buddhist writings, including the oldest Palı texts, Dharma or Dharma has another important meaning. It signifies phenomenon or mental state (the two being identical for an idealistic philosophy) and comprises both the external and the internal world Now the Dharma-kaya is emphatically not a phenomenon but it may be regarded as the substratum or totality of phenomena or as that which gives phenomena whatever reality they possess and the double use of the word dharma rendered such divagations of meaning easier2. Hindus have a tendency to identify being and knowledge. According to the Vedanta philosophy he who knows Brahman, knows that he himself is Brahman and therefore he actually is Brahman In the same way the true body of the Buddha is prajna or knowledge By this is meant a knowledge which transcends the distinction between subject and object and which sees that neither animate beings nor inanimate things have individuality or separate existence. Thus the Dharmakaya being an intelligence which sees the illusory quality of the world and also how the illusion originates may be regarded as the origin and ground of all phenomena. As such it is also called Tathâgata-garbha and Dharma-dhâtu, the matrix or storehouse of all phenomena On the other hand, masmuch as it is beyond them and implies their unreality, it may also be regarded as the annihilation of all phenomena, in other words as Nirvana In fact the Dharma-kaya (or Bhûta-tathata) is sometimes defined in words similar to those which the Pali Canon makes the Buddha use when asked if the Perfect Saint exists after death-"it is neither that which is existence nor that which is non-

<sup>1</sup> Mahaparınıb Sut vi 1

Something similar might happen in English if think and thing were pronounced in the same way and a thing were believed to be that which we can think

<sup>3</sup> See Ashtasähasrika Prajitä-päramitä, chap 1v, near beginning

It is in this last point that no inferior intelligence can follow the thought of a Buddha

<sup>\*</sup> The Awalening of Faith, Testaro Suzuka, p 59.

existence, nor that which is at once existence and non-existence nor that which is neither existence nor non-existence." In more theological language it may be said that according to the general opinion of the Mahayanists a Buddha attains to Nirvana by the very act of becoming a Buddha and is therefore beyond everything which we call existence Yet the compassion which he feels for mankind and the good Karma which he has accumulated cause a human image of him (Nirmâna-kâya) to appear among men for their instruction and a superhuman image, perceptable yet not material, to appear in Paradise.

### CHAPTER XIX

### MAHAYANIST METAPHYSICS

Thus the theory of the three bodies, especially of the Dharmakaya, is bound up with a theory of ontology. Metaphysics became a passion among the travellers of the Great Vehicle as psychology had been in earlier times. They may indeed be reproached with being bad Buddhists since they insisted on speculating on those questions which Gotama had declared to be unprofitable and incapable of an answer in human language He refused to pronounce on the whence, the whither and the nature of things, but bade his disciples walk in the eightfold path and analyse the human mind, because such analysis conduces to spiritual progress India was the last country in the world where such restrictions were likely to be observed Much Mahayanist literature is not religious at all but simply metaphysics treated in an authoritative and ecclesiastical manner The nature and origin of the world are discussed as freely as in the Vedanta and with similar results the old ethics and psyohology receive scant attention. Yet the difference is less than might be supposed Anyone who reads these treatises and notices the number of apparently eternal beings and the talk about the universal mind is likely to think the old doctrine that nothing has an atman or soul, has been forgotten But this impression is not correct, the doctrine of Nairdimyam is asserted so uncompromisingly that from one point of view it may be said that even Buddhas do not exist. The meaning of this doctrine is that no being or object contains an unchangeable permanent self, which lives unaltered in the same or in different bodies On the contrary individual existences consist of nothing but a collection of skandhas or a santana, a succession or series of mental phenomena. In the Pali books this doctrine is applied chiefly to the soul and psychological enquiries The Mahayana applied it to the external world and proved by ingenious arguments that nothing at all exists Similarly the doctrine of Karma is maintained, though it is seriously modified by the

admission that merit can be transferred from one personality to another. The Mahayana continued to teach that an act once performed affects a particular series of mental states until its effect is exhausted, or in popular language that an individual enjoys or suffers through a series of births the consequences of previous acts. Even the instance of Amitâbha's paradise, though it strains the doctrine of Karma to the utmost, does not repudiate it. For the believer performs an act—to wit, the invocation of Amitâbha—to which has been attached the wonderful result that the performer is reborn in a blessed state. This is not essentially different from the idea found in the Pali Canon that attentions paid to a Buddha may be rewarded by

a happy rebirth in heaven1.

Mahayanist metaphysics, like all other departments of this theology, are beset by the difficulty that the authorities who treat of them are not always in accord and do not pretend to be in accord The idea that variety is permissible in belief and conduct is deeply rooted in later Buddhism, there are many vehicles, some better than others no doubt and some very ramshackle, but all are capable of conveying their passengers to salvation Nominally the Mahayana was divided into only two schools of philosophy. practically every important treatise propounds a system with features of its own. The two schools are the Yogacaras and Madhyamikas2 Both are idealists and deny the reality of the external world, but whereas the Yogacaras (also called Vijnanavadins) admit that Vijnana or consciousness and the senes of states of which it consists are real, the Madhyamikas refuse the title of reality to both the subjective and the objective world and hence gained a reputation of being complete mhilists. Probably the Mådhyamikas are the older school.

Both schools attach importance to the distinction between relative and absolute knowledge Relative knowledge is true for human beings living in the world, that is to say it is not more false than the world of appearance in which they live. The Hinayamst doctrines are true in this sense. Absolute knowledge

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  Eg m Mahâparınıb Sut ıv 57, the Buddha saya "There has been laid up by Cunda the smith (who had given him his last meal) a karma redounding to length of hie, to good fortune, to good fame, to the inheritance of heaven, and of sovereign power"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Strictly speaking Madhyamaka is the name of the school Mâdhyamika of its adherents Both forms are used, e.g Madhyamakakârikâs and Mâdhyamikasûtra.

rises above the world of appearance and is altogether true but difficult to express in words. The Yogacara makes three divisions, dividing the inferior knowledge into two. It distinguishes first illusory knowledge (parikalpita) such as mistaking a piece of rope for a snake or belief in the existence of individual souls Secondly knowledge which depends on the relations of things (paratanira) and which though not absolutely wrong is necessarily limited, such as belief in the real existence of ropes and snakes And thirdly absolute knowledge (parinishnanna). which understands all things as the manifestation of an underlying principle. The Madhyamikas more simply divide knowledge into samuriti-satya and paramartha-satya, that is the truth of everyday life and transcendental truth. The world and ordinary religion with its doctrines and injunctions about good works are real and true as samvriti but in absolute truth (paramartham) we attain Nirvana and then the world with its human Buddhas and its gods exists no more The word sûnvam or sûnvatê, that is void, is often used as the equivalent of paramartham Void must be understood as meaning not an abyss of nothingness but that which is found to be devoid of all the attributes which we try to ascribe to it. The world of ordinary experience is not void, for a great number of statements can be made about it, but absolute truth is void, because nothing whatever can be predicated of it Yet even this colourless designation is not perfectly accurate1, because neither being nor not-being can be predicated of absolute truth It is for this reason, namely that they admit neither being nor not-being but something between the two, that the followers of Nagarjuna are known as the Mådhyamikas or school of the middle doctrine, though the European reader is tempted to say that their theories are extreme to the point of being a reductio ad absurdum of the whole system Yet though much of their logic seems late and useless sophistry, its affinity to early Buddhism cannot be denied The fourfold proposition that the answer to certain questions cannot be any of the statements "is," "is not," "both is sud is not," "neither is nor 15 not," is part of the earliest known stratum of Buddhism The Buddha himself is represented as

<sup>1</sup> Nagarjuna says Sûnyam 11: na vaktavyam asûnyam 11: va bhavet Ubhayam nobhayam ceti prajñaptyartham tu kathyate, "It cannot be called you or not void or both or neither but in order to somehow indicate 11, 11 is called Sûnyata"."

saying¹ that most people hold either to a belief in being or to a belief in not being. But neither belief is possible for one who considers the question with full knowledge. "That things have being is one extreme: that things have no being is the other extreme. These extremes have been avoided by the Tathâgata and it is a middle doctrine that he teaches," namely, dependent origination as explained in the chain of twelve links. The Mâdhyamika theory that objects have no absolute and independent existence but appear to exist in virtue of their relations is a restatement of this ancient dictum.

The Mahayanist doctors find an ethical meaning in their negations. If things possessed svabhava, real, absolute, self-determined existence, then the four truths and especially the cessation of suffering and attainment of sanctity would be impossible. For if things were due not to causation but to their own self-determining nature (and the Hindus always seem to understand real existence in this sense) cessation of evil and attainment of the good would be alike impossible the four Noble Truths imply a world which is in a state of constant becoming, that is a world which is not really existent.

But for all that the doctrine of sûnyata as stated in the Mådhyamika aphorisms ascribed to Någårjuna leaves an impression of audacious and ingenious sophistry. After laying down that every object in the world exists only in relation to every other object and has no self-existence, the treatise proceeds to prove that rest and motion are alike impossible. We speak about the path along which we are passing but there is really no such thing, for if we divide the path accurately, it always proves separable into the part which has been passed over and the part which will be passed over. There is no part which is being passed over This of course amounts to a denial of the existence of present time. Time consists of past and future separated by an indivisible and immeasurable instant. The minimum of time which has any meaning for us implies a change, and two elements, a former and a subsequent The present minute or the present hour are fallacious expressions2.

<sup>1</sup> Sam Nik. xxII 90 16

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Gotams, the founder of the Nyŝya philosophy, also admitted the force of the arguments against the existence of present time but regarded them as a reductio ad absurdum Shadworth Hodgson in his Philosophy of Reflection, vol L p 253 also treats of the question

Therefore no one ever is passing along a path Again you cannot logically say that the passer is passing, for the sentence is redundant the verb adds nothing to the noun and nice versa but on the other hand you clearly cannot say that the non-passer is passing Again if you say that the passer and the passing are identical, you overlook the distinction between the agent and the act and both become unreal But you cannot maintain that the passer is different from the passing, for a passer as distinct from passing and passing as distinct from a passer have no meaning "But how can two entities exist at all, if they exist neither as identical with one another nor as different from one another?"

The above, though much abridged, gives an idea of the logic of these sûtras They proceed to show that all manner of things. such as the five skandhas, the elements, contact, attachment, fire and fuel, origination, continuation and extinction have no real existence. Similar reasoning is then applied to religious topics the world of transmigration as well as bondage and liberation are declared non-existent. In reality no soul is in bondage and none is released1 Similarly Karma, the Buddha himself, the four truths, Nirvana and the twelve links in the chain of causation are all unreal. This is not a declaration of scepticism. It means that the Buddha as a human or celestial being and Nirvana as a state attainable in this world are conceivable only in connection with this world and therefore, like the world, unreal No religious idea can enter into the unreal (that is the practical) life of the world unless it is itself unreal. This sounds a topsy turvy argument but it is really the same as the Advaita doctrine The Vedânta is on the one hand a scheme of salvation for liberating souls which transmigrate unceasingly in a world ruled by a personal God. But when true knowledge is attained, the soul sees that it is identical with the Highest Brahman and that souls which are in bondage and God who rules the world are illusions like the world itself But the Advaita has at least a verbal superiority over the Mådhyamika philosophy, for in its terminology Brahman is the real and the existent contrasted with the world of illusion The result of giving to what the Advanta calls the real and existent the name of sûnyatâ or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Sânkhya philosophy makes a similar statement, though for different reasons

void is disconcerting. To say that everything without distinction is non-existent is much the same as saying that everything is existent. It only means that a wrong sense is habitually given to the word exist, as if it meant to be self-contained and without relation to other objects. Unless we can make a verbal contrast and assert that there is something which does exist, it seems futile to insist on the unreality of the world. Yet this mode of thought is not confined to text-books on logic It invades the scriptures, and appears (for instance) in the Diamond Cutter1 which is still one of the most venerated books of devotion in China and Japan In this work the Buddha explains that a Bodhisattva must resolve to deliver all living beings and yet must understand that after he has thus delivered innumerable beings, no one has been delivered. And why? Because no one is to be called a Bodhisattva for whom there exists the idea of a being, or person. Similarly a saint does not think that he is a saint, for if he did so think, he would believe in a self, and a person. There occur continually in this work phrases cast in the following form: "what was preached as a store of merit, that was preached as no store of merit2 by the Tathagata and therefore it is called a store of ment. If there existed a store of ment. the Tathagata would not have preached a store of merit." That is to say, if I understand this dark language rightly, accumulated ment is part of the world of illusion which we live in and by speaking of it as he did the Buddha implied that it, like everything else in the world, is really non-existent. Did it belong to the sphere of absolute truth, he would not have spoken of it as if it were one of the things commonly but erroneously supposed to exist Finally we are told of the highest knowledge "Even the smallest thing is not known or perceived there; therefore it is called the highest perfect knowledge." That is to say perfect knowledge transcends all distinctions, it recognises the illusory nature of all individuality and the truth of sameness, the neverchanging one behind the ever-changing many. In this sense it is said to perceive nothing and know nothing.

One might expect that a philosophy thus prone to use the

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  Vajracohedikâ See SBE. vol XIIX. It was translated into Chmese by Kumārajīva (384-417 a D )

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  Or m other repetations of the same formula, beings, ideas, good things, agas, etc., etc.

language of extreme nihilism would slip into a destructive, or at least negative system But Mahayanısın was pulled equally strongly in the opposite direction by the popular and mythological elements which it contained and was on the whole inclined to thoism and even polytheism quite as much as to atheism and acosmism. A modern Japanese writer says that Dharma-kâya "may be considered to be equivalent to the Christian conception of the Godhead" This is excessive as a historical statement of the view current in India during the early centuries of our era, but it does seem true that Dharma-kaya was made the equivalent of the Hindu conception of Param Brahma and also that it is very nearly equivalent to the Chinese Tao2

The work called Awakening of Faith3 and ascribed to Aśwaghosha is not extant in Sanskrit but was translated into Chinese in 553 A.D. Its doctrine is practically that of the Yogacara school and this makes the ascription doubtful, but it is a most important treatise It is regarded as authoritative in China and Japan at the present day and it illustrates the triple tendency of the Mahayana towards metaphysics, mythology, and devotional piety. It declares that faith has four aspects Three of these are the three Jewels, or Buddha, the Law and the Church, and cover between them the whole field of religion and morality as generally understood. The exposition is tinged with a fine unselfish emotion and tells the behever that though he should strive not for his own emancipation but for the salvation of others yet he himself receives unselfish and supernatural assistance He is remembered and guarded by Buddhas and Bodhisattvas in all quarters of the Universe who are eternally trying to liberate mankind by various expedients (upaya) By expedient is meant a modified presentment of the truth, which is easier of comprehension and, if not the goal, at least on the road to it, such as the Paradise of Amitabha4

<sup>1</sup> Soyen Shaku, Sermons of a Buddhist Abbol, p 47

See for a simple and persuasive statement of these abstruse doctrines a charming httle book called Wu-Wes by H Borel

<sup>\*</sup> Translated from the Chinese by Testaro Suzuki, 1900 The translation must be used with care, as its frequent use of the word soul may lead to misunderstanding

Asanga's work Mahayana süirdlankara (edited and translated by S Lévi) which covers much of the same ground is extant in Sanskrit as well as in Chinese and Tibetan translations It is a lucid and authoritative treatise but does not appear to have ever been popular, or to be read now in the Far East For Yogacara ace also Musion, 1904, p 370

43

But the remaining aspect of faith, which is the one that the author puts first in his enumeration, and treats at great length, is "to believe in the fundamental truth, that is to think joyfully of suchness." By suchness (in Sanskrit bhûta-tathata, in Chinese Ohên ju) is meant absolute truth as contrasted with the relative truth of ordinary experience1. The word is not illuminating nor likely to excite religious emotion and the most that can be said for it is that it is less dreary than the void of Nagarjuna. Another and more positive synonym is dharma-dhatu, the allembracing totality of things. It is only through our ignorance and subjectivity that things appear distinct and individuate. Could we transcend this subjectivity, isolated objects would cease to exist. Things in their fundamental nature cannot be named or explained, they are beyond the range of language and perception they have no signs of distinction but possess absolute sameness (samata) From this totality of things nothing can be excluded and to it nothing can be added Yet it is also sûnyatâ. negation or the void, because it cannot be said to possess any of the attributes of the world we live in. neither existence nor non-existence, nor unity nor plurality can be predicted of it. According to the celebrated formula of Nagarjuna known as the eight Nos there is in it "neither production (utpada) nor destruction (uccheda) nor annihilation (nirodha) nor persistence (sasvaid) nor unity (ekartha) nor plurality (nanartha) nor coming in (agamana) nor going out (nirgama)" But when we perceive that both subject and object are unreal we also see that suchness is the one reality and from that point of view it may be regarded as the Dharma-kaya of all Buddhas It is also called Tathagatagarbha, the womb or store-house of the Buddha, from which all individual existences are evolved under the law of causation, but this aspect of it is already affected by ignorance, for in Bhita-tathata as known in the light of the highest truth there is neither causation nor production. The Yogacara employs the word sûnyatê (void), though not so much as its siste. school, but it makes special use of the term flaya-vijñana, the receptacle or store of consciousness. This in so far as it is superindividual is an aspect of suchness, but when it affirms and particularises itself it becomes citta, that is the human mind, or to be more

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The discussion of tathata in Kathavatthu, xxx. 5 seems to record an early phase of these speculations

accurate the substratum of the human mind from which is developed manas, or the principle of will, self-consciousness and self-affirmation Similarly the Vedanta philosophy, though it has no term corresponding to dlaya-vijudna, is familiar with the idea that Brahman is in one aspect immeasurable and allembracing but in another is infinitesimal and dwells in the human heart or that Brahman after creating the world entered into it Again another aspect of suchness is enlightenment (bodhi), that is absolute knowledge free from the limitations of subject and object This "is the universal Dharma-kaya of the Tathagatas" and on account of this all Tathagatas are spoken of as abiding in enlightenment a priors This enlightenment may be negative (as sûnudia) in the sense that it transcends all relations but it may also be affirmative and then "it transforms and unfolds itself, whenever conditions are favourable, in the form of a Tathagata or some other form in order that all beings may be induced to bring their store of merit to maturity1"

It will be seen from the above that the absolute truth of the Mahayanists varies from a severely metaphysical conception, the indescribable thing in itself, to something very like an allpervading benevolent essence which from time to time takes shape in a Buddha And here we see how easy is the transition from the old Buddhism to a form of panthessm For if we admit that the Buddha is a superhuman intelligence appearing from time to time according to a certain law, we add httle to this statement by saying that the essence or spirit of the cosmos manifests itself from time to time as a Buddha Only, such words as essence or spirit are not really correct. The world of individuals is the same as the highest truth, the same as the Dharma-kâya, the same as Nirvana It is only through ignorance that it appears to be different and particularized Ignorance, the essence of which consists in believing in the distinction between subject and object, is also called defilement and the highest truth passes through various stages of defilement ending with that where under the influence of egoism and passion the external world of particulars is believed to be everything But the various stages may influence one another2 so that under a higher influence the mind which is involved in subjectivity

<sup>1</sup> Awalening of Faith, Testaro Suzuki, pp 62 and 70

<sup>\*</sup> The process is generally called Vasana or periuming

begins to long for Nirvana. Yet Nirvana is not something different from or beyond the world of experience, it does not really involve annihilation of the skandhas. Just as in the Advaita he who has the true knowledge sees that he himself and everything else is Brahman, so for the Mahavanist all things are seen to be Nirvana, to be the Dharma-kaya. It is sometimes1 said that there are four kinds of Nirvana (a) absolute Nirvana, which is a synonym of the Dharma-kaya and in that sense universally present in all beings, (b) upadhisesha-nirvana, the state of enlightenment which can be attained during life, while the body with its limitations still remains, (c) anupadhiseshanirvana, a higher degree of the same state attained after death when the hindrances of the body are removed, (d) Nirvana without abode or apratishthita-nirvana. Those who attain to this understand that there is no real antithesis between Samsara and Nirvana2, they do not seek for rest or emancipation but devote themselves to beneficent activity and to leading their fellows to salvation. Although these statements that Nirvana and Samsara are the same are not at all in the manner of the older Buddhism, yet this ideal of disinterested activity combined with Nuvana is not inconsistent with the portrait of Gotama preserved in the Pali Canon.

The Mahayanist Buddham of the Far East makes free use of such phrases as the Buddha in the heart, the Buddha mind and the Buddha nature. These seem to represent such Sanskrit terms as Buddhatva and Bodhicitta which can receive either an ethical or a metaphysical emphasis. The former line of thought is well shown in Santideva<sup>3</sup> who treats Bodhicitta as the initial impulse and motive power of the religious life, combining intellectual illumination and unselfish devotion to the good of others. Thus regarded it is a guiding and stimulating principle somewhat analogous to the Holy Spirit in Christianity. But the Bodhicitta is also the essential quality of a Buddha (and the Holy Spirit too is a member of the Trinity) and in so far as a man has the Bodhicitta he is one with all Buddhas.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Vijāšnamātra Šāstra Chinese version quoted by Testaro Suzuki, Oullines of Mahdydna Buddhism, p 343 Apparently both upādhi and upadhi are used in Buddhist Sanskrit Upādi is the Pali form.

So the Madhyamika Sastra (xxv 19) states that there is no difference between Samaira and Nirvana. Cf. Rabindranath Tagore, Sadhana, pp 160-164.
E.g Bodhoaryavatara, chap. I, called praise of the Bodhoatta.

This conception is perhaps secondary in Buddhism but it is also as old as the Upanishads and only another form of the doctrine that the spirit in every man (antaryâmin) is identical with the Supreme Spirit. It is developed in many works still popular in the Far East<sup>1</sup> and was the fundamental thesis of Bodhidharma, the founder of the Zen school But the practical character of the Chinese and Japanese has led them to attach more importance to the moral and intellectual side of this doctrine than to the metaphysical and pantheistic side

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  E g the P'u t'1-han li-hang-Iun (Nanjio, 1304), translated from Någårjuna, and the Ta Ch'ông-fa chieh wu ch'a-pieh lun, translated from Sthiramati (Nanjio, 1258).

## CHAPTER XX

# MAHAYANIST SCRIPTURES

In a previous chapter I have discussed the Pali Canon and I shall subsequently have something to say about the Chinese and Tibetan Canons, which are libraries of religious and edifying works rather than sacred books similar to the Vedas or the Bible. My present object is to speak of the Sanskrit literature, chiefly sutras, which appeared contemporaneously with the rise

of Mahayanism in India

The Mahayanist scriptures are the largest body of sacred writings extant in the world, but it is not easy either to define the limits of the Canon or to say when it was put together. According to a common tradition Kanishka played for the Church of the Great Vehicle much the same part as Asoka for the Theravâdins and summoned a Council which wrote commentaries on the Tripitaka. This may be reasonably held to include a recension of the text commented on but we do not know what that text was, and the brief and perplexing accounts of the Council which we possess indicate not that it gave its imprimatur to Mahayanist sutras but that it was specially concerned with the Abhidharma works of the Sarvâstivâdin school.

In any case no Canon formed in the time of Kanishka can have been equivalent to the collections of writings accepted to-day in Chma and Tibet, for they contain works later than any date which can be assigned to his reign, as do also the nine sacred books revered in Nepal It was agreed among Indian Buddhists that the scriptures were divided among the three Pitakas or baskets, but we may surmise that there was no unanimity as to the precise contents of each basket. In India the need for unanimity in such matters is not felt. The Brahmans always recognized that the most holy and most jealously preserved scriptures could exist in various recensions and the Mahabharata shows how generations of respectful and uncritical hearers may allow adventitious matter of all sorts to

be incorporated in a work. Something of the same kind happened with the Pitakas We know that the Pali recension which we possess was not the only one, for fragments of a Sanskrit version have been discovered.

There was probably a large floating literature of sutras, often presenting several recensions of the same document worked up in different ways. Just as additions were made to the list of Upanishads up to the middle ages, although the character of the later works was different from that of the earlier, so new sutras, modern in date and in tone, were received in the capacious basket And just as the Puranas were accepted as sacred books without undermining the authority of the Vedas, so new Buddhist scriptures superseded without condemning the old ones Various Mahayanist schools had their own versions of the Vinaya which apparently contain the same rules as the Pali text but also much additional narrative, and Asanga quotes from works corresponding to the Pali Nikayas, though his doctrine belongs to another age1. The Abhidharma section of the Pali Canon seems however to have been peculiar to the Theravada school. The Sarvastivadin Pitaka of the same name was entirely different and, judging from the Chinese Canon, the Mahayanists gave the title to philosophic works by such authors as Asanga and Vasubandhu, some of which were described as revelations from Maitreya

Specially characteristic of Mahayanist Buddhism are the Vaipulya<sup>2</sup> sutras, that is sutras of great extension or development. These works, of which the Lotus is an example, follow the same scheme as the older sutras but are of wider scope and on a much larger scale, for they often consist of twenty or more chapters. They usually attempt to give a general exposition of the whole Dharma, or at least of some aspect of it which is

In the Mahayana-sutralankara he quotes frequently from the Samyukta and Ekottara Agamas, corresponding to the Samyutta and Anguttara Nikayas of the

Pals a A reading Veitulya has also been found in some manuscripts of the Lotus discovered at Kashgar and it is suggested that the word may refer to the sect of Vetulias or Vetulias are neutroned in the Commentary on the Kathgrattiu as holding that the Buddha really remained in the Tushita heaven and sent a phantom to represent him in the world and that it was Ananda, not the Buddha, who preached the law See Kern, Vers en Med der K Ak v Weienschappen, Letterk, R 4 D vin pp 312-0, Amsterdam, 1907, and De la Vallee Poussia's notice of this article in JRAS 1907, pp 434-6 But this interpretation does not seem very probable.

own languages without attaching any superstitious importance to the original words, unless they were Dhâranîs or spells

About the time of the Christian era or perhaps rather earlier. greater use began to be made of writing for religious purposes. The old practice of reciting the scriptures was not discontinued but no objection was made to preserving and reading them in written copies. According to tradition, the Pali scriptures were committed to writing in Ceylon during the reign of Vattagamani, that is according to the most recent chronology about 20 B.C. and Kanishka caused to be engraved on copper plates the commentaries composed by the council which he summoned. In Aśvaghosha¹ we find the story of a Brahman who casually taking up a book to pass the time lights on a copy of the Sutra of the Twelve Causes and is converted But though the Buddhists remained on the whole true to the old view that the important thing was to understand and disseminate the substance of the Master's teaching and not merely to preserve the text as if it were a sacred formula, still we see growing up in Mahayanist works ideas about the sanctity and efficacy of scripture which are foreign to the Pah Canon. Many sutras (for instance the Diamond Cutter) extol themselves as all-sufficient for salvation: the Prajña-paramita commences with a salutation addressed not as usual to the Buddha but to the work itself, as if it were a deity, and Hodgson states that the Buddhists of Nepal worship their nine sacred books. Nor was the idea excluded that certain words, especially formulæ or spells called Dharani, have in themselves a mysterious efficacy and potency? Some of these are cited and recommended in the Lotus<sup>3</sup> In so far as the repetition of sacred words or spells is regarded as an integral part of the religious life, the doctrine has no warrant in the earlier teaching It obviously becomes more and more promment in later works But the idea itself is old, for it is clearly the same that produced a belief in the Brahmanic mantras, particularly the mantras of the Atharva Veda, and early Buddhism did not reject mantras in their proper place Thus the derives present themselves to the Buddha and offer to teach him a formula which will protect his disciples from the attacks of evil spirits Hsuan Chuang even states that the council which

<sup>1</sup> Sûtrêlankârs, 1 2

See Waddell, "The Dharani cult" in Ostassat Zisft 1912, pp 155 ff Dig Nik 32 Ohap xxi, which is however a later addition

sat at Rājagriha after the Buddha's death compiled five Pitakas, one of which consisted of Dhāranīs¹, and it may be that the collection of such texts was begun as early as the collection of discourses and rules. But for many centuries there is no evidence that they were in any way confounded with the Dharma.

The Mahayanist scriptures are so voluminous that not even the clergy were expected to master any considerable part of them<sup>2</sup>. Indeed they make no claim to be a connected whole. The theory was rather that there were many vehicles plying on the road to salvation and many guide books. No traveller thought of taking the whole library but only a few volumes which suited him. Most of the Chinese and Japanese sects avowedly base themselves upon three sutras, selected according to the taste of each school from the hundreds quoted in catalogues. Thus the Tien-t'ai sect has for its scriptures the Lotus, the Nirvâna-sûtra and the Prajñā-pāramitā, while the Shin-shu sect admits only the three Amidist sutras.

The following are the names of some of the principal Mahayanist scriptures. Comparatively few of them have been published in Europe and some exist only in Chinese or Japanese translations.

1. Prajňā-pāramitā or transcendental knowledge³ is a generic name given to a whole literature consisting of treatises on the doctrine of śūnyatā, which vary greatly in length. They are classed as sutras, being described as discourses delivered by the Buddha on the Vulture Peak. At least ten are known, besides excerpts which are sometimes described as substantive works. The great collection translated into Chinese by Hsuan Chuang is said to consist of 200,000 verses and to comprise sixteen different sutras⁴. The earliest translation of one of these treatises into Chinese (Nanjio, 5) was made about 170 a.d. and

<sup>1</sup> Watters, Yaan Chwang, 11 p 160.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Mahavyutpattı (65) gives a list of 105 sûtras

The word param-ita means as an adjective gone to the further shore or transcendent. As a feminine substantive it means a transcendent virtue or perfection.

See Walleser, Prajädpäramitä in Quellen der Religionsgeschichte, pp 15 ff S B E. Kiix. Nanjio, Catalogue Nos. I-20 and Rajendralala Mitra's Nepalese Buddhist Literature, pp 177 ff Versions are mentioned consisting of 125,000 verses, 100,000 verses, 25,000 verses, 10,000 verses and 8000 verses respectively (Similarly at the beginning of the Mahabharata we are told that the Epic consists of 8800 verses, of 24,000 and of 100,000.) Of these the last of Ashtashasrika has been published in the Bibliothern Indian and the accord to School and the Sibliothern Indian and the accord to School and the Indiana and the accord to School and Indiana and the accord to School and Indiana and the Indiana and the Indiana and Indiana

everything indicates that portions of the Prajña-paramita are among the earliest Mahayanist works and date from about the first century of our era. Prajña not only means knowledge of the absolute truth, that is to say of sûnyata or the void, but is regarded as an ontological principle synonymous with Bodhi and Dharma-kaya. Thus Buddhas not only possess this knowledge in the ordinary sense but they are the knowledge manifest in human form, and Prajña is often personified as a goddess All these works lay great stress on the doctrine of sûnyata, and the non-existence of the world of experience. The longest recension is said to contain a polemic against the Hinayana.

The Diamond Cutter is one of the best known of these transcendental treatises and the two short works called Heart of the Prajñâpâramitâ, which are widely read in Japan, appear to be brief abstracts of the essence of this teaching

2 The Saddharma-pundarika, or Lotus of the Good Lawi, is one of the best known Mahayanist sutras and is highly esteemed in China and Japan It purports to be a discourse delivered by Sakyamuni on the Vulture Peak to an assemblage of Bodhisattvas. The Lotus clearly affirms the multiplicity of vehicles, or various ways of teaching the law, and also the eternity of the Buddha, but it does not emphasize, although it mentions, the doctrine of sînyatâ. The work consists of two parts of which the second (chaps xxi-xxvi) is a later addition. This second part contains spells and many mythological narratives, including one of an ancient Bodhisattva who burnt himself alive in honour of a former Buddha Portions of the Lotus were translated into Chinese under the Western Tam Dynasty 265-316 and and it is quoted in the Mahâ-prajña-pâramitâ-sâstra ascribed to Nâgârjuna<sup>2</sup> The first part is

of publication. It is in proce, so that the expression "verses" appears not to mean that the works are Gathas. A Khotaness version of the Vajracohedika is edited in Hoemle's Manuscryn Remains by Sten Konow. The Sanskrit text was edited by Max Muller in Anecdota Ozonicasa.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Sanskrit text has been edited by Kern and Nanjio in Bibliotheca Buddhica, translated by Burnouf (Le Loius de la bonne Loi), 1852 and by Kern (Saddharmanundarika) in S B E vol XXI

There appears to have been an earlier Chinese varsion of 255 A b but it has been lost. See Nanjio, p. 390. One of the later Chinese versions alludes to the existence of two recensions (Nanjio, No. 139). See BEFEO 1911, p. 453. Fragments of a shorter and apparently earlier recension of the Lotus have been discovered in E. Turkestan. See JRAS. 1916, pp. 269–277.

probably not later than the first century AD. The Lotus is unfortunately accessible to English readers only in a most unpoetic translation by the late Professor Kern, but it is a great religious poem which starting from humanity regards religion as cosmic and universal, rather than something mainly concerned with our earth. The discourses of Sakyamuni are accompanied in it by stupendous miracles culminating in a grand cosmic phantasmagoria in which is evoked the stupa containing the body of a departed Buddha, that is a shrine containing the eternal truth.

- 3. The Lalita-vistara 1 is a life of Sakyamuni up to the commencement of his mission Though the setting of the story is miraculous and Buddhas and Bodhisattvas innumerable are freely spoken of, yet the work does not enunciate the characteristic Mahayanist doctrines so definitely as the other treatises here enumerated. It is said to have originally belonged to the school of the Sarvastivadms and to have been subsequently accepted by the Mahayanists, and though it is not an epic but a collection of ballads and legends, yet it often reads as if it were a prehmmary study for Aśvaghosha's Buddhacarita. It contains Sanskrit versions of old legends, which are almost verbal renderings of the Pali text, but also new material and seems to be conscious of relating novelties which may arouse scepticism for it interrupts the narrative to anothematize those who do not believe in the miracles of the Nativity and to extol the ments of faith (&addha not bhakts). It is probably coeval with the earlier Gandharan art but there are no facts to fix its date2.
- 4. The Lankavatara<sup>3</sup> gives an account of the revelation of the good Law by Sakyamuni when visiting Lanka. It is presumably subsequent to the period when Ceylon had become a

<sup>2</sup> The early Chmese translations seem doubtful. One said to have been made

under the later Han has been lost See Nanjio, No 159

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Edited by Rajendralala Mitra in the Bibliotheca Indica and partially translated in the same series A later oritical edition by Lefmann, 1902-8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Burnouf, Introduction, pp 458 ff and JRAS 1905, pp 831 ff Rajendralia Mitra. Nepalese Buddhist Interature, p 113 A brief analysis is given in JASB June, 1905 according to which the sûtra professes to be the work of a human author. Jina of the clain of Kâtyâyana born at Campâ An edition of the Sanskrit text published by the Buddhist Text Society is cited but I have not seen it. Chinese translations were made in 432 and 515 but the first is incomplete and does not correspond with our Sanskrit text

centre of Buddhism, but the story is pure fancy and unconnected with history or with older legends. It relates how the Buddha alighted on Mt Malaya in Lanka Ravana came to pay his respects and asked for definitions of virtue and vice which were given. The Bodhisattva Mahamatı (apparently Manjuéri) proceeded to propound a series of more abstruse questions which are answered at considerable length The Lankavatara represents a mature phase of speculation and not only criticizes the Sankhya, Pasupata and other Hindu schools, but is conscious of the growing resemblance of Mahayamam to Brahmanic philosophy and tries to explain it. It contains a prophecy about Nagariuna and another which mentions the Guptas, and it appears to allude to the domination of the Huns This allusion would make its date as late as the sixth century but a translation into Chinese which is said to correspond with the Sanskrit text was made in 513. If so the barbarians referred to cannot be the Huns An earlier translation made in 443 does not agree with our Sanskrit text and perhaps the work existed in several recensions

5. The Suvarna-prabhâsa or Glitter of Gold¹ is a Vaipulya sûtra in many ways resembling the Lotus. It insists on the supernatural character of the Buddha He was never really born nor entered into Nirvana but is the Dharma-kâya The scene is laid at Râjagriha and many Brahmanic deities are among the interlocutors. It was translated into Chinese about 420 A D. and fragments of a translation into Uigur have been discovered in Turkestan². The contents comprise philosophy, legends and spells.

6. Ganda-vyûha<sup>3</sup> or the Structure of the World, which is compared to a bubble. The name is not found in the catalogue of the Chinese Tripitaka but the work is said to be the same as the Avatamsaka sûtra which is popular in the Far East under the name of Hua-yên in China or Ke-gon in Japan. The identity of the two books could not have been guessed from the extracts

of the two books could not have been guessed from the extracts and analyses which have been published but is guaranteed by a Abstract by Rajendralala Mitra, Nepalese Buddhest Let p 241

See Nanjio, No 127 and F. W K. Muller in Abhandi der K Preuss Alad der Wissenschaften, 1908 The Uigur text is published in Bibliotheca Buddhica, der Wissenschaften, 1908 The Sanskrit text have also been found in Turkestan 1914 Fragments of the Sanskrit text have also been found in Turkestan

<sup>3</sup> Abstract by Raj Mitra, Nepalese Buddhist Let pp 90 ff The Sikshasamuccaya cites the Ganda-vyúha su erel times and does not mention the Avatameaka

high authorities <sup>1</sup> It is possible however that the Ganda-vyûha is only a portion of the larger work called Avatamsaka So far as can be judged from the extracts, this text preaches in a fully developed form, the doctrines of Sûnyatâ, Dharma-kâya, the omnipresence of the Buddha and the redemption of the world by the exertions of Bodhisattvas Yet it seems to be early, for a portion of it was translated into Chinese about 170 a d. (Nanjio, 102) and about 405 Kumârajîva translated a commentary on it ascribed to Nâgârjuna (Nanjio, 1180).

7 Tathågata-guhyaka This work is known by the analysis of Rajendralala Mitra from which it appears to be a Tantra of the worst class and probably late. Its proper title is said to be Srîguhyasamaja. Watanabe states that the work catalogued by Nanjio under No. 1027 and translated into Chinese about 1000 a D is an expurgated version of it. The Sikshåsamuccaya cites the Tathågata-guhya-sûtra several times. The relations of these works to one another are not quite clear.

- 8 Samādhirāja² is a Vyākaraņa or narratīve describing different forms of meditation of which the Samādhirāja is the greatest and best. The scene is laid on the Vulture's Peak and the principal interlocutors are Sākyamuni and Candraprabha, a rich man of Rājagriha. It appears to be the same as the Candrapradīpa-sūtra and is a complete and copious treatise, which not only expounds the topic from which it takes its name but incidentally enumerates the chief principles of Mahayanism. Watanabe³ states that it is the Yueh-tēng-san-mei-ching (Nanjio, 191) translated about 450 and again in 557 A D.
- 9. Daśabhûmîśvara. An account of the ten stages in the career of a Bodhisattva before he can attain to Buddhahood. The scene is laid in the paradise of Indra where Śākyamuni was temporarily sojourning and the principal interlocutor is a Bodhisattva named Vajragarbha. It is said to be the same as the Daśabhûmika-sûtra first translated into Chinese about 300 AD.

The statement was first made on the authority of Takakusu quoted by Winternitz in Ges Ind Lit  $\Pi$  1 p 242 Watsnabe in JRAS 1911, 663 makes an equally definite statement so to the identity of the two works. The identity is confirmed by Pelliot in JA 1914,  $\Pi$  pp 118-121

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Abstract by Raj Mitra, Nepalese Buddhist Lit. pp 81 ff Quoted in Sântideva's Bodhicarvâvatâra. viii 106

<sup>3</sup> See J R A S 1911, 663

Abstract by Raj Mitra, Nepalese Buddhiel Lit pp 81 ff.

(Nanjio, 105 and 110) but this work appears to be merely a portion of the Ganda-vyûha or Avatamsaka mentioned above

These nine works are all extant in Sanskrit and are known in Nepal as the nine Dharmas, the word Dharma being an abbreviation for *Dharmaparyâya*, revolution or exposition of the law, a term frequently used in the works themselves to describe a comprehensive discourse delivered by the Buddha. They are all quoted in the Sikshâsamuccaya, supposed to have been written about 650 Ap. No similar collection of nine seems to be known in Tibet or the Far East and the origin of the selection is obscure. As however the list does not include the Svayambha Purâna, the principal indigenous scripture of Nepal, it may go back to an Indian source and represent an old tradition.

Besides the nine Dharmas, numerous other stitras exist in Sanskrit, Chinese, Tibetan and the languages of Central Asia Few have been edited or translated and even when something is known of their character detailed information as to their contents is usually wanting. Among the better known are the following

- because its style has a literary quality unusual in Buddhist works is commonly known as the Lêng-yen-ching. The full title is Shou-lêng-yen-san-mei-ching which is the Chinese translitteration of Sûrangama Samâdhi. This sutra is quoted by name in the Sikshâsamuccaya and fragments of the Sanskrit text have been found in Turkestan2. The Sûrangama-Samâdhi Sûtra has been conjectured to be the same as the Samâdhirja, but the accounts of Rajendralala Mitra and Beal do not support this theory. Beal's translation leaves the impression that it resembles a Pali sutta. The scene is laid in the Jetavana with few miraculous accessories. The Buddha discusses with Ananda the location of the soul and after confuting his theories expounds the doctrine of the Dharma-kâya. The fragments found in Turkestan recommend a particular form of meditation.
- 11. Taranatha informs us that among the many Mahayamst works which appeared in the reign of Kanishka's son was the

See Sikahās, ed Bendali, pp 8,91 and Hoernie, Manuscript remains, 1 pp 125 fi

<sup>1</sup> Translated in part by Beal, Catena of Buddhist Scriptures, pp 286-369 See also Testaro Suzuki, Oullines of Mahdydna, p 157 For notices of the text see Nanjio, Nos 398, 446, 1568 Fa-Heson, chap xxix For the equivalence of Shou lêng yen and Sürangama see Nanjio's note to No 399 and Julien, Méthode, 1007 and Vasilief, p 175

Ratna-kûta-dharma-paryâya in 1000 sections and the Ratnakûta is cited not only by the Sikshâsamuccaya but by Asanga¹. The Tibetan and Chinese canons contain sections with this name comprising forty-eight or forty-nine items among which are the three important treatises about Amitâbha's paradise and many dialogues called Paripricchâ, that is, questions put by some personage, human or superhuman, and furnished with appropriate replies². The Chinese Ratnakûta is said to have been compiled by Bodhiruchi (693–713 A D) but of course he is responsible only for the selection not for the composition of the works included Section 14 of this Ratnakûta is said to be identical with chapters 11 and 12 of the Mûlasarvâstivâdin Vinaya³.

12 The Guna-kâranda-vyûha and Kâranda-vyûha are said to be two recensions of the same work, the first in verse the second in prose Both are devoted to the praise of Avalokita who is represented as the presiding deity of the universe. He has refused to enter Buddhahood himself until all living creatures attain to true knowledge and is specially occupied in procuring the release of those who suffer in hell The Gunakâranda-vyûha contains a remarkable account of the origin of the world which is said to be absent from the prose version. The primeval Buddha spirit, Adi-Buddha or Svayambhû, produces Avalokita by meditation, and Avalokita produces the material world and the gods of Hinduism from his body, Siva from his forehead, Narayana from his heart and so on As such doctrines are not known to have appeared in Indian Buddhism before the tenth century it seems probable that the versified edition is late But a work with the title Ratna-karandakavyûha-sûtra was translated into Chinese in 270 and the Kârandavyîha 18 said to have been the first work translated into Tibetan 4.

<sup>1</sup> Mahayana-sütrâlankara, xxx. 29.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> E g the Rishtra-pala-paraprochis edited in Sanskrit by Finot, Biblioth Buddhica, 1901 The Sanskrit text seems to agree with the Chinese version. The real number of sutras in the Ratnakūta seems to be 48, two being practically the same but represented as uttered on different occasions.

There is another somewhat similar collection of sutras in the Chinese Canon called Ta Tsi or Mahasannipäta but unlike the Ratnaküta it seems to contain few well-known or popular works

I know of these works only by Raj Mitra's abstracts, Nepal Bud Let pp 95 and 101 The prose text is said to have been published in Sanskrit at Calcutts, 1873.

13 The Karunâ-pundarîka<sup>1</sup> or Lotus of Compassion is mainly occupied with the description of an imaginary continent called Padmadhâtu, its Buddha and its many splendours. It exists in Sanskrit and was translated into Chinese about 400 A D. (Nanjio, No. 142)

14 The Mahâvairocanâbhisambhodhi called in Chinese Tajih-ching or Great Sun sutra should perhaps be mentioned as it is the principal scripture of the Chân-yen (Japanese Shingon) school It is a late work of unknown origin. It was translated into Chinese in 724 AD but the Sanskrit text has not been found

There are a great number of other sutras which are important for the history of literature, although little attention is paid to them by Buddhists at the present day. Such are the Mahayanist version of the Mahayanirvana recounting the death and burial of the Buddha and the Mahasannipata-sutra, which apparently includes the Sûryagarbha and Candragarbha sûtras. All these works were translated into Chinese about 420 AD and must therefore be of respectable antiquity

Besides the sutras, there are many compositions styled Avadânas or pious legends<sup>2</sup>. These, though recognized by Mahayanists, do not as a rule contain expositions of the Sûnyatâ and Dharma-kâya and are not sharply distinguished from the more imaginative of the Hinayanist scriptures<sup>3</sup>. But they introduce a multiplicity of Buddhas and Bodhisattvas and represent Sâkyamun as a superhuman worker of miracles.

They correspond in many respects to the Pali Vinaya but teach right conduct not so much by precept as by edifying stories and, like most Mahayanist works they lay less stress upon monastic discipline than on unselfish virtue exercised throughout successive existences. There are a dozen or more collections of Avadânas of which the most important are the Mahâvastu and the Divyâvadâna. The former is an encyclopædic work which contains inter alia a life of Sâkyamuni. It describes itself as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Raj Mitra, Nepaless Buddhist Lit pp 285 ff The Sanskrit text was published for the Buddhist Text Society, Caloutta, 1898

Avadana is primarily a great and glorious act hence an account of such an act
The Avadana sataka (Feer, Annales du Musée Guimei, xviii) seems to be

entirely Hinayanist

<sup>a</sup> Edited by Senart, 3 vols 1882-1897 Windisch, Die Komposition des Mahl vasiu, 1909 Article "Mahlvastu" in ERE

belonging to the Lokottaravadins, a section of the Aryamahasanghikas The Lokottaravâdins were an ancient sect, precursors of the Mahayana rather than a branch of it, and much of the Mahavastu is parallel to the Pali Canon and may have been composed a century or two before our era. But other parts seem to belong to the Gandharan period and the mention of Chinese and Hunnish writing points to a much later date1. If it was originally a Vinaya treatise, it has been distended out of all recognition by the addition of legends and anecdotes but it still retains a certain amount of matter found also in the Pali and Tibetan Vinayas There were probably several recensions in which successive additions were made to the original nucleus. One interpolation is the lengthy and important section called Dasabhumika, describing the career of a Bodhisattva It is the only part of the Mahavastu which can be called definitely Mahayanist. The rest of the work marks a transitional stage in doctrine, just as its language is neither Prakrit or Sanskrit but some ancient vernacular brought into partial conformity with Sanskrit grammar. No Chinese translation is known.

The Divyâvadâna<sup>2</sup> is a collection of legends, part of which is known as the Asokâvadâna and gives an edifying life of that pious monarch. This portion was translated into Chinese A D 317–420 and the work probably dates from the third century of our era. It is loosely constructed considerable portions of it seem to be identical with the Vinaya of the Sarvâstivâdins and

others with passages in the works of Aśvaghosha.

The Avadânas lie on the borderland between scripture and pious literature which uses human argument and refers to scripture for its authority. Of this literature the Mahayanist church has a goodly collection and the works ascribed to such doctors as Aśvaghosha, Någårjuna, Asanga and Vasubandhu hold a high place in general esteem. The Chinese Canon places many of them in the Pitakas (especially in the Abhidharma Pitaka) and not among the works of miscellaneous writers

The Mahayanist scriptures are still a living force. In Nepal the nine Dharmas receive superstitious homage rather than

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> So too do the words Horâpâthaka (astrologer), Ujjhebhaka (? Uzbek), Peliyalsha (? Felix) The word Yogâcâra (r 120) may refer simply to the practice of Yoga and not to the school which bore this name.
<sup>2</sup> Edited by Cowell and Neil, 1886 See Nanjio, 1844.

intelligent study, but in Tibet and the Far East the Prains. pâramitâ, the Lotus and the sutras about Amitâbha are in daily use for public worship and private reading. I have heard the first-named work as well as the Lêng-ven-ching expounded, that is, read aloud with an extempore paraphrase, to lay congregations in China, and the section of it called the Diamond Cutter is the book which is most commonly in the hands of religious Tibetans The Lotus is the special scripture of the Nichiren sect in Japan but is universally respected. The twenty-fourth chapter which contains the praises of Avalokita is often printed separately. The Amitabha sûtras take the place of the New Testament for the Jodo and Shin sects and copies of them may also be found in almost every monastery throughout China and Annam. The Suvarnaprabhasa is said to be specially popular among the Mongols I know Chinese Buddhists who read the Hua-yen (Avatamsaka) every day. Modern Japanese writers quote frequently from the Lankavatara and Kasyapa-panvarta but I have not met with any instance of these works being in popular use

I have mentioned already the obscurity surrounding the history of the Mahayanist Canon in India and it may seem to throw doubt on the authenticity of these scriptures Unauthentic they certainly are in the sense that European criticism is not likely to accept as historical the discourses which they attribute to the Buddha and others, but there is no reason to doubt that they are treatises composed in India early in our era and representing the doctrines then prevalent. The religious public of India has never felt any difficulty in accepting works of ment -and often only very moderate ment-as revelations, whether called Upanishads, Puranas, Sutras or what not Only rarely have such works received any formal approbation, such as recognition by a council Indeed it is rather in Ceylon, Burma, Tibet and China than in India itself that authoritative lists of scriptures have been compiled The natural instinct of the Hindus was not to close the Canon but to leave it open for any

additions which might be vouchsafed

Two sketches of an elastic Mahayanist Canon of this kind are preserved, one in the Sikshäsamuccaya<sup>1</sup> attributed to Säntideva, who probably flourished in the seventh century, and

<sup>1</sup> Edited by Bondall in Bibl Buddhica

the other in a little work called the Duration of the Law, reporting a discourse by an otherwise unknown Nandimitra, said to have lived in Ceylon 800 years after the Buddha's death¹. The former is a compendium of doctrine illustrated by quotations from what the author regarded as scripture. He cites about a hundred Mahayanist sutras, refers to the Vinaya and Divyâvadâna but not apparently to the Abhidharma. He mentions no Tantras² and not many Dhâranîs.

The second work was translated by Hsuan Chuang and was therefore probably written before 600 A D. 3 Otherwise there is no external evidence for fixing its date. It represents Nandimitra as explaining on his deathbed the steps taken by the Buddha to protect the True Law and in what works that Law is to be found. Lake the Chinese Tripitaka it recognizes both Mahayanıst and Hinayanıst works, but evidently prefers the former and styles them collectively Bodhisattva-Pitaka enumerates about fifty sutras by name, beginning with the Prajña-paramita, the Lotus and other well-known texts. Then comes a list of works with titles ending in Samadhi, followed by others called Paripriccha or questions A new category seems to be formed by the Buddhavatamsaka-sûtra with which the sutras about Amitâbha's Paradise are associated Then comes the Mahasannipata-sûtra associated with works which may correspond to the Ratnakûta division of the Chinese Canon5. The writer adds that there are "hundreds of myriads of similar sutras classified in groups and categories." He mentions the Vinaya and Abhidharma without further particulars, whereas in describing the Hinayanist versions of these two Pitakas he gives many details.

The importance of this list lies in the fact that it is Indian rather than in its date, for the earliest catalogue of the Chinese Tripitaka compiled about 510 is perhaps older and certainly

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  Nanjio, No 1466 For a learned discussion of this work see Lévi and Chavannes in JA 1916, Nos 1 and 11

It is not likely that the Tathagatha-guhya-sûtra which it quotes is the same as the Tautra with a similar name analysed by Rajendralal Mitra

Watters, JRAS 1898, p 331 says there seems to have been an earlier translation

Many works with this title will be found in Nanno

But the Chinese title seems rather to represent Ratnarås:
See Namio. up xin-xvii

ampler But if the catalogue stood alone, it might be hard to say how far the selection of works in it was due to Chinese taste. But taking the Indian and Chinese evidence together, it is clear that in the sixth century Indian Mahayanists (a) tolerated Hinayanist scriptures while preferring their own, (b) made httle use of the Vinaya or Abhidharma for argument or edification, though the former was very important as a code, (c) recognized extremely numerous sutras, grouped in various classes such as Mahâsannipâta and Buddhâvatamsaka, (d) and did not use works called Tantras Probably much the same is true of the fourth century and even earlier, for Asanga in one work<sup>1</sup> quotes both Maha- and Hinayanist scriptures and among the former cites by name seventeen sutras, including one called Pariprichâ or questions

Maháyāna-sútrálankāra See Lévr's introduction, p 14 The "Questions" sutra is Brahma paripricchâ

### CHAPTER XXI

#### CHRONOLOGY OF THE MAHAYANA

In the previous chapters I have enumerated some features of Mahayanism, such as the worship of Bodhisattvas leading to mythology, the defication of Buddhas, entailing a theology as complicated as the Christian creeds, the combination of metaphysics with religion, and the rise of new scriptures consecrating all these innovations. I will now essay the more difficult task of arranging these phenomena in some sort of chronological setting.

The voluminous Chinese literature concerning Buddhism offers valuable assistance, for the Chinese, unlike the Hindus, have a natural disposition to write simple narratives recording facts and dates. But they are diarists and chroniclers rather than historians. The Chinese pilgrims to India give a good account of their itinerary and experiences, but they have little idea of investigating and arranging past events and merely recount traditions connected with the places which they visited. In spite of this their statements have considerable historical value and on the whole harmonize with the literary and archæological data furnished by India.

The Tibetan Lama Tāranātha who completed his History of Indian Buddhism¹ in 1608 is a less satisfactory authority. He merits attention but also scepticism and caution. His work is a compilation but is not to be despised on that ground, for the Tibetan translations of Sanskrit works offer a rich mine of information about the history of the Mahayana. Unfortunately few of these works take the historical point of view and Tāranātha's own method is as uncritical as his materials. Dire confusion prevails as to chronology and even as to names², so

The Thetans generally translate instead of transliterating Indian names. It is as if an English history of Greece were to speak of Leader of the People instead of Agenlans.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Translated by Schiefner, 1869 Täranäths informs us (p. 281) that his chief authorizes were the history of Kahemendrabhadra, the Buddhapurāna of Indradatta and Bhataghati's history of the succession of the Acâryas

that the work is almost useless as a connected account, though it contains many interesting details

Two epochs are of special importance for the development of later Indian Buddhism, that of Kanishka and that of Vasubandhu and his brother Asanga. The reader may expect me to discuss at length the date of Kanishka's accession, but I do not propose to do so for it may be hoped that in the next few years archeological research in India or Central Asia will fix the chronology of the Kushans and meanwhile it is waste of time to argue about probabilities or at any rate it can be done profitably only in special articles At present the majority of scholars place his accession at about 78 A D, others put it back to 58 B.C. and arrange the Kushan kings in a different order1. while still others? think that he did not come to the throne until the second century was well advanced. The evidence of art. particularly of numismatics, indicates that Kanishka reigned towards the end of his dynasty rather than at the beginning. but the use of Greek on his coins and his traditional connection with the beginnings of the Mahayana are arguments against a very late date If the date 78 A D is accepted, the conversion of the Yuch-chih to Buddhism and its diffusion in Central Asia cannot have been the work of Kamshka, for Buddhism began to reach China by land about the time of the Christian era3 There is however no reason to assume that they were his work. Kanislika, like Constantine, probably favoured a winning cause, and Buddhism may have been gradually making its way among the Kushans and their neighbours for a couple of centuries before his time In any case, however important his reign may

¹ They place Kaushka, Väsuhka, Huvushka and Vasudeva before Kadphusa I and Kadphusa II

<sup>\*</sup> E g Stati Holstom who also thinks that Kanishka's tribs should be called Kusha not Kushan Vincent Smith in his latest work (Oxford History of India, p 130) gives 120 A D. as the most probable date

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> My chief difficulty in accepting 78-123 AD as the reign of Kansaka is that the Chinese Annals record the doings of Pan Ch'ao between 73 and 102 in Central Asia, with which region Kanishka is believed to have had relations, and yet do not mention his name. This silence makes it primd facie probable that he lived either before or after Pan Ch'ao's career.

The catalogues of the Chinese Tripitaks state that An Shih-Kao (148-170 A D ) translated the Märgabhümi sütra of Sangharaksha, who was the chaplain of Kanishka But this unfortunately proves nothing except that Kanishka cannot have been very late. The work is not a sompture for whose recognition some lapse of time must be postulated. An Shih kao, who came from the west, may very well have translated a recent and popular treaties.

have been for the Buddhist Church, I do not think that the history of the Mahayana should be made to depend on his date. Chinese translations, supported by other evidence, indicate that the Mahayanist movement had begun about the time of our era. If it is proved that Kanishka lived considerably later, we should not argue that Mahayanism is later than was supposed but rather that his relation towards it has been misunderstood.

The date of Vasubandhu has also been much discussed and scholars have generally placed him in the fourth or fifth century but Pén<sup>2</sup> appears to have proved that he lived from about 280 to 360 A D and I shall adopt this view This chronology makes a reasonable setting for the development of Buddhism. If Kanishka reigned from about 78 to 123 AD or even later, there is no difficulty in supposing that Aśvaghosha flourished in his reign and was followed by Någårjuna The collapse of the Kushan Empire was probably accompanied by raids from Iranian tribes, for Persian influence appears to have been strong m India during the confused interval between the Kushans and Guptas (225-320). The latter inaugurated the revival of Hinduism but still showed favour to individual Buddhists, and we know from Fa-Hsien that Buddhism was fairly flourishing during his visit to India (399-415) There is nothing improbable m supposing that Vasubandhu, who is stated to have lived at Court, was patronized by the early Guptas. The blank in Buddhist history which follows his career can be explained first by the progress of Hindusm at the expense of Buddhism and secondly by the invasions of the Huns The Chinese pilgrim Sung-Yun has left us an account of India in this distressful period and for the seventh century the works of Hsuan Chuang and I-Ching give copious information.

In investigating the beginnings of the Mahayana we may start from the epoch of Asoka, who is regarded by tradition as the patron and consolidator of the Hinayanist Church. And the tradition seems on the whole correct the united evidence of

 $^{2}$  B F F E O 1911, 239–390 Satischandra Vidyābhûshana armved at the same souchusion in J A B 1905. in 227

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In this connection we may remember Tāranātha's statement that Kanishka's Council put an end to dissentions which had lasted about a century. But he also states that it was after the Council that Mahayanist texts began to appear If Kanishka flourished about 50 AD this would fit in with Tāranātha's statements and what we know of the history of Buddhism

texts and inscriptions goes to show that the Buddhists of Asoka's time held the chief doctrines subsequently professed by the Sinhalese Church and did not hold the other set of doctrines known as Mahayanist That these latter are posterior in time is practically admitted by the books that teach them, for they are constantly described as the crown and completion of a progressive revelation Thus the Lotus 1 illustrates the evolution of doctrine by a story which curiously resembles the parable of the producal son except that the returned penitent does not recognize his father, who proceeds to reveal gradually his name and position, keeping back the full truth to the last Similarly it is held in the Far East that there were five periods in Sakyamum's teaching which after passing through the stage of the Hinayana culminated in the Prajna-paramita and Amitabha sutras shortly before his death Such statements admit the historical priority of the Hinayana. it is rudimentary (that is early) truth which needs completion and expansion Many critics demur to the assumption that primitive Buddhism was a system of ethics purged of superstition and mythology And in a way they are right. Could we get hold of a primitive Buddhist, we should probably find that miracles, magic, and superhuman beings played a large part in his mind and that the Buddha did not appear to him as what we call a human teacher In that sense the germs of the Mahayana existed in the lifetime of Gotama But the difference between early and later Buddhism lies in this, that the doities who surround the Buddha in the Pali Pitakas are mere accessories his teaching would not be affected if they were all removed. But the Bodhisattvas in the Lotus or the Sutra of the Happy Land have a doctrinal significance

Though in India old ideas persist with unusual vitality, still even there they can live only if they either develop or gather round them now accretions. As one of the religious of India, Buddhism was sensitive to the general movement of Indian thought, or rather it was a part of that movement. We see as clearly in Buddhist as in non-Buddhist India that there was a tendency to construct philosophic systems and another tendency to create deities satisfying to the emotions as well as to the intellect and yet another tendency to compose new scriptures. But apart

from this parallel development, it becomes clear after the Christian era that Buddhism is becoming surrounded by Hindusm. The influence is not indeed one-sided there is interdependence and interpenetration but the net result is that the general Indian features of each religious period overpower the specially Buddhist features and in the end we find that while Hinduism has only been profoundly modified Buddhism has vanished.

If we examine the Pali Pitakas, including the heresies mentioned in the Kathavatthu, we find that they contain the germs of many Mahayanist ideas Thus side by side with the human portrait of the Buddha there is the doctrine that he is one in a series of supernatural teachers, each with the same life-history, and this life is connected with the whole course of nature, as is shown by the sympathetic earthquakes which mark its onses His birth is supernatural and had he willed it he could have lived until the end of the present Kalpa1 So, too, the nature of a Buddha when he is released from form, that is after death, is deep and unfathomable as the ocean2. The Kathâvatthu condemns the ideas (thus showing that they existed) that Buddhas are born in all quarters of the universe, that the Buddha was superhuman in the ordinary affairs of life, that he was not really born in the world of men and that he did not preach the Law himself. These last two heresies are attributed by the commentary to the Vetulyakas who are said to have believed that he remained in the Tushita heaven and sent a phantom to preach on earth. Here we have the rudiments of the doctrine afterwards systematized under the name of the three bodies of Buddha Similarly though Nirvana is regarded as primarily an ethical state, the Pali Canon contains the expression Nirvanadhâtu and the idea<sup>3</sup> that Nirvana is a sphere or realm (*dyatanam*) which transcends the transitory world and in which such antitheses are coming and going, birth and death, cease to exist. This foreshadows the doctrine of Bhûta-tathatâ and we seem to hear a prelude to the dialectic of Nagarjuna when the Kathavatthu discusses whether Suñnata or the void is predicable of the Skandhas and when it condemns the views that anything now existing existed in the past, and that knowledge of the present is possible (whereas the moment anything is known it

;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Mahâparınıb Sut m <sup>2</sup> Udâna, vni. 1–4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Majj Nik 72



in the reign of Pushyamitra that the Græco-Bactrian king Menander or Milinda invaded India (155–3 B C) and there were many other invasions and settlements of tribes coming from the north-west and variously described as Sakas, Pahlavas, Parthians and Yavanas, culminating in the conquests of the Kushans. The whole period was disturbed and confused but some general statements can be made with considerable confidence.

From about 300 B.C to 100 AD. we find inscriptions, buildings and statues testifying to the piety of Buddhist and Jain donors but hardly any indications of a similar liberality to Brahmans In the second and third centuries AD. grants of land to Brahmans and their temples begin to be recorded and in the fourth century (that is with the rise of the Gupta Dynasty) such grants become frequent. These facts can hardly be interpreted otherwise than as meaning that from 300 B C to 100 A D. the upper classes of India favoured Buddhism and Jamism and did not favour the Brahmans in the same way or to the same extent But it must be remembered that the religion of the Brahmans continued throughout this period and produced a copious literature, and also that the absence of works of art may be due to the fact that their worship was performed in sacrificial enclosures and that they had not yet begun to use temples and statues After the first century AD we have first a gradual and then a rapid rise in Brahmanic influence. Inscriptions as well as books indicate that a linguistic change occurred in the same period At first popular dialects were regarded as sufficiently dignified and current to be the medium for both scripture and official records Sanskrit remained a thing apart the peculiar possession of the Brahman literata Then the popular language was sanskritized, the rules of Sanskrit grammar being accepted as the standard to which it ought to conform, though perfect conformity was impracticable. In much the same way the modern Greeks try to bring Romaic into line with classical Greek Finally Sanskrit was recognized as the proper language for literature, government and religion The earliest inscriptions1 in correct Sanskrit seem to date from the second century A D. Further, the invaders who entered India from the

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  That of Rudradaman at Gırnar, dated 72 in the Saka Era, has hitherto been considered the oldest, but it is now said that one discovered at Isapur near Muttra is older See J R A S 1912, p 114

north-west favoured Buddhism on the whole Coins indicate that some of them worshipped Sival but the number and beauty of Buddhist monuments erected under their rule can hardly be interpreted except as a sign of their patronage. And their conversion was natural for they had no strong religious convictions of their own and the Brahmans with their pride of caste shrank from foreigners. But Buddhism had no prejudice of race or class it was animated by a missionary spirit and it was probably the stronger creed at this period. It not only met the invaders on their entry into India but it sent missionaries to them in Bactria and Afghanistan, so that to some extent they brought Buddhism with them. But it was a Buddhism combined with the most varied elements. Hellenic art and religion had made the figures of Apollo, Herakles and Hebos familiar in Bactria, and both Bactria and northern India were in touch with Zoroastrians. The mixed cults of these borderlands readily professed allegiance to the Buddha but, not understanding Indian ideas, simply made him into a deity and having done this were not likely to repudiate other Indian deities. Thus in its outward form the Buddhism of the invaders tended to be a compound of Indian, Greek and Persian ideas in which Sun worship played a large part, for not only Indian myths, but Apollo and Helios and the Persian Mithra all entered into it Persian influence in art is discernible as early as the architecture of Asoka in doctrine it has something to do with such figures as Vairocana and Amitâbha. Græco-Roman influence also was powerful in art and through art affected religion. In Asoka's time likenesses of the Buddlia were unknown and the adoration of images, if not entirely due to the art of Gandhara, was at least encouraged by it

But though coins and soulpture bring clearly before us a medley of duties corresponding to a medley of human races, they do not help us much in tracing the growth of thought, phases of which are preserved in a literature sufficiently copious though the record sometimes fails at the points of transition where it would be of most interest. It is natural that sacred books should record accepted results rather than tentative innovations and even disguise the latter. But we can fix a few dates which enable us to judge what shape Buddhism was taking

about the time of the Christian era. The Tibetan historian Taranatha is not of much help, for his chronology is most confused, but still he definitely connects the appearance of Mahayanist texts with the reign of Kanishka and the period immediately following it1 and regards them as a new phenomenon. Greater assistance is furnished by the Chinese translators. whose dates are known with some exactitude. Thus the earliest Buddhist work rendered into Chinese 18 said to be the sutra of forty-two sections, translated by Kâsyapa Mâtanga in 67 a D. It consists of extracts or resumés of the Buddha's teaching mostly prefaced by the words "The Buddha said," doubtless in imitation of the Confucian Analects where the introductory formula "The master said" plays a similar part. Its ideas and precepts are Hınayanıst2, the Arhat is held up as the ideal and in a remarkable passage<sup>2</sup> where the degrees of sanctity are graded and compared no mention is made of Bodhisattvas. This first translation was followed by a long series of others, principally from the Sûtra-Pıtaka, for very little of the Vınaya was translated before the fifth century. A great number of Hınayanist sutras were translated before 300 A D but very few after 450. On the other hand portions of the sutra about Amida's Paradise, of the Prajña-paramıta, and of the Avatamsaka were translated about 150 A.D. and translations of the Lotus and Lalita-vistara appeared about 300.

Great caution is necessary in using these data and the circumstances of China as well as of India must be taken into account If translations of the Vinaya and complete collections of sutras are late in appearing, it does not follow that the corresponding Indian texts are late, for the need of the Vinaya was not felt until monasteries began to spring up. Most of the translations made before the fifth century are extracts and of indifferent workmanship. Some are retained in the Chinese Tripitaka but are superseded by later versions. But however inaccurate and incomplete these older translations may be, if any of them can be identified with a part of an extant Sanskrit

<sup>1</sup> Chaps XII, XIII

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The last section (42) as translated by Tertaro Suzuki in the Sermons of a Buddhist Abbot may seem an exception, for it contains such statements as "I consider the doctrine of sameness as the absolute ground of reality." But the translation seems to me doubtful

<sup>3</sup> Sec 11

work it follows that at least that part of the work and the doctrines contained in it were current in India or Central Asia some time before the translation was made. Applying this principle we may conclude that the Hinayana and Mahayana were flourishing side by side in India and Central Asia in the first century A D and that the Happy Land sutras and portions of the Prajiā-pāramitā already existed. From that time onwards Mahayanist literature as represented by Chinese translations steadily increases, and after 400 A D. Hinayanist literature declines, with two exceptions, the Vinaya and the Abhidharma books of the Sarvāstivādius. The Vinaya was evidently regarded as a rule of life independent of theology, but it is remarkable that Hsuan Chuang after his return from India in 645 should have thought it worth while to translate the philosophy of the Sarvāstivādius.

Other considerations render this chronology probable Two conspicuous features of the Mahayana are the worship of Bodhisattvas and idealist philosophy. These are obviously parallel to the worship of Siva and Vishnu, and to the rise of the Vedanta Now the worship of these derties was probably not prevalent before 300 B C, for they are almost unknown to the Pali Pitakas, and it was fully developed about the time of the Bhagavad-gitâ which perhaps assumed its present form a little before the Christian era Not only is the combination of devotion and metaphysics found in this work similar to the tone of many Mahayanist sutras but the manifestation of Krishna in his divine form is like the transformation scenes of the Lotus 1 The chief moral principle of the Bhagavad-gîtâ is substantially the same as that prescribed for Bodhisattvas It teaches that action is superior to inaction, but that action should be wholly disinterested and not directed to any selfish object This is precisely the attitude of the Bodhisativa who avoids the maction of those who are engrossed in self-culture as much as the pursuit of wealth or pleasure. Both the Gita and Mahayanist treatises lay stress on faith He who thinks on Krishna when dying goes to Krishna² just as he who thinks on Amitâbha goes

Just as all gods and worlds are seen within Krishna's body, so we are told in the Karanda-vyûha (which is however a later work) that in the pores of Avalokita's skin are woods and mountains where dwell saints and gods

a Bhag G vm 5

to the Happy Land and the idea is not unknown to the Palitexts, for it finds complete expression in the story of Mattha-kundali<sup>1</sup>.

The idea of a benevolent deity to be worshipped with devotion and faith and not with ceremonies is strange to old Buddhism and old Brahmanism alike. It was a popular idea which became so strong that neither priests nor Bhikshus could ignore it and m its ultimate result it is hard to say whether Buddhist or Brahmanic elements are more prominent. Both Avalokita and Krishna are Devas The former has the beauty of holiness and the strength which it gives, but also the weakness of a somewhat abstract figure the latter is very personal and springs from the heart of India but to those who are not Hindus seems wanting in purity and simplicity The divine character of both figures is due to Brahmanism rather than Buddhism, but the new form of worship which laid stress on a frame of mind rather than on ceremonial and the idea of Avataras or the periodic appearance of superhuman saviours and teachers indicate the influence of Buddhism on Brahmanism.

There is a similar parallel between the newer Buddhist plulosophy and the Vedantist school represented by Sankara, and Indian critics detected it. Sankara was called a Pracchannabauddha or crypto-buddhist by his theological opponents2 and the resemblance between the two systems in thought, if not in word, is striking. Both distinguish relative and absolute truth. for both the relative truth is practically theism, for both absolute truth is beyond description and whether it is called Brahman, Dharma-kâya or Sûnyatâ is not equivalent to God in the Christian or Mohammedan sense. Just as for the Vedantist there exist in the light of the highest knowledge neither a personal God nor an individual soul, so the Madhyamika Sûtra can declare that the Buddha does not really exist The Mahayanist philosophers do not use the word Mâyâ but they state the same theory in a more subjective form by ascribing the appearance of the phenomenal world to ignorance, a nomen-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Commentary on Dhammapada, P.T.S. edition, pp 25 ff. especially p 33.
<sup>2</sup> See Rāmānuja, Sribhāshya, H 2, 27 and Padma-Purāna uttarakanda 43 (quoted by Suhtanhar in Vienna Oriental Journ vol XXII 1908). Māyūvādam asacchāstrām pracchannam bauddham ucyate The Mādhvas were specially bitter in their denunciation of Sankara.

clature which is derived from the Buddha's phrase, "From ignorance come the Sankhâras"

Here, as elsewhere, Buddhist and Brahmanic ideas acted and reacted in such complex interrelations that it is hard to say which has borrowed from the other As to dates, the older Upanishads which contain the foundations but not the complete edifice of Vedantism, seem a little earlier than the Buddha Now we know that within the Vedantist school there were divergences of opinion which later received classic expression in the hands of Sankara and Ramanuja. The latter rejected the doctrines of Maya and of the difference between relative and absolute truth The germs of both schools are to be found in the Upanishads but it seems probable that the ideas of Sankara were originally worked out among Buddhists rather than among Brahmans and were rightly described by their opponents as disguised Buddhism As early as 520 A D Bodhidharma preached in China a doetrine which is practically the same as the Advarta.

The earliest known work in which the theory of Mâyâ and the Advaita philosophy are clearly formulated is the metrical treatise known as the Kârikâ of Gaudapâda. This name was borne by the teacher of Sankara's teacher, who must have hived about 700 A D, but the high position accorded to the work, which is usually printed with the Mândûkya Upanishad and is practically regarded as¹ a part of it, make an earlier date probable. Both in language and thought it bears a striking resemblance to Buddhist writings of the Mâdhyamika school and also contains many ideas and similes which reappear in the works of Sankara². On the other hand the Lankâvatâra Sûtra which was translated into Chinese in 513 and therefore can hardly have been composed later than 450, is conscious that its doctrines resemble Brahmanic philosophy, for an interlocutor

<sup>1</sup> Or as itself forming four separate Upanishads For other arguments in favour of an early date see Walleser, Alterer Veddnia, pp 14 ff. He states that the Kanka is quoted in the Tibetan translations of Bhavaviveka's Tärlaysälä Bhavaviveka was certainly anterior to the travels of Hauan Chuang and perhaps was much earlier. But if he died about 800 a D a work quoted by him can hardly have been later than 5500 and may be much earlier. But see also Jacobi in J A O S. April, 1913, p. 51

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For the resemblances to Någårjuna see JRAS 1910, pp 136 ff Especially remarkable are H 32 na nirodho na cotpattir, etc, and iv 59 and the whole argument that causation is impossible. Noticeable too is the use of Buddhist terms like upåya, nirvåna, buddha and ådibuddha, though not always in the Buddhist sense

objects that the language used in it by the Buddha about the Tathâgatha-garbha is very like the Brahmanic doctrine of the Âtman. To which the Buddha replies that his language is a concession to those who cannot stomach the doctrine of the negation of reality in all its austerity. Some of the best known verses of Gaudapâda compare the world of appearance to the apparent circle of fire produced by whirling a lighted torch. This striking image occurs first in the Maitrâyana Upanishad (vi. 24), which shows other indications of an acquaintance with Buddhism, and also in the Lankâvatâra Sûtra.

A real affinity unites the doctrine of Sankara to the teaching of Gotama himself. That teaching as presented in the Pali Pitakas is marked by its negative and deliberately circumscribed character. Its rule is silence when strict accuracy of expression is impossible, whereas later philosophy does not shrink from phrases which are suggestive, if not exact Gotama refuses to admit that the human soul is a fixed entity or Atman, but he does not condemn (though he also does not discuss) the idea that the whole world of change and becoming, including human souls, is the expression or disguise of some one meffable principle. He teaches too that the human mind can grow until it develops new faculties and powers and becomes the Buddha mind, which sees the whole chain of births, the order of the world, and the reality of emancipation As the object of the whole system is practical, Nirvana is always regarded as a terminus ad quem or an escape (missaranam) from this transitory world, and this view is more accurate as well as more edifying than the view which treats Brahman or Sûnyatâ as the origin of the universe. When the Vedanta teaches that this changing troubled world is merely the disguise of that unchanging and untroubled state into which saints can pass, it is, I believe, following Gotama's thought, but giving it an expression which he would have considered imperfect

## CHAPTER XXII

## FROM KANISHKA TO VASUBANDHU

TRADITION, as mentioned above, connects the rise of the Mahayana with the reign of Kanishka Materials for forming a picture of Indian life under his rule are not plentiful but it was clearly an age of fusion. His hereditary dominions were ample and he had no need to spend his reign in conquests, but he probably subdued Kashmır as well as Khotan, Yarkand and Kashgar<sup>1</sup>. Hostages from one of these states were sent to reside in India and all accounts agree that they were treated with generosity and that their sojourn improved the relations of Kanishka with the northern tribes His capital was Purushapura or Peshawar, and the locality, like many other features of his reign, indicates a tendency to amalgamate India with Persia and Central Asia It was embellished with masterpieces of Gandharan sculpture and its chief ornament was a great stûpa built by the king for the reception of the relics of the Buddha which he collected This building is described by several Chinese pilgrims<sup>2</sup> and its proportions, though variously stated, were sufficient to render it celebrated in all the Buddhist world It is said to have been several times burnt, and rebuilt, but so solid a structure can hardly have been totally destroyed by fire and the greater part of the monument discovered in 1908 probably dates from the time of Kanishka The base is a square measuring 285 feet on each side, with massive towers at the corners, and on each of the four faces projections bearing stair-

See Fa-Hssen, ed Legge, p 33, BEFEO 1903 (Sung Yūn), pp 420 ff Watters, Füan Chwang, 1 pp 204 ff JRAS 1909, p 1056, 1912, p 114 For the general structure of these stupas see Foucher, L'art Gréco Bouddhique du Gandhara,

pp 45 ff

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The uncertainty as to the date of Kanishka naturally makes it uncertain whether he was the hero of these conquests. Kashmir was certainly included in the dominions of the Kushans and was a fayounte residence of Kanishka. About 90 a D a Kushan king attacked Central Asia hut was repulsed by the Chinese general Pan-Ch'ao Later, after the death of Pan-Ch'ao (perhaps about 103 a.D.). he renewed the attempt and conquered Kashgar, Yarkand and Khotan. See Vincent Smith, Early History of India, 3rd ed. pp. 263 ff.

cases The sides were ornamented with stucco figures of the Buddha and according to the Chinese pilgrims the superstructure was crowned with an iron pillar on which were set twenty-five gilded disks. Inside was found a metal casket, still containing the sacred bones, and bearing an inscription which presents two points of great interest. Firstly it mentions "Agsala the overseer of works at Kanishka's vihâra," that is, probably Agesilaus, a foreigner in the king's service. Secondly it states that the casket was made "for the acceptance of the teachers of the Sarvastivadin sect1," and the idea that Kanishka was the special patron of the Mahayana must be reconsidered m the light of this statement

Legends asombe Kanishka's fervour for the Buddhist faith not to education but to conversion. His coinage, of which abundant specimens have been preserved, confirms this for it presents images of Greek, Persian, Indian and perhaps Babylonian derties showing how varied was the mythology which may have mingled with Gandharan Buddhism. The coins bearing figures of the Buddha are not numerous and, as he undoubtedly left behind him the reputation of a pious Buddhist. it is probable that they were struck late in his reign and represent his last religious phase? Hsuan Chuang? repeats some legends which relate that he was originally anti-Buddhist, and that after his conversion he summoned a council and built a stupa.

The substance of these legends is probable. Kanishka as a barbarian but docile conqueror was likely to adopt Buddhism if he wished to keep abreast of the thought and civilisation of his subjects, for at that time it undoubtedly inspired the intellect and art of north-western India. Both as a statesman and as an enquirer after truth he would wish to promote harmony and stop sectaman squabbles. His action resembles that of Constantine who after his conversion to Christianity proceeded to summon the Council of Nicæa in order to stop the dissensions of the Church and settle what were the tenets of the religion which he had embraced, a point about which both he and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> JRAS 1909, p 1058 "Aceryanam Sarvastivadmam pratsgrahê"

Similarly Harsha became a Buddhist late in life

Watters, vol. r p 203 He places Kanishka's accession 400 years after the death of the Buddha, which is one of the arguments for supposing Kanishka to have regned about 50 s c, but m another passage (Watters, I 222, 224) he appears to place at 500 years after the death

Kanıslıka seem to have felt some uncertainty Our knowledge of Kanishka's Council depends chiefly on the traditions reported by Hsuan Chuang1 which present many difficulties He tells us that the king, acting in consultation with Parsva, issued summonses to all the learned doctors of his realm. They came in such crowds that a severe test was imposed and only 499 Arhats were selected. There was some discussion as to the place of meeting but finally Kashmir2 was selected and the king built a monastery for the Brethren. When the Council met, there arose a question as to whether Vasumitra (who is not further described) should be admitted seeing that he was not an Arhat but aspired to the career of a Bodhisattva But owing to the interposition of spirits he was not only admitted but made president

The texts of the Tripitaka were collected and the Council "composed 100,000 stanzas of Upadesa Sastras explanatory of the canonical sûtras, 100,000 stanzas of Vinaya-vibhâshâ Sâstras explanatory of the Vinaya and 100,000 of Abhidharma-vibhasha Sastras explanatory of the Abhidharma For this exposition of the Tripitaka all learning from remote antiquity was thoroughly examined; the general sense and the terse language (of the Buddhist scriptures) was again and again made clear and distinct, and learning was widely diffused for the safe-guiding of disciples King Kanishka caused the treatises when finished to be written out on copper plates and enclosed these m stone boxes which he deposited in a tope made for the purpose He then ordered spirits to keep and guard the texts and not to allow any to be taken out of the country by heretics, those who wished to study them could do so in the country When leaving to return to his own country, Kanishka renewed Asoka's grit of all Kashmir to the Buddhist Church3"

Paramartha (499-569 A D ) in his Life of Vasubandhu4 gives an account of a council generally considered to be the same as

<sup>1</sup> Watters, vol 1 270-1

<sup>\*</sup> But Taranatha says some authorities held that it met at Jalandhara. Some Chinese works say it was held at Kandahar

Translated by Takakusu in Toung Pao, 1904, pp 269 ff Paramariha was a native of Ujjain who arrived at Nanking in 548 and made many translations, but it is quite possible that this life of Vasubandhu is not a translation but original notes of his own.

that described by Hsuan Chuang, though the differences in the two versions are considerable. He says that about five hundred years1 after the Buddha's death (1 e between 87 B.C and 13 A.D. if the Buddha died 487 B.C ) an Indian Arhat called Katyayaniputra, who was a monk of the Sarvastivadin school, went to Kipin or Kashmir. There with 500 other Arhats and 500 Bodhisattvas he collected the Abhidharma of the Sarvastivadins and arranged it in eight books called Ka-lan-ta (Sanskrit Grantha) or Kan-tu (Pali Gantho) This compilation was also called Jñâna-prasthâna He then made a proclamation inviting all who had heard the Buddha preach to communicate what they remembered Many spirits responded and contributed their reminiscences which were examined by the Council and, when they did not contradict the sûtras and the Vinaya, were accepted, but otherwise were rejected. The selected pieces were grouped according to their subject-matter Those about wisdom formed the Prajna Grantha, and those about meditation the Dhyana Grantha and so on After finishing the eight books they proceeded to the composition of a commentary or Vibhasha and mysted the assistance of Asvaghosha When he came to Kashmir, Katyayanî-putra expounded the eight books to him and Aśvaghosha put them into literary form. At the end of twelve years the composition of the commentary was finished It consisted of 1,000,000 verses.. Katvayani-putra set up a stone inscribed with this proclamation "Those who hereafter learn this law must not go out of Kashmir No sentence of the eight books, or of the Vibhāshā must pass out of the land, lest other schools or the Mahayana should corrupt the true law." This proclamation was reported to the king who approved it. The sages of Kashmir had power over demons and set them to guard the entrance to the country, but we are told that anyone desirous of learning the law could come to Kashmir and was in no way interrupted

There follows a story telling how, despite this prohibition, a native of Ayodhya succeeded in learning the law in Kashmir

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Chinese expressions like "in the five hundred years after the Buddha's death" probably mean the period 400-600 of the era commencing with the Buddha's death and not the period 500-600 The period 1-100 is "the one hundred years," 101-200 "the two hundred years" and so on See  $B \to F \to O$  1911, 356 But it must be remembered that the date of the Buddha's death is not yet certain. The latest theory (Vincent Smith, 1919) places it in 554 z c.

and subsequently teaching it in his native land. Paramartha's account seems exaggerated, whereas the prohibition described by Hsuan Chuang is intelligible. It was forbidden to take the official copies of the law out of Kashmir, lest heretics should tamper with thom.

Taranatha¹ gives a singularly confused account of the meeting, which he expressly calls the third council, but makes some important statements about it. He says that it put an end to the dissensions which had been distracting the Buddhist Church for nearly a century and that it recognized all the eighteen sects as holding the true doctrine that it put the Vinaya in writing as well as such parts of the Sütrapitaka and Abhidharma as were still unwritten and corrected those which already existed as written texts that all kinds of Mahayamst writings appeared at this time but that the Srâvakas raised no opposition

It is hard to say how much history can be extracted from those vague and discrepant stories. They seem to refer to one assembly regarded (at least in Tibet) as the third council of the Church and held under Kamshka four or five hundred years<sup>2</sup> after the Buddha's death. As to what happened at the council tradition seems to justify the following deductions, though as the tradition is certainly jumbled it may also be incorrect in details

(a) The council is recognized only by the northern Church and is unknown to the Churches of Ceylon, Burma and Siam It seems to have regarded Kashmir as sacred land outside which the true doctrine was exposed to danger (b) But it was not a specially Mahayanist meeting but rather a conference of peace and compromise. Taranatha says this clearly in Hisian Chuang's account an assembly of Arhats (which at this tame must have meant Hinayanists) elect a president who was not an Arhat and according to Paramartha the assembly consisted of 500 Arhats and 500 Bodhisattvas who were convened by a leader of the Sarvastivadin school and ended by requesting Aśvaghosha to revise their work. (c) The literary result of the council was the

<sup>2</sup> Chao XII

See Watters, 1 pp 222, 224 and 270 It is worth noting that Hallan Chuang says Asoka lived one hundred 3 cars after the Buddha's death. See Watters, 1 p 267 See also the note of S. Lévi in J. R. A. S. 1914, pp 1016-1019, exing traditions to the effect that there were 300 years between Upagupta, the teacher of Asoka, and Kanishka, who is thus made to reign about 31 a. D. On the other hand Kanishka's chaplain Sangharakaha is said to have lived 700 years after the Buddha

composition of commentaries on the three Pitakas One of these, the Abhidharma-mahāvibhāshā-śāstra, translated into Chinese in 437–9 and still extant, is said to be a work of encyclopædic character, hardly a commentary in the strict sense Paramārtha perhaps made a confusion in saying that the Jūāna-prasthāna itself was composed at the council The traditions indicate that the council to some extent sifted and revised the Tripitaka and perhaps it accepted the seven Abhidharma books of the Sarvūstivādins<sup>1</sup>. But it is not stated or implied that it composed or sanctioned Mahayanist books Tāranātha merely says that such books appeared at this time and that the Hinayanists raised no active objection

But if the above is the gist of the traditions, the position described is not clear. The council is recognized by Mahayanists yet it appears to have resulted in the composition of a Sarvāstivādin treatise, and the tradition connecting the Sarvāstivādins with the council is not likely to be wrong, for they are recognized in the inscription on Kanishka's casket, and Gandhara and Kashmir were their headquarters. The decisions of councils are often politic rather than logical and it may be that the doctors summoned by Kanishka, while compiling Sarvāstivādin treatises, admitted the principle that there is more than one vehicle which can take mankind to salvation. Perhaps some compromise based on geography was arranged, such as that Kashmir should be left to the Sarvāstivādin school which had long flourished there, but that no opposition should be offered to the Mahayanists elsewhere.

The relations of the Sarvastivadins to Mahayanism are exceedingly difficult to define and there are hardly sufficient materials for a connected account of this once important sect, but I will state some facts about it which seem certain.

It is ancient, for the Kathåvatthu alludes to its doctrines<sup>2</sup> It flourished in Gandhara, Kashmir and Central Asia, and Kanishka's casket shows that he patronized it<sup>3</sup>. But it appears

See above, vol. r p 262 For an account of the doctrines see also Vasilief, 245 ff Rockhill, Life of the Buddha, pp 190 ff

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Talakusu in JPT S 1905, pp 67 ff For the Sarvästivådin Canon, see my chapter on the Chinese Tripitaka

Its connection with Gandhara and Kashmir is plainly indicated in its own acriptures. See Przyluski's article on "Le Nord-Ouest de l'Inde dans le Vinaya des Mülasarvästivädins," J. A. 1914, in pp. 493 ff. This Vinaya must have received considerable additions as time went on and in its present form is posterior to Kanishka.

to have been hardly known in Ceylon or Southern India It was the principal northern form of Hinayanism, just as the Theravada was the southern form I-Ching however says that it prevailed in the Malay Archipelago

Its doctrines, so far as known, were Hinayanist but it was distinguished from cognate schools by holding that the external world can be said to exist and is not merely a continual process of becoming It had its own version of the Abhidharma and of the Vinaya In the time of Fa-Heien the latter was still preserved orally and was not written The adherents of this school were also called Vaibhāshikas, and Vibhāshā was a name given

to their exegetical literature

But the association of the Sarvastivadins with Mahayanists is clear from the council of Kanishka onwards Many eminent Buddhists began by being Sarvastivadins and became Mahayanists, their earlier belief being regarded as preliminary rather than erroneous Hauan Chuang translated the Sarvastivadin scriptures in his old age and I-Ching belonged to the Mûlasarvastivadin school1, yet both authors write as if they were devout Mahayanists The Tibetan Church is generally regarded as an extreme form of Mahayanism but its Vinaya is that of the Sarvastivadins

Though the Sarvastivadins can hardly have accepted idealist metaphysics, yet the evidence of art and their own version of the Vinaya make it probable that they tolerated a moderate amount of mythology, and the Mahayanists, who like all philosophers were obliged to admit the provisional validity of the external world, may also have admitted their analysis of the same as provisionally valid. The strength of the Hinayamst schools lay in the Vinaya The Mahayanists showed a tendency to replace it by legends and vague if noble aspirations But a code of discipline was necessary for large monasteries and the code of the Sarvastavadins enjoyed general esteem in Central Asia and China

Three stages in the history of Indian Buddhism are marked by the names of Aśvaghosha, Nâgârjuna and the two brothers

<sup>2</sup> The distinction between Sarvästivädin and Mülasarvästivädin is not clear to me I can only suggest that when a section of the school accepted the Mahavibhasha and were known as Vaibhashikas others who approved of the school chiefly on account of its excellent Vinaya called themselves Primitive Sarvâstivâdins

Asanga and Vasubandhu. It would be easier to give a precise description of its development if we were sure which of the works ascribed to these worthes are authentic, but it seems that Aśvaghosha represents an ornate and transitional phase of the older schools leading to Mahayanism, whereas Nâgârjuna is connected with the Prajñâ-pâramitâ and the mhilistic philosophy described in the preceding chapter. Asanga was the founder of the later and more scholastic system called Yogâcâra and is also associated with a series of revelations said to have been made by Maitreya

As mentioned above, tradition makes Asyaghosha<sup>1</sup>, one of the most brilliant among Sanskrit writers, live at the court of Kanishka<sup>2</sup> and according to some accounts he was given to the Kushans as part of a war indomnity The tradition is confirmed by the style and contents of his poems and it has been noted by Foucher that his treatment of legends is in remarkable accord with their artistic presentment in the Gandharan sculptures. Also fragmentary manuscripts of his dramas discovered in Central Asia appear to date from the Kushan epoch Asvaghosha's rank as a poet depends chiefly on his Buddhacarita. or life of the Buddha up to the time of his enlightenment. It is the earliest example of a Kavya, usually translated as artificial epic, but here literary skill is subservient to the theme and does not, as too often in later works, overwhelm it. The Buddha is its hero, as Râma of the Râmâyana, and it sings the events of his earlier life in a fine flow of elaborate but impassioned language Another of his poems4, discovered only a few years ago, treats of the conversion of Nanda, the Buddha's half-brother.

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  See Sylvam Lévi, J A. 1908, xii. 57 ff , and Wintermtz, Ges Ind Let ii. i pp 201 ff

The only reason for doubting it is that two stories (Nos 14 and 31) in the Sützflankära (which appears to be a genuine work) refer to Kanishka as if he had reigned in the past. This may be a postio artifice or it may be that the stories are interpolations. See for the traditions Watters on Yuan Chwang, ii. 102-4 and Takakusu in J R A S 1905, p 53 who quotes the Chinese Samyukta-ratua-pitakasütin and the Record of Indian Patriarchs. The Chinese list of Patriarchs is compatible with the view that Advaghosha was alive about 125 A D for he was the twelfth Patriarch and Bodhidharma the twenty-eighth visited China in 520. This gives about 400 years for sixteen Patriarchs, which is possible, for these worthes were long-lived. But the list has little authority

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The traditions are conveniently collected in the introduction to Testaro Suzuki's translation of The Awalening of Faith

The Saundarânandakâvya

Various other works are ascribed to Aśvaghosha and for the history of Buddhism it is of great interest to decide whether he was really the author of The Awakening of Faith This skilful exposition of a difficult theme is worthy of the writer of the Buddhacarita but other reasons make his authorship doubtful. for the theology of the work may be described as the full-blown flower of Mahavanism untainted by Tantrism. It includes the doctrines of Bhûta-tathatâ, Âlayavıjiiâna, Tathâgatagarbha and the three bodies of Buddha It would be dangerous to say that these ideas did not exist in the time of Kanishka, but what is known of the development of doctrine leads us to expect their full expression not then but a century or two later and other circumstances raise suspicions as to Aśvaghosha's authorship. His undoubted works were translated into Chinese about 400 A D but The Awakening of Faith a century and a half later'. Yet if this conoise and authoritative compendium had existed in 400, it is strange that the earlier translators neglected it. It is also stated that an old Chinese catalogue of the Tripitaka does not name Asvaghosha as the author2

The undoubted works of Aśvaghosha treat the Buddha with ornate but grave rhetoric as the hero of an epic. His progress is attended by miracles such as Indian taste demands, but they hardly exceed the marvels recounted in the Pali scriptures and there is no sign that the hero is identified, as in the Ramayana of Tulsi Das or the Gospel according to St John, with the divine spirit. The poet clearly feels personal devotion to a Saviour He dwells on the duty of teaching others and not selfishly seeking one's own salvation, but he does not formulate dogmas.

The name most definitely connected with the early promulgation of Mahayanism is Nagarjuna. A preponderance of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Nanjio, Nos 1182, 1351, 1250, 1299 It is noticeable that the translator Paramartha shows a special interest in the life and works of Asanga and Vasubandhu Paramartha shows a special interest in the life and works of Asanga and Vasubandhu Paramartha shows a special interest in the life and works of Asanga and Vasubandhu Paramartha shows a special interest in the life and works of Asanga and Vasubandhu Paramartha shows a special interest in the life and works of Asanga and Vasubandhu Paramartha shows a special interest in the life and works of Asanga and Vasubandhu Paramartha shows a special interest in the life and works of Asanga and Vasubandhu Paramartha shows a special interest in the life and works of Asanga and Vasubandhu Paramartha shows a special interest in the life and works of Asanga and Vasubandhu Paramartha shows a special interest in the life and works of Asanga and Vasubandhu Paramartha shows a special interest in the life and works of Asanga and Vasubandhu Paramartha shows a special interest in the life and works of Asanga and Vasubandhu Paramartha shows a special interest in the life and works of Asanga and Vasubandhu Paramartha shows a special interest in the life and works of Asanga and Vasubandhu Paramartha shows a special interest in the life and works of Asanga and Vasubandhu Paramartha shows a special interest in the life and works of Asanga and Vasubandhu Paramartha shows a special interest in the life and life and

<sup>2</sup> See Winternitz, Ges Ind Lit II 1 p 211 It is also noticeable that The
2 see Winternitz, Ges Ind Lit II 1 p 211 It is also noticeable that The
Associating of Fasth appears to quote the Lankavatara satra which is not generally

regarded as an early Mahayamat work

Någårjuna cannot have been the founder of the Mahayama for in his Mahaprajna-päremitä-sästra (Nanjio, 1169, translation by Kumārajīva) he cites inter site
the Lotus, the Vimalakirti sütra, and a work called Mahayāma sästra. See B E F E O
1911, p 453 For Nägårjuna see especially Grünwedel, My'hologie, pp 29 fi and
1911, p 453 For Nägårjuna see especially Grünwedel, My'hologie, pp 29 fi and
1911, p 453 For Nägårjuna see especially Grünwedel, My'hologie, pp 7 fi
the bibliography given in the notes Jour Budd Text Soc v part iv pp 7 fi
Watters, Täga Chwang, pp 200 ff Täranätha, chap xv and Winternitz, Ges Ind
Let II 1 pp 250 ff

Chinese tradition makes him the second patriarch after Aśvaghosha1 and this agrees with the Kashmir chronicle which implies that he lived soon after Kanishka2. He probably flourished in the latter half of the second century. But his biographies extant in Chinese and Tibetan are almost wholly mythical, even crediting him with a life of several centuries, and the most that can be hoped is to extract a few grains of history from them He is said to have been by birth a Brahman of Vidarbha (Berar) and to have had as teacher a Sudra named Saraba or Râhulabhadra. When the legend states that he visited the Nagas in the depths of the sea and obtained books from them, it seems to admit that he preached new doctrines. It is noticeable that he is represented not only as a philosopher but as a great magician, builder, physician, and maker of images.

Many works are attributed to him but they have not the same authenticity as the poems of Aśvaghosha Some schools make him the author of the Prajña-paramita but it is more usually regarded as a revelation. The commentary on it known as Mahâ-prajñâ-pâramitâ-śâstra is generally accepted as his work A consensus of tradition makes him the author of the Mådhyamika aphonsms of which some account has been given above It is the principal authority of its school and is provided with a commentary attributed to the author himself and with a later one by Candrakirti. There is also ascribed to him a work called the Suhrillekha or friendly letter, a compendium of Buddhist doctrines, addressed to an Indian king<sup>5</sup>. This work

<sup>2</sup> Råjatarangini, i 173, 177

The ascription of these works to Nagarjuna is probably correct for they were translated by Kumarajiva who was sufficiently near him in date to he in touch

with good tradition

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> He is omitted from the het of Buddhabhadra, giving the succession according to the Sarvastivadins, to which school he did not belong I-Ching classes him with Asvaghosha and Aryadeva as helonging to the early period

Edited in the Bibliotheca Buddhica by De la Vallée Poussin and (in part) in the Journal of the Buddhest Text Soc See too Walleser, Die Mittlere Lehre des Nagarjuna nach der Tibetrechen Verston übertragen, 1911 nach der Chinestechen Version übertragen, 1912

The name of this king, variously given as Udayana, Jetaka and Satavahana, has not been identified with certainty from the various transcriptions and translations in the Chinese and Tibetan versions See J Pale Text Soc for 1886 and I-Chine Records of the Buddhest Religion (trans Takakusu), pp 158 ff The Andhra kings who reigned from about 240 B C to 225 A D all claimed to belong to the Satavabana dynasty The stupe of Amerâvati in the Andhra territory is surrounded by a stone rating ascribed to the period 160-200 a D and Nagarjuna may have addressed a pious king living about that time

is old for it was translated into Chinese in 434 AD and is a homily for laymon. It says nothing of the Madhyamika philosophy and most of it deals with the need of good conduct and the terrors of future punishment, quite in the manner of the Hinayana. But it also commends the use of images and incense in worship, it mentions Avalokita and Amitabha and it holds up the ideal of attaining Buddhahood. Någårjuna's authorship is not beyond dispute but these ideas may well represent a type of popular Buddhism slightly posterior to Aśvaghosha.

In most lists of patriarchs Någårjuna is followed by Deva, also called Aryadeva, Kanadeva or Nilanetra. I-Ching mentions him among the older teachers and a commentary on his principal work, the Satasastra, is attributed to Vasubandhu<sup>2</sup> Little 18 known of his special teaching but he is regarded as an important doctor and his pupil Dharmatrata is also important if not as an author at least as a compiler, for Sansknt collections of verses corresponding to the Pali Dhammapada are ascribed to him. Aryadeva was a native of southern India.

The next epoch in the lustory of Buddhism is marked by the names of Asanga and Vasubandhu. The interval between them and Deva produced no teacher of importance, but Kumaralabdha, the founder of the Sautrantika school and perhaps identical with Kumarata the eighteenth Patriarch of the Chinese lists, may be mentioned Hsuan Chuang says that he was carried off in captivity by a king who reigned somewhere in the east of the Pamirs and that he. Aśvaghosha, Nagarjuna and Deva were styled the four shining suns

Asanga and Vasubandhu were brothers, sons of a Brahman who lived at Peshawar They were both converted from the Sarvastivadın school to Mahayanısm, but the third brother

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For other works attributed to Nagarjuna see Nanjio, Nos 1169, 1179, 1180, 1186 and Walleser's introduction to Millere Lehre nach der Chinesischen Version The Dharmasangraha, a Sanskrit theological glossary, is also attributed to Nagar juns as well as the tantrie work Paucskrams But it is not likely that the latter dates from his epoch

<sup>2</sup> Nanno, No 1188

The very confused legends about him suggest a comparison with the Dravidian legend of a devotee who tore out one of his eyes and offered it to Siva See Grun uedel, Mythologie, p 34 and notes Polemics against various Hinayanist sects are ascribed to him See Nanjio, Nos 1250, 1260

<sup>4</sup> Watters, Yaun Chwang, 11 p 286 Helian Chuang does not say that the four were contemporary but that in the time of Kumaralabdha they were called the four Suns

Virincivatsa never changed his convictions. Tradition connects their career with Ayodhyā as well as with Peshawar and Vasubandhu enjoyed the confidence of the reigning monarch, who was probably Candragupta I This identification depends on the hypothesis that Vasubandhu lived from about 280 to 360 AD. which, as already mentioned, seems to me to have been proved by M. Péri<sup>1</sup>. The earlier Gupta kings though not Buddhists were tolerant, as is shown by the fact that the king of Ceylon<sup>2</sup> was allowed to erect a magnificent monastery at Nâlanda in the reign of Samudragupta (c. 330–375 AD.).

Asanga founded the school known as Yogâcâra and many authorities ascribe to him the introduction of magical practices and Tantrism. But though he is a considerable figure in the history of Buddhism, I doubt if his importance or culpability is so great as this For if tradition can be trusted, earlier teachers especially Nâgârjuna dealt in spells and invocations and the works of Asanga<sup>3</sup> known to us are characterized by a somewhat scholastic piety and are chiefly occupied in defining and describing the various stages in the spiritual development of a Bodhisattva. It is true that he admits the use of magical formulæ as an aid in this evolution but they form only a slight part of his system and it does not appear that the Chân-yen or Shingon sect of the Far East (the Sanskrit Mantrayâna) traced its lineage back to him

Our estimate of his position in the history of Buddhism must depend on our opinion as to the authorship of The Awakening of Faith. If this treatise was composed by Aśvaghosha then doctrines respecting the three bodies of Buddha, the Tathâgatagarbha and the Âlaya-vijiâna were not only known but scientifically formulated considerably before Asanga. The conclusion cannot be rejected as absurd—for Aśvaghosha might speak differently in poems and in philosophical treatises—but

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For Asanga and Vasubandhu see Pén in B.E.F.E.O. 1911, pp. 339-390. Vincent Smith in Early History of India, third edition, pp. 328-334. Winternitz, Ges. Ind. Lit. II. p. 256. Watters, Yaan Chwang, I. pp. 210, 356-359. Taranâtha, chap xxii. Grunwedel, Mythologie, p. 35

Meghavarman See V Smith, Ic 287

Two have been preserved in Sanskrit the Mahâyâna sûtrâlankâra (Ed v. Transl., S. Lévi, 1907–1911) and the Bodhisativa-bhûmi (English summary in Muscon, 1905–6). A brief analysis of the literature of the Yogâcâra school according to Tibetan authorities is given by Stcherbatskoi in Muscon, 1905, pp. 144–155

Mahayana-sutral xviii. 71-73 The ominous word mathuna also occurs in this work, xviii 46

it is surprising, and it is probable that the treatise is not his If so, Asanga may have been the first to elaborate systematically (though not to originate) the idea that thought is the one and only reality. Någårjuna's nihilism was probably the older theory. It sounds late and elaborate but still it follows easily if the dialectic of Gotama is applied uncompromisingly not only to our mental processes but to the external world. Yet even in India the result was felt to be fantastic and sophistical and it is not surprising if after the lapse of a few generations a new system of idealism became fashionable which, although none too intelligible, was abstruse rather than paradoxical

Asanga was alleged to have received revelations from Maitreya and five of his works are attributed to this Bodhisattva who enjoyed considerable honour at this period. It may be that the voneration for the Buddha of the future, the Messiah who would reign ever his saints in a pure land, owed something to Persian influence which was strong in India during the decadence of the Kushans. Both Mithraism and Manichæism classified their adepts in various ranks, and the Yogacara doctors who delight in grading the progress of the Bodhisattva may have borrowed something from them. Asanga's doctrine of defilement (klesa) and purification may also owe something to Mani, as suggested by S. Lévi

In spite of his literary merits Asanga remains a doctor rather than a saint or poet<sup>3</sup> His speculations have little to do with either Gotama or Amitabha and he was thus not in living touch with either the old or new schools. His brother Vasubandhu had perhaps a greater position. He is reckoned as the twentieth Patriarch and Tibetan tradition connects him with the worship of Amitabha 4

Paramartha's life of Vasubandhu represents him as having frequented the court of Vikramaditya (to be identified with Candragupta I), who at first favoured the Sankhya philosophy

<sup>1</sup> Vincent Smith, Ic p 275

But there are of course abundant Indian precedents, Brahmanical as well as

Buddhist, for describing various degrees of sanctity or knowledge

The wooden statues of Asanga and Vasubandhu preserved in the Köfukaji at Nara are masterpieces of art but can hardly claim to be other than works of imagination. They date from about 800 a D. See for an excellent reproduction Tajima's Select Relics, II x

<sup>4</sup> See Estel and Grunwedel, but I do not know in what texts this tradition is found. It is remarkable that Paramartha's life (Toung Pao, 1904, pp 269-296) does not say either that he was twentieth patriarch or that he worshipped Amida.

but accorded some patronage to Buddhism. During this period Vasuhandhu was a Sarvâstivâdın but of liberal views1 and while in this phase wrote the Abhidharma-kośa, a general exposition of the Abhidharma, mainly according to the views of the Vaibhashikas but not without criticism This celebrated work is not well known in Europe<sup>2</sup> but is still a text-book amongst Japanese Buddhist students. It gained the esteem of all schools and we are given to understand that it presupposed the philosophy of the Vibhasha and of the Jaana-prasthana. According to Paramartha the original work consisted of 600 aphonsms in verse which were sent by the author to the monks of Kashmir. They approved of the composition but, as the aphonsms were concise, asked for fuller explanations. Vasubandhu then expanded his verses into a prose commentary, but meanwhile his views had undergone a change and when he disapproved of any Vaibhāshika doctrine, he criticized it. This enlarged edition by no means pleased the brethren of Kashmir and called forth polemics He also wrote a controversial work against the Sankhya philosophy.

Late in life Vasubandhu, moved by the entreaties of his brother Asanga, became a devout Mahayamst and wrote in his old age Mahayanist treatises and commentaries.

1 On receiving a large donation he built three monasteries, one for Hinayanists, one for Mahayanists and one for nuns

2 The work consists of 600 verses (Kärikā) with a lengthy prose commentary (Bhāshya) by the author The Sanskrit original is lost but translations have been preserved in Chinese (Nanjio, Nos 1267, 1269, 1270) and Tibetan (see Cordier, Caf du Fonds tibétain de la Bib Nat 1914, pp 394, 499) But the commentary on the Bhashya called Abhidharma-kósa-vyákhya, or Sphutartha, by Yásomitra has been preserved in Sanskrit in Nepal and frequently cites the verses as well as the Bhashya in the original Sanskrit A number of European savants are at present occupied with this literature and Sir Denison Boss (to whom I am indebted for much information) contemplates the publication of an Uighur text of Book I found in Central Ams. At present (1920), so far as I know, the only portion of the Abhidharma-kósa in print is De la Vallée Poussin's edition and translation of Book m, contaming the Tibetan and Sanskrit texts but not the Chinese (De la Valles Poussin-Vasubandhu et Vasomitre, London, 1914-18) This chapter deals with such topics as the structure of the universe, the manner and place of rebirth, the cham of causation, the geography of the world, the duration and characteristics of Kalpas, and the appearance of Buddhas and Cakravartins

3 See Nanuo, pp 371-2, for a last of his works translated into Chinese Hauan Chuang's account differs from the above (which is taken from Paramartha) in details He sise tells a currous story that Vasubandhu promised to appear to his friends after death and ultimately did so, though he forgot his premise until people

began to say he had gone to hell

## CHAPTER XXIII

## INDIAN BUDDHISM AS SEEN BY THE CHINESE PILGRIMS

ABOUT the time of Vasubandhu there existed four schools of Indian Buddhism called Vaibhāshika, Sautrāntika, Mādhyamika and Yoga or Yogacara1. They were specially concerned with philosophy and apparently cut across the older division into eighteen sects, which at this period seem to have differed mainly on points of discipline. Though not of great practical importance, they long continued to play a certain part in controversial works both Buddhist and Brahmanic. The first two which were the older seem to have belonged to the Hinayana and the other two even more definitely to the Mahayana I-Ching' is quite clear as to this "There are but two kinds of the so-called Mahayana" he says, "first the Madhyamika, second the Yoga ... These two systems are perfectly in accordance with the noble doctrine. Can we say which of the two is right? Both equally conform to truth and lead us to Nirvana" and so on But he does not say that the other two systems are also aspects of the truth. This is the more remarkable because he himself followed the Mûla-sarvâstivâdins Apparently Sarvâstivâdin and Vaibhashika were different names for the same school, the latter being applied to them because they identified themselves with the commentary (Vibhasha) already mentioned whereas the former and older designation came to be used chiefly with reference to their disciplinary rules Also there were two groups of Sarvastivadins, those of Gandhara and those of Kashmir. The name of Varbhashika was applied chiefly to the latter who, if we may find a kernel of truth in legends which are certainly exaggerated, endeavoured to make Kashmir a holy land with a monopoly of the pure doctrine Vasubandhu and Asanga appear to have broken up this isolation for they first preached

2 Records, translated by Takakusu, p 15

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Vanhof, Le Bouddhisme, Troisième supplément, pp 262 ff Koppen, Rel des Buddha, I 151 Takakusu in J Pali Text Society, 1905, pp 67-146

the Vaibhåshika doctrines in a liberal and edectio form outside Kashmir and then by a natural transition and development went over to the Mahayana. But the Vaibhåshikas did not disappear and were in existence even in the fourteenth century. Their chief tenet was the real existence of external objects. In matters of doctrine they regarded their own Abhidharma as the highest authority. They also held that Gotama had an ordinary human body and passed first into a preliminary form of Nirvana when he attained Buddhahood and secondly into complete Nirvana at his death. He was superhuman only in the sense that he had intuitive knowledge and no need to learn. Their contempt for sutras may have been due to the fact that many of them discountenance the Vaibhåshika views and also to a knowledge that new ones were continually being composed.

I-Ching, who ends his work by asserting that all his statements are according to the Arya-mûla-sarvâstivâda-nikâya and

no other, gives an interesting summary of doctrine.

"Agam I say: the most important are only one or two out of eighty thousand doctrines of the Buddha. one should conform to the worldly path but inwardly strive to secure true wisdom. Now what is the worldly path? It is obeying prohibitive laws and avoiding any crime What is the true wisdom? It is to obliterate the distinction between subject and object, to follow the excellent truth and to free oneself from worldly attachments: to do away with the trammels of the chain of causality. further to obtain merit by accumulating good works and finally to realize the excellent meaning of perfect reality."

Such a statement enables us to understand the remark which he makes elsewhere that the same school may belong to the Himayana and Mahayana in different places, for, whatever may be meant by wisdom which aims at obliterating the difference between subject and object, it is clearly not out of sympathy with Yogâcâra doctrines. In another place where he describes the curriculum followed by monks he says that they learn the Yogâcârya-śâstra first and then eight compositions of Asanga and Vasubandhu Among the works prescribed for logic is the Nyâyadvâra-śâstra attributed to Nâgârjuna. The monk

<sup>2</sup> They are mentioned in the Sarva-daréana-sangraha

<sup>2</sup> Kern (Indian Buddhism, p 126) says they rejected the authority of the Sûtras altogether but gives no reference

should learn not only the Abhidharma of the Sarvästivådins but also the Âgamas, equivalent to the Sûtra-pitaka So the study of the sûtras and the works of Asanga and Vasubandhu

is approved by a Sarvastivadin.

The Sautrântikas¹, though accounted Hinayanists, mark a step in the direction of the Mahayana. The founder of the school was Kumâralabdha, mentioned above. In their estimation of scripture they reversed the views of the Vaibhâshikas, for they rejected the Abhidharma and accepted only the sûtras, arguing that the Abhidharma was practically an extract from them. As literary criticism this is correct, if it means that the more ancient sûtras are older than the oldest Abhidharma books. But the indiscriminate acceptance of sûtras led to a creed in which the supernatural played a larger part. The Sautrântikas not only ascribed superhuman powers to the Buddha, but believed in the doctrine of three bodies. In philosophy, though they were realists, they held that external objects are not perceived directly but that their existence is inferred²

Something has already been said of the two other schools, both of which demed the reality of the external world. The differences between them were concerned with metaphysics rather than theology and led to no popular controversies

Up to this point the history of Indian Buddhism has proved singularly nebulous. The most important dates are a matter of argument, the chief personages half mythical. But when the records of the Chinese pilgrims commence we are in touch with something more solid. They record dates and facts, though we must regret that they only repeat what they heard and make no attempt to criticize Indian traditions or even to weave them into a connected chronicle.

Fa-Hsien, the first of these interesting men, left China in 399 and resided in India from 405 to 411, spending three years at Pataliputra and two at Tamralipti. He visited the Panjab, Hindustan and Bengal and his narrative leaves the impression that all these were in the main Buddhist countries of the Deccan which he did not visit he heard that its inhabitants were barbarous and not Buddhists, though it contained some

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Vanhef, pp 301 ff and various notices in Hsian Chuang and Watters Also de la Vallée Poussin's article in E.B.E.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Hsuan Chuang informs us that when he was in Srughna he studied the Vibhāshā of the Sautrāntikas, but the preuse nguificance of this term is not plain

93

Buddhist shrines Of the Middle Kingdom (which according to his reckoning begins with Muttra) he says that the people are free and happy and neither kill any living creature nor drink intoxicating liquor1. He does not hint at persecution though he once or twice mentions that the Brahmans were jealous of the Buddhists. Neither does he indicate that any strong animosity prevailed between Maha- and Hinavanists. But the two parties were distinct and he notes which prevailed in each locality. He left China by land and found the Hinavana prevalent at Shen-shen and Wu-1 (apparently localities not far from Lob-Nor) but the Mahayana at Khotan. Nearer India, in countries apparently corresponding to parts of Kashmir and Gilgit, the monks were numerous and all Hinayanist. The same was the case in Udyana, and in Gandhara the Hinayanists were still in the majority. In the Panjab both schools were prevalent but the Hinayana evidently strong. In the district of Muttra the Law was still more flourishing, monasteries and topes were numerous and ample alms were given to the monks He states that the professors of the Abhidharma and Vinaya made offerings to those works, and the Mahavanists to the book Prajna-paramita, as well as to Manjuéri and Kwan-shih-yin. He found the country in which are the sacred sites of Sravasti. Kapılavastu and Kusinara sparsely inhabited and desolate, but this seems to have been due to general causes, not specially to the decay of religion He mentions that ninety-six avarieties of erroneous views are found among the Buddhists, which points to the existence of numerous but not acutely hostile sects and says that there still existed, apparently in Kośala, followers of Devadatta who recognized three previous Buddhas but not Såkvamuni He visited the birth-places of these three Buddhas which contained topes erected in their honour,

He found Magadha prosperous and pious Of its capital, Patna, he says "by the side of the topes of Asoka has been made a Mahayana monastery very grand and beautiful, there is also a Hinayana one, the two together containing 600 or 700 monks" It is probable that this was typical of the religious condition of Magadha and Bengal Both schools existed but the

<sup>1</sup> Fa-Hsien's Travels, chap. XVI

<sup>2</sup> This figure is probably deduced from some artificial calculation of possible heresies like the 62 wrong views enumerated in the Brahma Jala stitra.

Mahayana was the more flourishing. Many of the old sites, such as Råjagriha and Gaya, were deserted but there were new towns near them and Bodh Gaya was a place of pilgrimage with three monasteries. In the district of Tamralipti (Tamluk) on the coast of Bengal were 22 monasteries. As his principal object was to obtain copies of the Vinaya, he stayed three years in Patna seeking and copying manuscripts. In this he found some difficulty, for the various schools of the Vinaya, which he says were divided by trivial differences only, handed down their respective versions orally. He found in the Mahayanist monastery one manuscript of the Mahasanghika rules and considered it the most complete, but also took down the Sarvastivadin rules.

After the death of Vasubandhu few names of even moderate magnitude stand out in the history of Indian Buddhism The changes which occurred were great but gradual and due not to the mitiative of mnovators but to the assimilative power of Hinduism and to the attractions of magical and emotional rites But this tendency, though it doubtless existed, did not become conspicuous until about 700 A.D. The accounts of the Chinese pilgrims and the literature which has been preserved suggest that in the intervening centuries the monks were chiefly occupied with scholastic and exegetical work. The most distinguished successors of Asanga were logicians, among whom Dmnaga was pre-eminent Sthiramati¹ and Gunamati appear to have belonged to the same school and perhaps Bhavaviveka2 too The statements as to his date are inconsistent but the interesting fact is recorded that he utilized the terminology of the Sankhya for the purposes of the Mahayana

Throughout the middle ages the study of logic was pursued but Buddhists and Jains rather than by Brahmans Vasubandhu composed some treatises dealing exclusively with logic but it was his disciple Dinnaga who separated it definitely from philosophy and theology. As in idealist philosophy, so in pure logic there was a parallel movement in the Buddhist and Brahmanic schools, but if we may trust the statements of

He must have hved in the fourth century as one of his works (Nanjio, 1243)

was translated between 397 and 489
2 Watters, Yuan Chwang, II 221-224 Nanjio, 1287 The works of Gunamata

also are said to show a deep knowledge of the Sankhya philosophy
\* For the history of logic in India, see Yidyabhusane's interesting work Medianal
School of Indian Logic, 1909 But I cannot accept all his dates

Vâcaspatimiéra (about 1100 A.D.) Dinnâga interpreted the aphorisms of the Nyâya philosophy in a heterodox or Buddhist sense. This traces the beginnings of Indian logic to a Brahmanic source but subsequently it flourished greatly in the hands of Buddhists, especially Dinnâga and Dharmakîrti. The former appears to have been a native of Conjevaram and a contemporary of Kâlidâsa. Both the logician and the poet were probably alive in the reign of Kumâragupta (413–455). Dinnâga spent much time in Nâlanda, and though the Sanskrit originals of his works are lost the Tibetan translations are preserved.

The Buddhist schools of logic continued for many centuries. One flourished in Kashmir and another, founded by Candragomin, in Bengal Both lasted almost until the Mohammedan conquest of the two countries

From about 470 to 530 AD. northern India groaned under the tyranny of the Huns Their King Mihiragula is represented as a determined enemy of Buddhism and a systematic destroyer of monasteries. He is said to have been a worshipper of Sivabut his fury was probably inspired less by religious animosity than by love of pillage and slaughter.

About 530 a.p. he was defeated by a coalition of Indian princes and died ten years later amid storms and portents which were believed to signify the descent of his wicked soul into hell It must have been about this time that Bodhidharma left India for he arrived in Canton about 520. According to the Chinese he was the son of a king of a country called Hsiang-Chih in southern India<sup>2</sup> and the twenty-eighth patriarch and he became an important figure in the religion and art of the Far East But no allusion to him or to any of the Patriarchs after Vasubandhu has been found in Indian literature nor in the works of Hsuan Chuang and I-Ching The inference is that he was of no importance in India and that his reputation in China was not great before the eighth century- also that the Chinese lists of patriarchs do not represent the traditions of northern India.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Dinnâga's principal works are the Pramāna-samuccaya and the Nyâya-praveéa Haūan Chuang calis him Ch'en-na See Watters, ii 209 See Stcherbatskoi in Muséon, 1904, pp 129–171 for Dinnâga's influence on the development of the Naiyâyika and Vaiseshika achools

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> His personal name is said to have been P'u-ti-to-lo and his surname Ch'a-ti-li The latter is probably a corruption of Kahatriya Hisang-Chih possibly represents a name beginning with Gandha, but I can neither find nor suggest any identification

Religious feeling often ran high in southern India Buddhists. Jams and Hindus engaged in violent disputes, and persecution was more frequent than in the north It is easy to suppose that Bodhidharma being the head of some heretical sect had to fly and followed the example of many monks in going to China But if so, no record of his school is forthcoming from his native land, though the possibility that he was more than an individual thinker and represented some movement unknown to us cannot be denied We might suppose too that since Nagarjuna and Aryadeva were southerners, their peculiar doctrines were coloured by Dravidian ideas. But our available documents indicate that the Buddhism of southern India was almost entirely Hinayanist, analogous to that of Ceylon and not very sympathetic to the Tamils

The pilgrims Sung-Yun and Hui-Sheng<sup>1</sup> visited Udvana and Gandhara during the time of the Hun domination (518-521) They found the king of the former a pious Buddhist but the latter was governed by an Ephthalite chieftain, perhaps Mihiragula himself, who was a worshipper of demons Of the Yetha or Ephthalites they make the general observation that "their rules of politeness are very defective" But they also say that the population of Gandhara had a great respect for Buddhism and as they took back to China 170 volumes, "all standard works belonging to the Great Vehicle," the Ephthalite persecution cannot have destroyed the faith in north-western India But the evil days of decay were beginning Henceforward we have no more pictures of untroubled piety and prosperity At best Buddhism receives royal patronage in company with other religions, sectarian conflicts increase and sometimes we hear of persecution About 600 AD. a king of Central Bengal named Saśânka who worshipped Siva attempted to extirpate Buddhism in his dominions and destroyed the Bo tree at Bodh Gaya2 On the other hand we hear of the pious Pürnavarman, king of Magadha, who made amends for these sacrileges, and of Siladitya, king of the country called Mo-lo-po by the Chinese, who was so careful of animal life, that he even strained the water drunk by his horses and elephants, lest they should consume minute insects

<sup>1</sup> See BEFEO 1903, pp 379 ff

<sup>2</sup> His evil doeds are several times mentioned by Hsuan Chuang It required a muracle to restore the Bo tree.

We know more of Indian Buddhism in the seventh century than in the periods which precede or follow it. The epoch was marked by the reign of the great king, or rather emperor, Harsha-Vardhana (606-648 A D), and the works written by Bâna, Bhartrihari and others who frequented his court have come down to us Also we are fortunate in possessing the copious narrative of Hsuan Chuang, the greatest of the Chinese pilgrims, who spent sixteen years (629-645) in India as well as the work known as the "Record of the Buddhist religion as practised in India and the Malay Archipelago," composed by I-Ching who travelled in those countries from 671 to 695 I-Ching also wrote the lives of sixty Chinese pilgrims who visited India during the seventh century and probably there were many others of whom we have no record.

The reign of Harsha is thus illustrated by a number of contemporary dateable works unusual in India. The king himself wrote some Buddhist hymns<sup>1</sup>, and three dramas are ascribed to him but were probably composed by some of the literary men whom he patronized. For all that, the religious ideas which they contain must have had his approval. The Ratnâvalî and Privadarsikâ are secular pieces and so far as they have any religious atmosphere it is Brahmanic, but the Nagananda is a Buddhist religious drama which opens with an invocation of the Buddha and has a Jataka story for its plot2. Bâna was himself a devout Brahman but his historical romance Harshacarita and his novel called Kådambarî both describe a mixture of religions founded on observation of contemporary life In an interesting passage3 he recounts the king's visit to a Buddhist ascetic. The influence of the holy man causes the more intelligent animals in his neighbourhood, such as parrots. to devote themselves to Buddhist lore, but he is surrounded by devotees of the most diverse sects, Jains, Bhagavatas, Pancarâtras, Lokâyatıkas with followers of Kapila, Kapâda and many

<sup>1</sup> See Ettinghausen, Harshavardhana, Appendix III.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The appearance of Gauri as a dea ex machina at the end hardly shows that Harsha's Buddhism had a Saktist tinge but it does show that Buddhists of that period turned naturally to Sivaite mythology

Harshacarita, chap viii. The parrots were expounding Vasubandhu's Abhidharmakośa Bâna frequently describes troops of holy men apparently living in harmony but including followers of most diverse sects. See Kādambarī, 193 and 394. Harshacar. 67.

other teachers Mayûra, another literary protégé of Harsha's, was like Bâna a Brahman, and Subandhu, who flourished a little before them, ignores Buddhism in his romance called Vâsavadattâ But Bhartrihari, the still popular gnomic poet, was a Buddhist It is true that he oscillated between the court and the cloister no less than seven times, but this vacillation seems to have been due to the weakness of the flesh, not to any change of convictions. For our purpose the gist of this literature is that Hinduism in many forms, some of them very unorthodox, was becoming the normal religion of India but that there were still many eminent Buddhists and that Buddhism had sufficient prestige to attract Harsha and sufficient life to respond to his patronage.

About 600 A.D. India was exhausted by her struggle with the Huns After it there remained only a multitude of small states and obscure dynasties, but there was evidently a readiness to accept any form of unifying and tranquillizing rule and for nearly half a century this was provided by Harsha He conquered northern India from the Panjab to Bengal but failed to subdue the Deccan. Though a great part of his reign was spent in war, learning and education flourished Hsuan Chuang, who was his honoured guest, gives a good account of his administration but also makes it plain that brigandage prevailed and

that travelling was dangerous

After 643 Harsha, who was growing elderly, devoted much attention to religion and may be said to have become a Buddhist, while allowing himself a certain eclectic freedom. Several creeds were represented among his immediate relatives. Devotion to Siva was traditional in the family, his father had been a zealous worshipper of the Sun and his brother and sister were Buddhists of the Sammittya sect. Harsha by no means disowned Brahmanic worship, but in his latter years his proclivity to Buddhism became more marked and he endeavoured to emulate the piety of Asoka. He founded rest houses and hospitals, as well as monasteries and thousands of stupas. He prohibited the taking of life and the use of animal food, and of the three periods into which his day was divided two were devoted to rehgion and one to business. He also exercised a surveillance over the whole Buddhist order and advanced mentonous members.

Hsuan Chuang has left an interesting account of the religious

fêtes and spectacles organized by Harsha At Kanauj he attended a great assembly during which a solemn procession took place every day A golden image of Buddha was borne on an elephant and Harsha, dressed as Indra, held a canopy over it, while his ally Raja Kumara<sup>1</sup>, dressed as Brahmâ, waved a fly-whisk. It was subsequently washed by the king's own hands and in the evening his Majesty, who like Akbar had a taste for religious discussion, listened to the arguments of his Chinese guest. But the royal instructions that no one was to speak against the Master of the Law were so peremptory that even his biographer admits there was no real discussion. These edifying pageants were interrupted by disagreeable incidents which show that Harsha's tolerance had not produced complete harmony. A temporary monastery erected for the fêtes caught fire and a fanatic attempted to stab the king. He confessed under examination that he had been instigated to the crime by Brahmans who were jealous of the favours which the Buddhists received It was also established that the incendiaries were Brahmans and, after the ringleaders had been punished, five hundred were exiled Harsha then proceeded to Allahabad to superintend a quinquennial distribution of alms. It was his custom to let treasure accumulate for five years and then to divide it among holy men and the poor. The proceedings lasted seventy-five days and the concourse which collected to gaze and receive must have resembled the fair still held on the same spot. Buddhists. Brahmans and Jams all partook of the royal bounty and the images of Buddha, Sûrya and Siva were worshipped on successive days, though greater honour was shown to the Buddha. The king gave away everything that he had, even his robes and jewels, and finally, arrayed in clothes borrowed from his sister, rejoiced saying "all I have has entered into incorruptible and imperishable treasures" After this, adds Hsuan Chuang. the king's vassals offered him jewels and robes so that the treasury was replemshed This was the sixth quinquennial distribution which Harsha had held and the last, for he died in 648 He at first favoured the Hinayana but subsequently went over to the Mahayana, being moved in part by the exhortations of Hsuan Chuang.

It is curious that Bana (Harshacarita, vii ) says of this prince that from child-hood he resolved never to worship anyone but Siya

Yet the substance of Hsuan Chuang's account is that though Buddhism was prospering in the Far East it was decaying in India. Against this can be set instances of royal piety like those described, the fame enjoyed by the shrines and schools of Magadha and the conversion of the king of Tibet in 638 A D. This event was due to Chinese as well as Indian influence, but would hardly have occurred unless in north-eastern India Buddhism had been estcemed the religion of civilization Still Hsuan Chuang's long catalogue of deserted monasteries1 has an unmistakable significance The decay was most pronounced in the north-west and south. In Gandhara there were only a few Buddhists more than a thousand monasteries stood untenanted and the Buddha's sacred bowl had vanished. In Takshasila the monasteries were numerous but desolate in Kashmir the people followed a mixed faith. Only in Udyana was Buddhism held in high esteem. In Sind the monks were numerous but indolent

No doubt this desolation was largely due to the depredations of Mihiragula. In the Decean and the extreme south there was also a special cause, namely the prevalence of Jamism, which somewhat later became the state religion in soveral kingdoms. In Kalinga, Andhra and the kingdom of the Colas the pilgrim reports that Jams were very numerous but counts Buddhist monasteries only by tens and twentics. In Dravida there were also 10,000 monks of the Sthavira school but in Malakuta among many ruined monasteries only a few were still inhabited and here again Jams were numerous.

For all Central India and Bongal the pilgrim's statistics toll the same tale, namely that though Buddhism was represented both by monasterios and monks, the Deva-temples and unbelievers were also numerous. The most favourable accounts are those given of Kanau, Ayodhya and Magadha where the sacred sites naturally caused the devout to congregate

The statistics which he gives as to sects are interesting. The total number of monks amounted to about 183,000. Of these only 32,000 belonged definitely to the Mahayana. more

<sup>1</sup> The Rashtropalaparoprocha (Ed Funct, pp ix-x1, 28-33) inveighs against the moral degeneration of the Buddhist clergy. This work was translated into Chinese between 589 and 618, so that demondisation must have begun in the sixth contury.

<sup>\*</sup> See Rhys Davids in JRAS 1891, pp 418 ff

than 96,000 to the Hinayana, and 54,500 studied both systems or at any rate resided in monasteries which tolerated either course of study Some writers speak as if after our era Mahayanism was predominant in India and the Hinayana banished to its extreme confines such as Ceylon and Kashmir. Yet about A.D. 640 this zealous Mahayanist<sup>1</sup> states that half the monks of India were definitely Hinayanist while less than a fifth had equally definite Mahayanist convictions The Mahayana laid less stress on monasticism than the Hınayana and therefore its strength may have lain among the laity, but even so the admitted strength of the Hinayana is remarkable Three Hinayanist schools are frequently mentioned, the Sthaviras, Sarvastivadins and Sammitivas The first are the well-known Sinhalese sect and were found chiefly in the south (Conjeevaram) and in East Bengal, besides the monks of the Sinhalese monastery at Gaya The Sarvastivadins were found, as their history would lead us to expect, chiefly in the north and beyond the frontiers of India proper But both were outnumbered by the Sammitiyas, who amounted to nearly 44,000 monks The chief doctrine2 of this sect is said to have been that individuals (puggalo) exist as such in the truest sense. This doctrine was supported by reference to the sutra known as the Burden and the Burden bearer<sup>3</sup>. It does not assert that there is a permanent and unchangeable soul (atta) but it emphasizes the reality and importance of that personality which all accept as true for practical purposes. It is probable that in practice this belief differed little from the ordinary Brahmanic doctrine of metempsychosis and this may be one reason for the prevalence of the sect.

I-Ching, though he does not furnish statistics, gives a clear conspectus of Buddhist sects as they existed in his time. He starts from the ancient eighteen sects but divides them into four groups or Nikâyas (a) The Ârya-Mahâsanghika-nikâya. This comprised seven subdivisions but was apparently the least influential school as it was not predominant anywhere, though

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Hsüan Chuang was not disposed to underrate the numbers of the Mahayana for he says that the monks of Ceylon were Mahayanists

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See the beginning of the Kathavatthu The doctrine is formulated in the words Puggalo upalabbhati saccikattheparamatthenäti, and there follows a discussion between a member of the orthodox school and a Puggalavadun, that is one who believes in the existence of a person, soul or entity which transmigrates from this world to another
<sup>2</sup> Sam Nik xxii 221.

it coexisted with other schools in most parts The Lokottaravadins mentioned by Hsuan Chuang as existing at Bamiyan belonged to it They held that the Buddha was not subject to the laws of nature. (b) Arya-Sthavira-nikaya This is the school to which our Pali Canon belongs It was predominant in southern India and Ceylon and was also found in eastern Bengal. (c) The Arva-Mûla-sarvâstiyâda-nikâya with four subdivisions Almost all belonged to this school in northern India and it was flourishing in Magadha (d) The Arya-Sammitiya-nikaya with four subdivisions flourished in Lata and Sindhu. Thus the last three schools were preponderant in southern, northern and western India respectively All were followed in Magadha, no doubt because the holy places and the University of Nålandå attracted all shades of opinion, and Bengal seems to have been similarly catholic. This is substantially the same as Hauan Chuang's statement except that I-Ching takes a more favourable view of the position of the Sarvastivada, either because it was his own school or because its position had really improved.

It would seem that in the estimation of both pilgrims the Maha- and Hinayana are not schools but modes in which any school can be studied The Nikaya' or school appears to have been chiefly, though not oxclusively, concerned with the rule of discipline which naturally had more importance for Buddhist monks than it has for European scholars The observances of each Nikâya were laid down in its own recension of the scriptures which was sometimes oral and sometimes in writing Probably all the eighteen schools had separate Vinayas, and to some extent they had different editions of the other Pitakas, for the Sarvastivadins had an Abludharma of their own But there was no objection to combining the study of Sarvastivadin literature with the reading of treatises by Asanga and Vasu-

There were in India at this time (1) two vehicles, Maha- and Hinayana, (2) four speculative schools, Vaibhāshikas, etc., (3) four disciplinary schools, Mûla sarvásti vadins, etc. These three classes are obviously not mutually evclusive Thus I Ching approved of (a) the Mahayana, (b) the Madhyamika and Yogacara, which he did not consider inconsistent and (c) the Mula sarvästiv ada

I This use of Nikaya must not be confused with its other use to denote a division of the Sutra Pitaka It means a group or collection and hence can be used to denote other a body of men or a collection of treatises These Nikijas are also not the same as the four schools (Vaibhāshikas, etc.), mentioned above, which were speculative Similarly in Europe a Presbyteman may be a Calvinist, but Presbyterranusm has reference to Church government and Calvanism to doctrine

bandhu¹ or sutras such as the Lotus, which I-Ching's master read once a day for sixty years. I-Ching himself seems to regard the two Vehicles as alternative forms of religion, both excellent in their way, much as a Catholic theologian might impartially explain the respective advantages of the active and contemplative lives. "With resolutions rightly formed" he says "we should look forward to meeting the coming Buddha Maitreya. If we wish to gain the lesser fruition (of the Hinayana) we may pursue it through the eight grades of sanctification. But if we learn to follow the course of the greater fruition (of the Mahayana) we must try to accomplish our work through long ages<sup>2</sup>"

I-Ching observes that both Vehicles agree in prescribing the same discipline, in prohibiting the same offences and enjoining the practice of the noble truths. His views, which are substantially those of Hsuan Chuang<sup>3</sup>, must be those current in the seventh century when the Hinayana was allowing the Mahayana to overgrow it without resistance, but the relations of the two creeds are sometimes stated differently. For instance the Angulmāliya sutra<sup>4</sup>, known only in a Tibetan translation, states that whereas for the Hinayana such formulæ as the four truths and the eightfold path are of cardinal importance, the Mahayana does not recognize them, and it is undoubtedly true that the Vaipulya sutras frequently ignore the familiar doctrines of early Buddhism and hint that they belong to a rudimentary stage of instruction.

I-Ching makes no mention of persecution but he deplores the decay of the faith "The teaching of the Buddha is becoming less prevalent in the world from day to day" he says. "When I compare what I have witnessed in my younger days and what I see to-day in my old age, the state is altogether different and we are bearing witness to this and it is hoped we shall be more

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> I-Ching, transl Takakusu, p. 186

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Three Asankhya Kalpas I-Ching, Takakusu's transl pp 196-7. He seems to regard the Mahayana as the better way He quotes Någårjuna's allumons to Avalokita and Amitâyus with apparent approval, he tells us how one of his teachers worshipped Amitâyus and etrove to prepare himself for Sukhâvatî and how the Lotus was the favourite scripture of another He further tells us that the Mådhyamika and the Yoga systems are both perfectly correct

Hsūan Chuang speaks of Mahayanists belonging to the Sthavira school

<sup>·</sup> Quoted by Rockhill, Life of the Buddha, pp 196 ff

attentive in future" Though he speaks regretfully of lax or incorrect discipline, he does not complain of the corruption of the faith by Tantrism and magical practices. He does however deprecate in an exceedingly curious passage the prevalence of religious suicide<sup>1</sup>

Except for progressive decay, the condition of Indian Buddhism as described by the two pilgrims is much the same Meals were supplied to monks in the monasteries and it was no longer usual to beg for food in the streets, since the practice is mentioned by I-Ching as exceptional On Upavasatha days it was the custom for the pious laity to entertain the monks and the meal was sometimes preceded by a religious service performed before an image and accompanied by music I-Ching describes the musical services with devout enthusiasm "The priests perform the ordinary service late in the afternoon or in the evening twilight They come out of the monastery and walk three times round a stupa, offering incense and flowers Then they all kneel down and one of them who sings well begins to chant hymns describing the virtues of the great Teacher and continues to sing ten or twenty ślokas They then return to the place in the monastery where they usually assemble and, when all have sat down, a reciter mounting the hon-seat (which is near the head priest) reads a short sutra Among the scriptures for such an occasion the 'Service in three parts' is often used This is a selection of Aśvaghosha The first part contains ten ślokas of a hymn The second part is a selection from some scripture consisting of the Buddha's words Then there is an additional hymn as the third part of the service, of more than ten slokas, being prayers that express the wish to bring one's merits to maturity After the singing the assembled Bhikshus exclaim Subhashita or Sadhu, that is well-said or bravo The reader descends and the Bhikshus in order salute the lion-scat, the seats of Bodhısattvas and Arhats, and the superior of the monastery2 "

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Chaps. XXXVIII and XXXIX He seems to say that it is right for the larty to make an offering of their bodies by burning but not for Bhikshus. The practice is recognized and commended in the Lotus, chap XXII, which however is a later addition to the original work.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> I.-Ching, transi Takakusu, pp 153-4 somewhat abridged. I.-Ching (pp 156-7) speaks of Matricheta as the principal hymn writer and does not identify him with Afraghoeha

I-Ching also tells us of the ceremonial bathing of images and prefaces his description by the remark that "the meaning of the Truths is so profound that it is a matter beyond the comprehension of vulgar minds while the ablution of the holy images is practicable for all. Though the Great Teacher has entered Nirvana yet his image exists and we should worship it with zeal as though in his presence. Those who constantly offer incense and flowers to it are enabled to purify their thoughts and those who perpetually bathe his image are enabled to overcome the sins that involve them in darkness1." He appears to contemplate chiefly the veneration of images of Sakyamuni but figures of Bodhisattvas were also conspicuous features in temples, as we know not only from archæology but from the biography of Hsuan Chuang, where it is said that worshippers used to throw flowers and silk scarves at the image of Avalokita and draw augures from the way they fell.

Monasteries were liberally decorated with statues, carvings and pictures<sup>2</sup>. They often comprised several courts and temples. Hsuan Chuang says that a monastery in Magadha which he calls Ti-lo-shi-ka had "four courts with three storeyed halls, lofty terraces and a succession of open passages ... At the head of the road through the middle gate were three temples with disks on the roof and hung with small bells; the bases were surrounded by balustrades, and doors, windows, beams, walls, and stairs were ornamented with gilt work in relief." In the three temples were large images representing the Buddha, Târâ and Ayalokita

The great centres of Buddhist learning and monastic life, mentioned by both pilgrims, were Valabhi or Balabhi in Gujarat and Nalanda. The former was a district rather than a single locality and contained 100 monasteries with 6000 monks of the Sammitiya school Nalanda was in Magadha not far from Gaya. The date of its foundation is unknown but a great temple (though apparently not the first) was built about 485 AD.<sup>3</sup>

8 I-Ching says that monastenes commonly had a statue of Mahakala as a guardian desty

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> I believe the golden image in the Arakan Pagoda at Mandalay is still washed with a ceremonial resembling that described by I-Ching

By the Gupta king, Narasmha Gupta Bâlâditya Minch information about Nâlandâ will be found in Satis Chandra Vidyabhusana's Medicual School of Indian Logic, pp 145-147 Hsüan Chuang (Life, transl Beal, p 111) says that it was

Fa-Hsien mentions a village called Nala but without indicating that it was a seat of learning Hence it is probable that the University was not then in existence or at least not celebrated Hsuan Chuang describes it as containing six monasteries built by various kings and surrounded by an enclosing wall in which there was only one gate I-Ching writing later says that the establishment owned 200 villages and contained eight halls with more than 3000 monks In the neighbourhood of the monastery were a hundred sacred spots, several marked by temples and topes It was a resort for Buddhists from all countries and an educational as well as a religious centre I-Ching says that students spent two or three years there in learning and disputing after which they went to the king's court in search of a government appointment Successful ment was rewarded not only by rank but by grants of land Both pilgrims mention the names of several celebrates connected with Nalanda But the worthes of the seventh century did not attain to more than scholastic emmence The most important literary figure of the age is Santideva of whose life nothing is known His writings however prove that the Buddhism of this period was not a corrupt superstition, but could inspire and noursh some of the most beautiful thoughts which the creed has produced.

built 700 years before his time, that is, in the first century is o He dwells on the beauty of the buildings, pends and flowers

### CHAPTER XXIV

#### DECADENCE OF BUDDHISM IN INDIA

The theme of this chapter is sad for it is the decadence, degradation and ultimate disappearance of Buddhism in India The other great religions offer no precise parallel to this phenomenon but they also do not offer a parallel to the circumstances of Buddhism at the time when it flourished in its native land. Mohammedanism has been able to maintain itself in comparative isolation up to the present day Moslims and Christians share the same cities rather than the same thoughts, especially when (as often) they belong to different races. European Christianity after a few centuries of existence had to contend with no rival of approximately equal strength, for the struggle with Mohammedanism was chiefly military and hardly concerned the merits of the faiths But Buddhism never had a similarly paramount and unchallenged position. It never attempted to extirpate its rivals It coexisted with a mass of popular superstition which it only gently reprobated and with a powerful hereditary priesthood, both intellectual and pliant, tenacious of their own ideas and yet ready to countenance almost any other ideas as the price of ruling. Neither Islam nor Christianity had such an adversary, and both of them and even Judaism resemble Buddhism in having won greater success outside their native lands than in them Jerusalem is not an altogether satisfactory spectacle to either Christians or Jews1

Still all this does not completely explain the disappearance of Buddhism from India Before attempting to assign reasons, we shall do well to review some facts and dates relating to the period of decadence. If we take all India into consideration the period is long, but in many, indeed in most, districts the process of decay was rapid.

In the preceding chapter I have mentioned the accounts of Indian Buddhism which we owe to the Chinese travellers, Hsuan Chuang and I-Ching The latter frankly deplores the decay of

Written before the war

the faith which he had witnessed in his own life (i.e. about 650-700 A.D ) but his travels in India were of relatively small extent and he gives less local information than previous pilgrims. Hsuan Chuang describing India in 629-645 A.D. is unwilling to admit the decay but his truthful narrative lets it be seen. It is only of Bengal and the present United Provinces that he can be said to give a favourable account, and the prosperity of Buddhism there was largely due to the personal influence of Harsha<sup>1</sup> In central and southern India, he tells us of little but deserted monasteries It is clear that Buddhism was dying out but it is not so clear that it had ever been the real religion of this region In many parts it did not conquer the population but so to speak built fortresses and left garrisons. It is probable that the Buddhism of Andhra, Kalinga and the south was represented by little more than such outposts. They included Amarâvatı, where portions of the ruins seem assignable to about 150 AD, and Ajanta, where some of the cave paintings are thought to be as late as the sixth century. But of neither site can we give any continuous history. In southern India the introduction of Buddhism took place under the auspices of Asoka himself, though his inscriptions have as yet been found only in northern Mysore and not in the Tamil country The Tamil poems Manimêgalei and Silappadigaram, especially the former, represent it as prevalent and still preserving much of its ancient simplicity. Even in later times when it had almost completely disappeared from southern India, occasional Buddhist temples were founded Rajaraja endowed one at Negapatam about 1000 A.D. In 1055 a monastery was erected at Belgami in Mysore and a Buddhist town named Kalavati is mentioned as existing in that state in 15332 But in spite of such survivals, even in the sixth century Buddhism could not compete in southern India with either Jamism or Hinduism and there are no traces of its existence in the Deccan after 1150.

For the Konkan, Maharashtra and Gujarat, Hsuan Chuang's statistics are fairly satisfactory. But in all this region the Sammitiya seet which apparently was nearer to Hinduism than the others was the most important. In Ujjain Buddhism was

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Even at Kanauj, the scene of Harsha's pious festivities, there were 100 Buddhist monasteries but 200 Dova temples

Rice, Mysore and Coorg from the Inscriptions, p 203

almost extinct but in many of the western states it lingered on, perhaps only in isolated monasteries, until the twelfth century. Inscriptions found at Kanheri (843 and 851 A.D.), Dambal (1095 A.D.) and in Miraj (1110 A.D.) testify that grants were made to monasteries at these late dates. But further north the faith had to endure the violence of strangers. Sind was conquered by the Arabs in 712, Gujarat and the surrounding country were invaded by northern tribes and such invasions were always minical to the prosperity of monasteries

This is even more true of the Panjab, the frontier provinces and Kashmir The older invaders such as the Yueh-chih had been favourably disposed to Buddhism, but those who came later, such as the Huns, were predaceous barbarians with little religion of any sort. In Hsuan Chuang's time it was only in Udyana that Buddhism could be said to be the religion of the people and the torrent of Mohammedan invasion which swept continuously through these countries during the middle ages overwhelmed all earlier religions, and even Hinduism had to yield In Kashmir Buddhism soon became corrupt and according to the Råjatarangini² the monks began to marry as early as the sixth century. King Lålitåditya (733–769) is credited with having built monasteries as well as temples to the Sun, but his successors were Sivertes.

Bengal, especially western Bengal and Bihar, was the strong-hold of decadent Buddhism, though even here hostile influences were not absent But about 730 AD. a pious Buddhist named Gopāla founded the Pāla dynasty and extended his power over Magadha. The Pālas ruled for about 450 years and supplied a long and devout line of defenders of the faith. But to the east of their dominions lay the principality of Kanauj, a state of varying size and fortunes and from the eighth century onwards a stronghold of Brahmanic learning.

The revolution in Hinduism which definitely defeated, though it did not annihilate, Buddhism is generally connected with the names of Kumārila Bhatta (c. 750) and Sankara (c. 800). We know the doctrines of these teachers, for many of their works have come down to us, but when we enquire what was their political importance, or the scope and extent of the

See the note by Bühler in Journ. Pali Text Soc. 1896, p 108
 Räjatarangini, iri 12

movement which they championed we are conscious (as so often) of the extraordinary vagueness of Indian records even when the subject might appeal to religious and philosophic minds<sup>1</sup>. Kumāriļa is said to have been a Brahman of Bihar who abjured Buddhism for Hinduism and raged with the ardour of a proselyte against his ancient faith Tradition<sup>2</sup> represents him as instigating King Sudhanvan to exterminate the Buddhists But nothing is known of this king and he cannot have had the extensive empire with which he is eredited

Sankara was a Brahman of the south who in a short life found time to write numerous works, to wander over India. to found a monastic order and build four monasteries In doctrine and discipline he was more plant than Kumanja and he assimilated many strong points of Buddhism. Both these teachers are depicted as the successful heroes of public disputations in which the interest at stake was considerable. The vanguished had to become a disciple of the vanguisher or to forfest his life and, if he was the head of an institution, to surrender its property. These accounts, though exaggerated, are probably a florid version of what occurred and we may surmise that the popular faith of the day was generally victorious What violence the rising tide of Hinduism may have wrought, it is hard to say There is no evidence of any general persecution of Buddhism in the sense in which one Christian sect persecuted another in Europe But at a rather later date we hear that Jams were persecuted and tortured by Saiva princes both in southern India and Gujarat, and if there were any detailed account, epigraphic or literary, of such persecutions in the eighth and ninth centuries, there would be no reason for doubting it. But no details are forthcoming Without resorting to massacre, an anti-Buddhist king had in his power many effective methods of hostility He might confiscate or transfer monastic property, or forbid his subjects to support monks Considering the state of Buddhism as represented by Hsuan Chuang and I-Ching it is probable that such measures would suffice to ensure the triumph of the Brahmans in most parts of India

<sup>2</sup> See for the supposed persecution of Buddhism in India, JPTS 1896, pp 87-92 and 107-111 and JRAS 1898, pp 208-9

<sup>87-92</sup> and 107-111 and 9 12 20 2000, pp 20000 2 As contained in the Sankara dig vijaya ascribed to Mādhava and the Sankaravijaya ascribed to Anaudagur

After the epoch of Sankara, the history of Indian Buddhism is confined to the Pâla kingdom. Elsewhere we hear only of isolated grants to monasteries and similar acts of piety, often striking but hardly worthy of mention in comparison with the enormous number of Brahmanic inscriptions But in the Pâla kingdom¹ Buddhism, though corrupt, was flourishing so far as the number of its adherents and royal favour were concerned. Gopâla founded the monastery of Odontapuri or Udandapura, which according to some authorities was in the town of Bihar. Dharmapâla the second king of the dynasty (c. 800 a p ) built on the north bank of the Ganges the even more celebrated University of Vikramašila2, where many commentaries were composed. It was a centre not only of tantric learning but of logic and grammar, and is interesting as showing the connection between Bengal and Tibet. Tibetans studied there and Sanskrit books were translated into Tibetan within its cloisters Dharmapåla is said to have reigned sixty-four years and to have held his court at Patna, which had fallen into decay but now began to revive According to Târanâtha his successor Devapâla built Somapuri, conquered Orissa and waged war with the unbelievers who had become numerous, no doubt as a result of the preaching of Sankara But as a rule the Palas, though they favoured Buddhism, did not actively discourage Hinduism. They even gave grants to Hundu temples and their prime ministers were generally Brahmans who sused to erect non-Buddhist images in Buddhist shrines The dynasty continued through the eleventh century and in this period some information as to the condition of Indian Buddhism is afforded by the relations between Bengal and Tibet. After the persecution of the tenth century Tibetan Buddhism was revived by the preaching of monks from Bengal. Mahipala then occupied the throne (c 978-1030) and during his reign various learned men accepted invita-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Tāranātha in his twenty-eighth and following chapters gives an account, unfortunately very confused, of the condition of Buddhism under the Pāla dynasty. See also B K Sarkar, Folllore Element in Hindu Culture, chap xii, in which there are many interesting statements but not sufficient references.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Vidyabhusana'a Medicaial School of Indian Logic, p 150, for an account of this monastery which was perhaps at the modern Parthaghata. I have found no account of what happened to Nalanda in this period but it seems to have disappeared as a seat of learning

See Taranatha, chap XXVIII

tions to Tibet More celebrated is the mission of Atfsa, a monk of the Vikramasila monastery, which took place about 1038 That these two missions should have been invited and despatched shows that in the eleventh century Bengal was a centre of Buddhist learning. Probably the numerous Sanskirt works preserved in Tibetan translations then existed in its monasteries But about the same time the power of the Pâla dynasty, and with it the influence of Buddhism, were curtailed by the establishment of the rival Sena dynasty in the eastern provinces Still, under Râmapâla, who reigned about 1100, the great toacher Abhayakara was an ornament of the Mahayana Târanâtha¹ says that he corrected the text of the scriptures and that in his time there were many Pandits and resident Bhikshus in the monasterics of Vikramasila, Bodh-Gaya and Odontapuri

There is thus every reason to suppose that in the twelfth century Buddhism still flourished in Bihar, that its clergy numbered several thousands and its learning was held in csteem The blow which destroyed its power was struck by a Mohammedan invasion in 1193 In that year Ikhtiyar-ud-Din Muhammad<sup>2</sup>, a general of Kuth-ud-Din, invaded Bihar with a band of only two hundred men and with amazing audacity seized the capital, which, consisting chiefly of palaces and monasteries, collapsed without a blow The monks were massacred to a man, and when the victors, who appear not to have understood what manner of place they had captured, asked the meaning of the libraries which they saw, no one was found capable of reading the books3. It was in 1193 also that Benares was conquered by the Mohammedans I have found no record of the sack of the monastery at Sarnath but the runs are said to show traces of fire and other indications that it was overwhelmed by some sudden disaster.

The Mohammedans had no special animus against Buddhism They were iconoclasts who saw merit in the destruction of images and the slaughter of idolaters. But whereas Hinduism was spread over the country, Buddhism was concentrated in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Chap XXXVI It is interesting to notice that even at this late period he speaks of Hinavanists in Bengal

<sup>2</sup> Often called Muhammad Bakhtyar but Bakhtyar seems to have been really has father's name

<sup>5</sup> Reverty, Tabat : Nasrs, p 552 "It was discovered that the whole of that fortress and city was a college and in the Hindi tongue they call a college Bihar."

the great monasteries and when these were destroyed there remained nothing outside them capable of withstanding either the violence of the Moslims or the assimilative influence of the Brahmans. Hence Buddhism suffered far more from these invasions than Hinduism but still vestiges of it lingered long1 and exist even now in Orissa Taranatha says that the immediate result of the Moslim conquest was the dispersal of the surviving teachers and this may explain the sporadic occurrence of late Buddhist inscriptions in other parts of India He also tells us that a king named Cangalaraja restored the rumed Buddhist temples of Bengal about 1450 Elsewhere2 he gives a not discouraging picture of Buddhism in the Deccan, Gujarat and Rajputana after the Moslim conquest of Magadha but adds that the study of magic became more and more prevalent. In the life of Cartanya it is stated that when travelling in southern India (about 1510 A D ) he argued with Buddhists and confuted them, apparently somewhere in Arcot<sup>3</sup> Manuscripts preserved in Nepal indicate that as late as the fifteenth or sixteenth century Bengali copyists wrote out Buddhist works, and there is evidence that Bodh-Gaya continued to be a place of pilgrimage In 1585 it was visited by a Nepalese named Abhaya Râjâ who on his return erected in Patan a monastery imitated from what he had seen in Bengal, and in 1777 the Tashi Lama sent an embassy But such instances prove little as to the religion of the surrounding Hindu population, for at the present day numerous Buddhist pilgrims, especially Burmese, frequent the shrine The control of the temple passed into the hands of the Brahmans and for the ordinary Bengali Buddha became a member of India's numerous pantheon Pandit Haraprasad Sastri mentions a singular poem called Buddhacaritra, completed m 1711 and celebrating an incarnation of Buddha which apparently commenced in 1699 and was to end in the reappearance of the golden age But the being called Buddha is a form of Vishnu and the work is as strange a jumble of religion as it is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Many of them have been collected by Pandit Haraprasad Sastri in Jour As Soc Bengal, 1895, pp 55 ff and in his Discovery of living Buddhism in Bengal, Calcutta, 1897

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Chap XL ad fin Is the Ramacandra whom he mentions the last Yadava King (about 1314)? Taranatha speaks of his son.

Caitanya cant amrita, chap vii, transl by Jadunath Sarkar, p. 85. This biography was written in 1532 by Krishpadas Caitanya died in 1533

of languages, being written in "a curious medley of bad Sanskrit, bad Hindi and bad Bihari"

It is chiefly in Orissa that traces of Buddhism can still be found within the limits of India proper The Saraks of Baramba, Tigaria and the adjoining parts of Cuttack describe themselves as Buddhists1. Their name is the modern equivalent of Sravaka and they apparently represent an ancient Buddhist community which has become a sectarian caste They have little knowledge of their religion but meet once a year in the cave temples of Khandagiri, to worship a deity called Buddhadeva or Caturbhuja. All their ceremonies commence with the formula Ahimed parama dharma and they respect the temple of Puri, which is suspected of having a Buddhist origin

Nagendranath Vasu has published some interesting details as to the survival of Buddhist ideas in Onssa? He traces the origin of this hardy though degraded form of Mahayanism to Râmâi Pandit<sup>2</sup>, a tantric Acârya of Magadha who wrote a work called Sûnya Purâna which became popular. Orissa was one of the regions which offered the longest resistance to Islam, for it did not succumb until 1568 A period of Sivaism in the tenth and eleventh centuries is indicated by the temples of Bhubanesvar and other monuments But in the twelfth and thirteenth centuries the reigning dynasty were worshippers of Vishnu and built the great temples at Puri and Konarak, dedicated to Jagannâtha and Sûrya-nârâyana respectively We do not however hear that they persecuted Buddhism and there are reasons for thinking that Jagannatha is a form of the Buddha and that the temple at Puri was originally a Buddhist site. It

\* The Archaeological Survey of Mayurebhan; (no date? 1911), vol 1. pp ev-celum. The part containing an account of Buddhism in Orissa is also printed separately

with the title Modern Buddhum, 1911

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Census of India, 1901 vol vi. Bengal, pp 427-430

For Ramai Pandit see Dinesh Chandra Sen, Hut Bengali Language and Lit pp 30-37, and also B K Sarkar, Folklore Element in Hindu Culture, p 192, and elsewhere He appears to have been born at the end of the tenth century and though the Sûnya Purana has been re edited and interpolated parts of it are said to be in very old Bengali

Nagendranath Vasu quotes a couplet from the Mahabharata of the poet Saraladasa. 'I pay my humble respects to the mearnation of Buddha who m the form of Buddha dwells in the Nilscala, : e. Pun " The Imperial Gazetteer of India (s v. Puri Town) states that in modern representations of Vishnu's ten avatāras, the ninth, or Buddhāvatāra, is sometimes represented by Jagannātha

is said that it contains a gigantic statue of the Buddha before which a wall has been built and also that the image of Jagannatha. which is little more than a log of wood, is really a case enclosing a Buddhist relic King Prataparudra († 1529) persecuted Buddhism, which implies that at this late date its adherents were sufficiently numerous to attract attention. Either at the beginning of his reign or before it there flourished a group of six poets of whom the principal were Acvutananda Dasa and Castanva Dâsa1. Their works are nominally devoted to the celebration of Krishna's praises and form the chief vernacular scripture of the Vaishnavas in Orissa but in them Krishna, or the highest form of the deity by whatever name he is called, is constantly identified with Sûnya or the Void, that favourite term of Mahayanist philosophy Passages from them are also quoted stating that in the Kali age the followers of the Buddha must disguise themselves; that there are 3000 crypto-buddhists hidden in various parts of Orissa, that Hari has been incarnate in many Buddhas and that the Buddha will appear again on earth The phrase "I take refuge in the Buddha, in Mâtâ Adisakti (= Dharma) and in the Sangha" is also quoted from these works and Caitanya Dasa describes five Vishnus, who are apparently identical with the five Dhyani Buddhas2

Taranatha states that the last king of Orissa, Mukunda Deva, who was overthrown by the Mohammedans in 1568, was a Buddhist and founded some temples and monasteries. In the seventeenth century, there flourished a Buddhist poet named Mahadevadasa, and the Tibstan pilgrim Buddhagupta visited among other sites the old capital of Mayurabhanja and saw a stupa there. It is claimed that the tribe known as Bathuris or Bauris have always been crypto-buddhists and have preserved their ancient customs. They are however no credit to their religion, for one of their principal ceremonies is hook-swinging.

The doctrine of the Bathuris is called Mahima Dharma and experienced an interesting revival in 1875. A blind man named Bhima Bhoi had a vision of the Buddha who restored his sight

I give the dates or the authority of Narandra Nath while thinking that they may be somewhat too early The two authors named wrote the Sunya Samhita and Nirguna Mahatmya respectively.

la clary ff, coxix-coxxii, coxxi.
Author of a poem called Dharmagită

Ic can ff and consti

<sup># ?</sup>c cexxxv ff

and bade him preach the law. He attracted some thousands of adherents and led a band to Puri proclaiming that his mission was to bring to light the statue of Buddha concealed in the temple. The Raja resisted the attempt and the followers of Bhîma Bhoi were worsted in a sanguinary encounter. Since that time they have retired to the more remote districts of Orissa and are said to hold that the Buddha will appear again in a new incarnation. They are also called Kumbhipatias and according to the last census of India (1911) are hostile to Brahmans and probably number about 25,000

Traces of Buddhism also survive in the worship of a deity called Dharma-Raja or Dharma-Thakur which still prevails in western and southern Bengal<sup>1</sup> Priests of this worship are usually not Brahmans but of low caste, and Haraprasad thinks that the laity who follow it may number "several millions." Though Dharma has come to be associated with the goddess of small-pox and is believed even by his adorers to be a form of Vishnu or of Siva, yet Dhyana, or meditation, forms a part of his worship and the prayers and literature of the scot retain some traces of his origin. Thus he is said to be highly honoured in Coylon and receives the epithet Sanyamarti.

A corrupt form of Buddhism still exists in Nepal<sup>2</sup>. This country when first heard of was in the hands of the Nevars who have preserved some traditions of a migration from the north and are akin to the Tibetans in race and language, though like many non-Aryan tribes they have endeavoured to invent for themselves a Hindu pedigree Buddhism was introduced under Asoka As Indian influence was strong and communication with Tirliut and Bengal easy, it is probable that Buddhism in Nepal reflected the phases which it underwent in Bengal. A Nepalese inscription of the seventh century gives a list of shrines of which seven are Sivaite, six Buddhist and four Vishnuite<sup>3</sup>. After that date it was more successful in main-

<sup>1</sup> See Haraprasad Sastri, I.c. He gives a curious account of one of his temples in Calcutta See also B K. Sarkar, Follore Element in Hindu Culture for the decadence of Buddhism in Bengal and its survival in degenerate forms

See B. H. Hodgson, Essays on the languages, literature and religion of Nepal and Tibri, 1874. For the religion of Nepal see also Wright, History of Nepal, 1877. C. Bondall, Journal of Laterary and Archaeological Research in Nepal, 1886, Rasen dralal Mitra, Sanskril Buddhist literature of Nepal, and especially S. Lévi, L. Népal, 3 vols. 1905-8

S Livi in J A is 1904, p 225 He gives the date as 627

taining itself, for it did not suffer from Mohammedan attacks and was less exposed to the assimilative influence of Brahman-That influence however, though operating in a foreign country and on people not bred among Brahmanic traditions, was nevertheless strong In 1324 the king of Tirhut, being expelled thence by Mohammedans, seized the throne of Nepal and brought with him many learned Brahmans His dynasty was not permanent but later in the fourteenth century a subsequent ruler, Jayasthiti, organized society and religion in consultation with the Brahman immigrants. The followers of the two religions were arranged in parallel divisions, a group of Buddhists classified according to occupation corresponding to each Hindu caste, and appropriate rules and ceremonies were prescribed for the different sections The code then established is still in force in essentials and Nepal, being intellectually the pupil of India, has continued to receive such new ideas as appeared in the plains of Bengal. When these ascended to the mountain valleys they were adopted, with free modification of old and new material alike, by both Buddhists and Hindus, but as both sects were geographically isolated, each tended to resemble the other more than either resembled normal Buddhism or Hinduism Naturally the new ideas were mainly Brahmanic and Buddhism had no chance of being fortified by an importation of even moderately orthodox doctrine. In the fourteenth century arose the community of wandering ascetics called Nathas who were reverenced by Hindus and Buddhists alike. They rejected the observances of both creeds but often combined their doctrines and, though disavowed by the Brahmans, exercised a considerable influence among the lower castes Some of the peculiar deities of Nepal, such as Matsyendranath, have attributes traceable to these wanderers In 1769 Nepal was conquered by the Gurkhas This tribe seems related to the Tibetan stock, as are the Nevars, but it had long been hinduized and claimed a Raiput ancestry. Thus Gurkha rule has favoured and accelerated the hinduizing of Nepalese Buddhism.

Since the time of Hodgson the worship of the Adi-Buddha, or an original divine Buddha practically equivalent to God, has been often described as characteristic of Nepalese religion and such a worship undoubtedly exists. But recent accounts indicate that it is not prominent and also that it can hardly be con-

sidered a distinct type of monotheistic Buddhism The idea that the five Dhyani-Buddhas are emanations or manifestations of a single primordial Buddha-spirit is a natural development of Mahayanist ideas, but no definite statement of it earlier than the Kalacakra literature is forthcoming, though many earlier works point towards it1. In modern Nepal the chief temple of the Adı-Buddha is on the hill of Svayambhû (the self-existent) near Katmandu According to a legend preserved in the Svavambhû Purâna, a special divine manifestation occurred in ancient times on an adjoining lake, a miraculous lotus arose on its surface, bearing an image, over which a Caitya was subsequently erected The shrine is greatly venerated but this Ādi-Buddha, or Svayambhû, does not differ essentially from other miraculous images in India which are said not to consist of ordinary matter but to embody in some special way the nature of a deity The religion of Nepal is less remarkable for new developments of Buddhism than for the singular fusion of Buddhism with Hinduism which it presents and which helps us to understand what must have been the last phase in Bengal

The Nepalese Brahmans tolerate Buddhism The Nepalamåhåtmya says that to worship Buddha is to worship Siva, and the Svavambhû Purana returns the compliment by recommending the worship of Pasupati2 The official itinerary of the Hindu pilgrim includes Svayambhû, where he adores Buddha under that name. More often the two religions adore the same image under different names what is Avalokita to the one is Mahākāla to the other Durgā is explained as being the mearnation of the Prajūâ-pāramītā and she is even identified with the Adı-Buddha The Nepalese pantheon like the Tibetan contains three elements, often united in modern legends firstly abonguel derties, such as Nagas and other nature spirits secondly definitely Buddhist deities or Bodhisattvas of whom Manjusri receives the most honour thirdly Hindu deities such as Ganesa and Krishna The popular deity Matsyendranath appears to combine all three elements in his own person

Modern accounts of Nepal leave the impression that even

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The doctrine of the Adi-Buddha is fully stated in the metrical version of the Karanda vyúha which appears to be a later paraphrase of the prose edition See Winternitz, Gesch Ind Let II 1 238

<sup>\*</sup> Compare the fusion of Sivaum and Buddhism in Java

corrupt Buddhism is in a bad way, yet the number of religious establishments is considerable. Celibacy is not observed by their inmates, who are called banras (bandyas). On entering the order the novice takes the ancient vows but after four days he returns to his tutor, confesses that they are too hard for him and is absolved from his obligations. The classes known as Bhikshus and Gubhârjus officiate as priests, the latter being the higher order. The principal ceremony is the offering of melted butter. The more learned Gubhârjus receive the title of Vajrâcârya<sup>1</sup> and have the sole right of officiating at marriages and funerals.

There is little learning The oldest scriptures in use are the so-called nine Dharmas2 Hodgson describes these works as much venerated and Rajendralal Mitra has analysed them, but Sylvain Lévi heard little of them in 1898, though he mentions the recitation of the Prajna-paramita. The Syavambhû Purana is an account of the manifestation of the Adi-Buddha written in the style of those portions of the Brahmanic Puranas which treat of the glories of some sacred place. In its present form it can hardly be earlier than the sixteenth century A.D The Nepåla-måhåtmya is a similar work which, though of Brahmanic origin, puts Buddha, Vishnu and Siva on the same footing and identifies the first with Krishna The Vagvati-mahatmyas on the other hand is strictly Sivaite and ignores Buddha's claims to worship The Vamsavali, or Chronicle of Nepal, written in the Gurkha language (Parbatiya) is also largely occupied with an account of sacred sites and buildings and exists in two versions, one Buddhist, the other Brahmanical.

But let us return to the decadence of Buddhism in India. It is plain that persecution was not its main cause nor even very important among the accessory causes. The available records contain clearer statements about the persecution of Jamism than of Buddhism but no doubt the latter came in for some rough handling, though not enough to annihilate a vigorous sect. Great numbers of monasteries in the north were demolished by the Huns and a similar catastrophe brought

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Or Vajrācārya arhat-bhikshu-buddha, which in itself shows what a medley Nepalese Buddhism has become

See above chap xx for some account of these works
 Dedicated to the sacred river Vagvati or Bagmati.

about the collapse of the Church in Bihar. But this last incident cannot be called religious persecution, for Muhammad did not even know what he was destroying. Buddhism did not arouse more animosity than other Indian religions: the significant feature is that when its temples and monasteries were demolished it did not live on in the hearts of the people, as did Hinduism with all its faults

The relation between the laity and the Church in Buddhism is curious and has had serious consequences for both good and evil The layman "take" refuge" in the Buddha, his law and his church but does not swear exclusive allegiance, to follow supplementary observances is not treasonable, provided they are not in themselves objectionable. The Buddha prescribed no ceremonies for births, deaths and marriages and apparently expected the laity to continue in the observance of such rites as were in use. To-day in China and Japan the good layman is little more than one who pays more attention to Buddhism than to other faiths This charitable pliancy had much to do with the victories of Buddhism in the Far East, where it had to struggle against strong prejudices and could hardly have made its way if it had been intolerant of local deities. But in India we see the disadvantages of the omission to make the laity members of a special corporation and the survival of the Jains, who do form such a corporation, is a clear object lesson Social life in India tends to combine men in castes or in communities which if not castes in the technical sense have much the same character. Such communities have great vitality so long as they maintain their peculiar usages, but when they cease to do so they soon disintegrate and are reabsorbed. Buddhism from the first never took the form of a corporation The special community which it instituted was the sangha or body of monks Otherwise, it aimed not at founding a sect but at including all the world as lay believers on easy terms. This principle worked well so long as the faith was in the ascendent but its effect was disastrous when decline began. The line dividing Buddhist laymen from ordinary Hindus became less and less marked: distinctive teaching was found only in the monasteries these became poorly recruited and as they were gradually deserted or destroyed by Mohammedans the religion of the Buddha disappeared from his native land

Even in the monasteries the doctrine taught bore a closer resemblance to Hinduism than to the preaching of Gotama and it is this absence of the protestant spirit, this pliant adaptability to the ideas of each age, which caused Indian Buddhism to lose its individuality and separate existence. In some localities its disappearance and absorption were preceded by a monstrous phase, known as Tantrism or Sâktism, in which the worst elements of Hinduism, those which would have been most repulsive to Gotama, made an unnatural alliance with his church.

I treat of Tantrism and Säktism in another chapter. The original meaning of Tantra as applied to literary compositions is a simplified manual Thus we hear of Vishnuite Tantras and in this sense there is a real similarity between Buddhist and tantric teaching, for both set aside Brahmanic tradition as needlessly complicated and both profess to preach a simple and practical road to salvation But in Hinduism and Buddhism alike such words as Tantra and tantric acquire a special sense and imply the worship of the divine energy in a female form called by many names such as Kâlî in the former, Târâ in the latter This worship which in my opinion should be called Saktısm rather than Tantrism combines many elements: ancient, savage superstitions as well as ingenious but fanciful speculation, but its essence is always magic. It attempts to attain by magical or sacramental formulæ and acts not only prosperity and power but salvation, nurvana and union with the supreme spirit Some of its sects practise secret immoral rites. It is sad to confess that degenerate Buddhism did not remain uncorrupted by such abuses.

It is always a difficult and speculative task to trace the early stages of new movements in Indian religion, but it is clear that by the eighth century and perhaps earlier the Buddhism of Bihar and Bengal had fallen a prey to this influence. Apparently the public ritual in the Vihâras remained unchanged and the usual language about niveîna and sûnyatê was not discarded, but it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Hardly any Buddhist Tantras have been edited in Europa. See Bendall, Subhishita-sangraka for a collection of extracts (also published in Musica, 1905), and De la Vallée Poussin, Houddhisme, Eludes et Matériaux. Id Pancakrams, 1896

While this book was going through the press I received the Tibetan Tantra called Shrichakrasambhara (Avalon's Tantrio Texts, vol. vii) with introduction by A. Avalon, but have not been able to make use of it.

was taught that those who followed a certain curriculum could obtain salvation by magical methods. To enter this curriculum it was necessary to have a qualified teacher and to receive from him initiation or baptism (abhisheka). Of the subsequent rites the most important is to evoke one of the many Buddhas or Bodhisattvas recognized by the Mahayana and identify oneself with him1. He who wishes to do this is often called a sadhaka or magician but his achievements, like many Indian miracles, are due to self-hypnotization. He is directed to repair to a lonely place and offer worship there with flowers and prayers. To this office succeed prolonged exercises in meditation which do not depart much from the ancient canon since they include the four Brahmâ-vihâras Their object is to suppress thought and leave the mind empty Then the sadhaka fills this void with the image of some Bodhisattva, for instance Avalokita This he does by uttering mystic syllables called bija or seed, because they are supposed to germinate and grow into the figures which he wishes to produce. In this way he imagines that he sees the emblems of the Bodhisattva spring up round him one by one and finally he himself assumes the shape of Avalokita and becomes one with him Something similar still exists in Tibet where every Lama chooses a tutelary deity or Yi-dam whom he summons in visible form after meditation and fasting. Though this procedure when set forth methodically in a mediæval manual seems an absurd travesty of Buddhism, yet it has links with the early faith It is admitted in the Pitakas that certain forms of meditation<sup>3</sup> lead to union with Brahma and it is no great change to make them lead to union with other supernatural beings. Still we are not here breathing the atmosphere of the Pitakas. The object is not to share Brahma's heaven but to become temporarily identified with a deity, and this is not a byway of religion but the high road

But there is a further stage of degradation. I have already mentioned that various Bodhisattvas are represented as accompanied by a female deity, particularly Avalokita by Târâ. The

<sup>1</sup> See Foucher, Iconographie bouddhique, pp 8 ff De la Vallée Pousain, Bouddhiame, Études et Matériaux, pp 213 ff For Japanese tantric ceremonies see the Si-Do In-Dzon in the Annales du Musée Guimel, vol vin

In ancient Egypt also the Kher heb or magician priest claimed the power of becoming various gods Soe Budge, Osivis, ii 170 and Wiedemann, Magic im alless Aegypten, 13 ff

gypten, 10 ii 2 The Brahma viharas. E g Dig Nik 1111.

mythological and metaphysical ideas which have grown up round Siva and Durga also attached themselves to these couples. The Buddha or Bodhisattva is represented as enjoying nirvana because he is united to his spouse, and to the three bodies already enumerated is added a fourth, the body of perfect bliss1. Sometimes this idea merely leads to further developments of the practices described above. Thus the devotee may imagine that he enters into Târâ as an embryo and is born of her as a Buddha<sup>2</sup>. More often the argument is that since the bliss of the Buddha consists in union with Tara, nirvana can be obtained by sexual union here, and we find many of the tantric wizards represented as accompanied by female companions The adept should avoid all action but he is beyond good and evil and the dangerous doctrine that he can do evil with impunity, which the more respectable sects repudiate, is expressly taught. The sage is not defiled by passion but conquers passion by passion. he should commit every infamy he should rob, he and kill Buddhas3 These crazy precepts are probably little more than a speculative application to the moral sphere of the doctrine that all things are non-existent and hence equivalent But though tantrists did not go about robbing and murdering so freely as their principles allowed, there is some evidence that in the period of decadence the morality of the Bhikshus had fallen into great discredit. Thus in the allegorical Vishnuite drama called Prabodhacandrodaya and written at Kalanjar near the end of the eleventh century Buddhists and Jains are represented as succumbing to the temptations of mebriety and voluptuousness.

It is necessary to mention this phase of decadence but no good purpose would be served by dwelling further on the absurd and often disgusting prescriptions of such works as the Tathagata-guhyaka. If the European reader is inclined to condemn unreservedly a religion which even in decrepitude could find place for such monstrosities, he should remember that the aberrations of Indian religion are due not to its

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Mahāsukhakāya or vajrakāya

De la Vallée Poussin, Bouddhisme, Études et Matériaux, p 153

See Subhashita-sangraha edited by Bendall Part II pp 29 ff especially p 41 Parasvaharanam kāryam paradārāmshevsnam Vaktavyam canntam nityam satvabuddhāmāca ghātayet See also Tathāgata-guhyaka in Rajendralal Mitra's Sanskrit Literature in Nepal, pp 261-264

inherent depravity, but to its universality. In Europe those who follow disreputable occupations rarely suppose that they have anything to do with the Church In India, robbers, murderers, gamblers, prostitutes, and maniacs all have their appropriate gods, and had the Marquis de Sade been a Hindu he would probably have founded a new tantric sect But though the details of Saktism are an unprofitable study, it is of some importance to ascertain when it first invaded Buddhism and to what extent it superseded older ideas

Some critics1 seem to imply—for their statements are not very explicit—that Saktism formed part if not of the teaching of the Buddha, at least of the medley of beliefs held by his disciples But I see no proof that Saktist beliefs—that is to say crotic mysticism founded on the worship of goddesseswere prevalent in Magadha or Kosala before the Christian era. Although Siri, the goddess of luck, is mentioned in the Pitakas, the popular derties whom they bring on the scene are almost exclusively masculine<sup>2</sup> And though in the older Brahmanic books there are passages which might easily become tantne, vet the transition is not made and the important truths of religion are kept distinct from unclean rites and thoughts The Brihad-aranyaka contains a chapter which hardly admits of translation but the object of the practices inculcated is simply to ensure the birth of a son The same work (not without analogies in the ecstatio utterances of Christian saints) boldly compares union with the Atman to the bliss of one who is embraced by a beloved wife, but this is a mere illustration and there is no hint of the doctrine that the goal of the religious life is obtainable by maithuna Still such passages, though innocent in themselves, make it easy to see how degrading superstations found an easy entrance into the noblest edifices of Indian thought and possibly some heresies condemned in the Kathavatthu<sup>3</sup> indicate that even at this early date the Buddhist Church was contaminated by erotic fancies But, if so, there is no evidence that such malpractices were widespread

<sup>1</sup> For instance De la Vallée Poussin in his Bouddhisme, Études et Matériaux, 1896. In his later work, Bouddhisme, Opinions sur l'histoire de la dogmanque, he modifies his earlier views

<sup>2</sup> See Dig Nik XX. and XXXII

<sup>\*</sup> Kathav xxm 1 and 2

appendices to the Lotus1 show that the worship of a manynamed goddess, invoked as a defender of the faith, was beginning to be a recognized feature of Buddhism. But they contain no indications of left-handed Tantrism and the best proof that it did not become prevalent until much later is afforded by the narratives of the three Chinese pilgrims who all describe the condition of religion in India and notice anything which they thought singular or reprehensible. Fa-Hsien does not mention the worship of any female derty2, nor does the Life of Vasubandhu, but Asanga appears to allude to Saktism in one passage \$ Hsuan Chuang mentions images of Tara but without hinting at tantric ritual, nor does I-Ching allude to it, nor does the evidence of art and inscriptions attest its existence. It may have been known as a form of popular superstition and even have been practised by individual Bhikshus, but the silence of I-Ching makes it improbable that it was then countenanced in the schools of Magadha He complains of those who neglect the Vinaya and "devote their whole attention to the doctrine of nothingness," but he says not a word about tantric abuses.

The change probably occurred in the next half century<sup>6</sup> for Padma-Sambhava, the founder of Lamaism who is said to have resided in Gaya and Nalanda and to have arrived in Tibet in 747 AD, is represented by tradition as a tantric wizard, and about the same time translations of Tantras begin to appear in Chinese. The translations of the sixth and seventh centuries, including those of I-Ching, comprise a considerable though not preponderant number of Dharans. After the seventh century

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These appendices are later additions to the original text but they were translated into Chinese in the third century. Among the oldest Sanskrit MSS from Japan is the Ushnisha-vijaya-dhâranî and there is a goddess with a similar name. But the Dhâranî is not Sâktist. See text in Anec. Oxon. Aryan series.

He speaks of Kwan-shih-yin but this is probably the male Avalokita

Mahayana-sûtrâlankars, IX 46 Of course there may be many other allusions in yet unedited works of Asanga but it is noticeable that this allusion to matthina is only made in passing and is not connected with the essence of his teaching

Transl Takakusu, p 51.

Taranaths, chap XXII seems also to assign a late origin to the Tantras though his remarks are neither clear nor consistent with what he says in other passages. He is doubtless right in suggesting that tantric rites were practised surreplatiously before they were recognized openly.

It is about this time too that we hear of Tantrism in Hindrism. In the drama Malati and Madhava (c 730 AD) the heroine is kidnapped and is about to be sacrificed to the goddess Canda when she is rescued.

these became very numerous and several Tantras were also translated<sup>1</sup> The inference seems to be that early in the eighth century Indian Buddhists officially recognized Tantrism

Tantric Buddhism was due to the mixture of Mahavanist teaching with aboriginal superstitions absorbed through the medium of Hinduism, though in some cases there may have been direct contact and mutual influence between Mahayanism and aboriginal beliefs But as a rule what happened was that abornginal deities were identified with Hindu deities and Buddhism had not sufficient independence to keep its own pantheon distinct, so that Vairocana and Târâ received most of the attributes, brahmanic or barbarous, given to Siva or Kali The worship of the goddesses, described in their hinduized form as Durga, Kali, etc., though found in most parts of India was specially prevalent in the sub-himalayan districts both east and west Now Padma-Sambhava was a native of Udvana or Swat and Taranatha represents the chief Tantrists2 as coming from there or visiting it Hsuan Chuang tells us that the inhabitants were devout Mahayanists but specially expert in magic and exorcism He also describes no less than four sacred places in it where the Buddha in previous births gave his flesh, blood or bones for the good of others Have we here in a Buddhist form some ancient legend of dismemberment like that told of Sati in Assam? Of Kashmir he says that its religion was a mixture of Buddhism with other beliefs. These are precisely the conditions most favourable to the growth of Tantrism and though

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See the latter part of Appendix II in Nanjio's Catalogue

Eg Lehtavajra, Lilâvajra, Buddhaśāntz, Ratuavajra Tāranātha also (tr. Schiefner, p 204) speaks of Tantras "Welche aus Udyana gebracht und me in Indien gewesen sind." It is also noticeable, as Grünwedel has pomied out, that many of the siddhas or sorcerers bear names which have no meaning in Aryan languages Bir-va-ps, Na-ro ps, Lui ps, etc. A curious late tradition represents Sāktism as coming from China See a quotation from the Mahāchathira in the Archaeological Survey of Mayurabhan, p xiv Either China is here used loosaly for some country north of the Himalayas or the story is pure fancy, for with rare exceptions (for instance the Lamaism of the Yūan dynasty) the Chinese seem to have rejected Śāktist works or even to have expurgated them, sy the Tathāgataguhyaka

anyaka <sup>2</sup> His account of Udyana and Kashmir will be found in Watters, chapters vii

<sup>4</sup> Traces of Buddhism still exist, for according to Bühler the Nilamata Purana orders the image of Buddhist to be worshipped on Vaisakha 16 to the accompaniment of recitations by Buddhist ascetics

the bulk of the population are now Mohammedans, witchcraft and sorcery are still rampant. Among the Hindu Kashmiris1 the most prevalent religion has always been the worship of Siva, especially in the form representing him as half male, half female This cult is not far from Saktism and many allusions2 in the Râjatarangınî ındıcate that left-hand worship was known, though the author saturizes it as a corruption He also several times mentions<sup>2</sup> Mâtrı-cakras, that is oircles sacred to the Mothers or tantric goddesses In Nepal and Tibet tantrio Buddhism is fully developed but these countries have received so much from India that they exhibit not a parallel growth, but late Indian Tantrism as imported ready-made from Bengal. It is here that we come nearest to the origins of Tantrism, for though the same beliefs may have flourished in Udyana and Kashmir they did not spread much in the Panjab or Hindustan, where their progress was hindered at first by a healthy and vigorous Hinduism and subsequently by Mohammedan invasions But from 700 to 1197 AD Bengal was remote alike from the main ourrents of Indian religion and from foreign raids little Aryan thought or learning leavened the local superstations which were infecting and stifling decadent Buddhism Hsuan Chuang informs us that Bhaskaravarma king of Kâmarûpa4 attended the fêtes celebrated by Harsha in 644 A D. and inscriptions found at Tezpur indicate that kings with Hindu names reigned in Assam about 800 A.D. This is agreeable to the supposition that an amalgamation of Sivaism and aboriginal religion may have been in formation about 700 A.D. and have influenced Buddhism.

In Bihar from the eighth century onwards the influence of Tantrism was powerful and disastrous The best information about this epoch is still to be found in Taranatha, in spite of his defects

He makes the interesting statement that in the reign of Gopāla who was a Buddhist, although his ministers were not (730-740 A D), the Buddhists wished their religious buildings to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For notices of Kashmirian religion see Stein's translation of the Rajatarangini and Bühler, Tour in Search of Sanskrit manuscripts. J. Bomb. A S 1877.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> VI 11-13, VII 278-280, 295, 523

<sup>1 122, 335, 348</sup> m 99, v 65

<sup>4</sup> Also called Kumara

be kept separate from Hundu temples but that, in spite of protests, life-sized images of Hindu deities were erected in them1. The ritual too was affected, for we hear several times of burnt offerings2 and how Bodhibhadra, one of the later professors of Vikramasila, was learned in the mystic lore of both Buddhists and Brahmans. Nalanda and the other viheras continued to be seats of learning and not merely monastenes, and for some time there was a regular succession of teachers Taranatha gives us to understand that there were many students and authors but that sorcery occupied an increasingly important position. Of most teachers we are told that they saw some deity, such as Avalokita or Tark The deity was summoned by the rites already described and the object of the performer was to obtain magical powers or siddhi The successful sorcerer was known as siddha, and we hear of 84 mahasiddhas, still celebrated in Tibet, who extend from Rahulabhadra Nagarjuna to the thirteenth century. Many of them bear names which appear not to be Indian

The topics treated of in the Tantras are divided into Kriya (ritual), Carva (apparently corresponding to Vinaya), Yoga, and Anuttara-voga Sometimes the first three are contrasted with the fourth and sometimes the first two are described as lower, the third and fourth as higher But the Anuttara-yoga is always considered the highest and most mysterious Taranatha says that the Tantras began to appear simultaneously with the Mahayana sûtras but adds that the Anuttara-yoga tantras appeared gradually. He also observes that the Acarya Anandagarbha? did much to spread them in Magadha. It is not until

<sup>2</sup> Similarly statues of Mahadevi are found in Jain temples now, te in Gujarat This very unbuddhist practice seems to have penetrated even to Japan.

Burnt offerings form part of the ritual in the temple of Narita

<sup>3</sup> See for instance the account of how Kamalarakshita summoned Yaman So too the Samhitas of the Vaishnevas and the Agames of the Savas are said to consist of four quarters teaching Jffana, Yoga, Kriya and Carya respectively See Sohrader, Introd to Pancaraira, p 22 Sometimes five classes of Tantras sro enumerated which are perhaps all subdivisions of the Anuttara yegs, namely Guhyasamāja, Māyājāla, Buddhasammāyoga, Candraguhyutilaks, Manjusrikrodha See Taranatha (Schreiner), p 221

s Chap XLIII But this seems hardly consistent with his other statements The Lamas in Tabet have a similar theory of progressive tentric revelation

See Waddell, Buddhism of Tibet, pp 56, 57 In the reign of Mahipala, 978-1030 A D

a late period of the Pâla dynasty that he mentions the Kâlacakra which is the most extravagant form of Buddhist Tantrism

This accords with other statements to the effect that the Kâlacakra tantra was introduced in 965 AD from Sambhala, a mysterious country in Central Asia. This system is said to be Vishnuite rather than Sivaite. It specially patronizes the cult of the mystic Buddhas such as Kâlacakra and Heruka, all of whom appear to be regarded as forms of Âdi-Buddha or the primordial Buddha essence. The Siddha named Pito is also described as the author of this doctrine<sup>1</sup>, which had less importance in India than in Tibet.

On the other hand Taranatha gives us the names of several doctors of the Vinaya who flourished under the Pâla dynasty. Even as late as the reign of Râmapâla (? 1080-1120) we hear that the Hinayanists were numerous In the reign of Dharmapåla (c 800 A D ) some of them broke up the great silver image of Heruka at Bodh-Gaya and burnt the books of Mantras2. These instances show that the older Buddhism was not entirely overwhelmed by Tantrism<sup>3</sup> though perhaps it was kept alive more by pilgrims than by local sentiment. Thus the Chinese inscriptions of Bodh-Gaya though they speak at length of the three bodies of Buddha show no signs of Tantrism It would appear that the worship celebrated in the holy places of Magadha preserved a respectable side until the end. In the same way although Tantrism is strong in the literature of the Lamas, none of the many descriptions of Tibet indicate that there is anything scandalous in the externals of religion Probably in Tibet, Nepal and mediæval Magadha alike the existence of disgraceful tantric literature does not indicate such widespread depravity as might be supposed. But of its putrefying influence in corrupting the minds of those who ought to have preserved

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Tăranâtha, p 275 For the whole subject see Grünwedel, Mythologie des Buddhismus, pp 41-2 and my chapters on Tibet below

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Schiefner (transl Täranätha, p. 221) describes these Śrāvakas or Hinayanists as "Saindhavas welche Çrāvakas aus Simhala u s w. waren" They are apparently the same as the Saindhava grāvakas often mentioned by Tāranātha Are they Hinayanists from Sindh where the Sammitaya school was prevalent? See also Pag Sam Jon Zang, pp. oxix, 114 and 134 where Sarat Chandra Das explains Sendha-pa as a brahmanical scot.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The curious story (Taranatha, p 206) in which a Buddhist at first refuses on religious grounds to take part in the evocation of a demon seems also to hint at a disapproval of magic

usages and beliefs it has taken over much that is Buddhist and without Buddhism it would never have assumed its present shape. To Buddhist influence are due for instance the rejection by most sects of animal sacrifices: the doctrine of the sanctity of animal life: monastic institutions and the ecclesiastical discipline found in the Dravidian regions. We may trace the same influence with more or less certainty in the philosophy of Sankara and outside the purely religious sphere in the development of Indian logic. These and similar points are dealt with in more detail in other parts of this work and I need not dwell on them here.

# BOOK V HINDUISM

#### BOOK V

THE present book deals with Hinduism and includes the period just treated in Book IV. In many epochs the same mythological and metaphysical ideas appear in a double form, Brahmanic and Buddhist, and it is hard to say which form is the earlier

Any work which like the present adopts a geographical and historical treatment is bound to make Buddhism seem more important than Hinduism and rightly, for the conversion and transformation of China, Japan and many other countries are a series of exploits of great moment for the history not merely of religion but of civilization. Yet when I think of the antiquity, variety and vitality of Hinduism in India—no small sphere—the nine chapters which follow seem very inadequate—I can only urge that though it would be easy to fill an encyclopædia with accounts of Indian beliefs and practices, yet there is often great similarity under superficial differences—the main lines of thought are less numerous than they seem to be at first sight and they tend to converge.

## CHAPTER XXV

#### SIVA AND VISHNU

1

The striking difference between the earlier and later phases of Indian religious belief, between the Vedic hymns, Brahmanas, Upanishads and their accessory treatises on the one hand, and the epics, Puranas, Tantras and later literature on the other, is due chiefly to the predominance in the latter of the great gods Siva and Vishnu. with the attendant features of sectarian worship and personal devotion to a particular deity The difference is not wholly chronological, for late writers sometimes take the Vedic standpoint and ignore the worship of these deities, but still their prominence in literature, and probably in popular mythology, is posterior to the Vedic period. The change created by their appearance is not merely the addition of two imposing figures to an already ample pantheon, it is a revolution which might be described as the introduction of a new religion, except that it does not come as the enemy or destroyer of the old. The worship of the new deities grows up peacefully in the midst of the ancient rites; they receive the homage of the same population and the ministrations of the same priests. The transition is obscured but also was facilitated by the strength of Buddhism during the period when it occurred The Brahmans, confronted by this formidable adversary, were disposed to favour any popular religious movement which they could adapt to their interests

When the Hindu revival sets in under the Guptas, and Buddhism begins to decline, we find that a change has taken place which must have begun several centuries before, though our imperfect chronology does not permit us to date it. Whereas the Vedic sacrificers propitiated all the gods impartially and regarded ritual as a sacred science giving power over nature, the worshipper of the later deities is generally sectarian and often emotional. He selects one for his adoration, and this selected deity becomes not merely a great god among others.

but a gigantic cosmical figure in whom centre the philosophy, poetry and passion of his devotees. He is almost God in the European sense, but still Indian deities, though they may have a monopoly of adoration in their own sects, are never entirely similar to Jehovah or Allah They are at once more mythical, more human and more philosophical, since they are conceived of not as creators and rulers external to the world, but as forces manifesting themselves in nature. An exuberant mythology bestows on them monstrous forms, celestial residences, wives and offspring they make occasional appearances in this world as men and animals, they act under the influence of passions which if titanic, are but human feelings magnified. The philosopher accommodates them to his system by saying that Vishnu or Siva is the form which the Supreme Spirit assumes as Lord of the visible universe, a form which is real only in the same sense that the visible world itself is real.

Vishqu and Rudra are known even to the Rig Veda but as deities of no special eminence. It is only after the Vedic age that they became, each for his own worshippers, undisputed Lords of the Universe. A limiting date to the antiquity of Sivaism and Vishnuism, as their cults may be called, is furnished by Buddhist literature, at any rate for north-eastern India. The Pali Pitakas frequently introduce popular deities, but give no prominence to Vishnu and Siva. They are apparently mentioned under the names of Venhu and Isâna, but are not differentiated from a host of spirits now forgotten. The Piṭakas have no prejudices in the matter of deities and their object is to represent the most powerful of them as admitting their inferiority to the Buddha. If Siva and Vishnu are not put forward in the same way as Brahmâ and Indra, the inference seems clear it had not occurred to anyone that they were particularly important.

The suttas of the Digha Nikâya in which these lists of deities occur were perhaps composed before 300 B c <sup>2</sup> About that date Megasthenes, the Greek envoy at Pataliputra, describes two Indian deities under the names of Dionysus and Herakles. They are generally identified with Krishna and Siva It might be difficult to deduce this identity from an analysis of each

<sup>1</sup> See especially Dig Nik XX and XXXII

But the lists may be pieces of folk lore older than the suttas in which they are incorporated

description and different authorities have identified both Siva and Krishna with Dionysus, but the fact remains that a somewhat superficial foreign observer was impressed with the idea that the Hindus worshipped two great gods. He would hardly have derived this idea from the Vedio pantheon, and it is not clear to what gods he can refer if not to Siva and Vishnu. It thus seems probable that these two cults took shape about the fourth century B.O Their apparently sudden appearance is due to their popular character and to the absence of any record in art. The statuary and carving of the Asokan period and immediately succeeding centuries is exclusively Buddhist. No temples or images remain to illustrate the first growth of Hindusm (as the later form of Indian religion is commonly styled) out of the earlier Brahmanism. Literature (on which we are dependent for our information) takes little account of the early career of popular gods before they win the recognition of the priesthood and aristocracy, but when that recognition is once obtained they appear in all their majesty and without any hint that their honours are recent.

As already mentioned, we have evidence that in the fifth or sixth century before Christ the Vedic or Brahmanic religion was not the only form of worship and philosophy in India There were popular derities and rites to which the Brahmans were not opposed and which they countenanced when it suited them. What takes place in India to-day took place then. When some aboriginal deity becomes important owing to the prosperity of the tribe or locality with which he is connected, he is recognized by the Brahmans and admitted to their pantheon, perhaps as the son or incarnation of some personage more generally accepted as divine. The prestige of the Brahmans is sufficient to make such recognition an honour, but it is also their interest and millennial habit to secure control of every important religious movement and to incorporate rather than suppress. And this incorporation is more than mere recognition the parvenu god borrows something from the manners and attributes of the olympian society to which he is introduced. The greater he grows, the more considerable is the process of fusion and borrowing Hindu philosophy ever seeks for the one amongst the many and popular thought, in a more confused way, pursues the same goal. It combines and identifies its

deities, feeling dimly that taken singly they are too partial to be truly divine, or it piles attributes upon them striving to make

each an adequate divine whole.

Among the processes which have contributed to form Vishnu and Siva we must reckon the invasions which entered India from the north-west1. In Bactria and Sogdiana there met and were combined the art and religious ideas of Greece and Persia, and whatever elements were imported by the Yuch-chih and other tribes who came from the Chinese frontier. The personalities of Vishnu and Siva need not be ascribed to foreign influence. The ruder invaders took kindly to the worship of Siva, but there is no proof that they introduced it. But Persian and Græco-Bactrian influence favoured the creation of more definite derties, more personal and more pictorial. The gods of the Vedic hymns are vague and indistinct; the Supreme Being of the Upanishads altogether impersonal, but Mithra and Apollo, though divine in their majesty, are human in their persons and in the appeal they make to humanity The influence of these foreign conceptions and especially of their representation in art is best seen in Indian Buddhism Hinduism has not so ancient an artistic record and therefore the Græco-Bactrian influence on it is less obvious, for the sculpture of the Gupta period does not seem due to this inspiration. Neither in outward form nor in character do Vishnu and Siva show much more resemblance to Apollo and Mithra than to the Vedic gods Their exuberant. fantastic shapes, their many heads and arms, are a symbol of their complex and multiple attributes. They are not restricted by the limits of personality but are great polymorphic forces, not to be indicated by the limits of one human shape2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Dionysus of Megasthenes is a deity who comes from the west with an army that suffers from the heat of the plains. If we could be certain that he meant five by Dionysus this would be valuable evidence. But he clearly misunderstood many things in Indian religion. Greek legends connected Dionysus with India and the East.

Macdonell seems to me correct in saying (JRAS 1915, p 125) that one reason why Indian deities have many arms is that they may be able to carry the various symbols by which they are characterized. Another reason is that worship is usually accompanied by dhysna, that is forming a mental image of the deity as described in a particular text Eg the worshipper repeats a mantra which describes a deity in language which was originally metaphorical as having many heads and arms and at the same time he ought to make a mental image of such a figure

2

Though alike in their grandeur and multiplicity. Vishnu and Siva are not otherwise similar. In their completely developed forms they represent two ways of looking at the world The main ideas of the Vaishnavas are human and emotional The derty saves and loves he asks for a worship of love He appears in human incarnations and is known as well or better by these incarnations than in his original form But in Sivaism the main ourrent of thought is scientific and philosophic rather than emotional. This statement may seem strange if one thinks of the wild rites and legends connected with Siva and his spouse Nevertheless the fundamental conception of Sivaism, the cosmic force which changes and in changing both destroys and reproduces, is strictly scientific and contrasts with the human, pathetio, loving sentiments of Vishnuism And scandalous as the worship of the generative principle may become, the potency of this impulse in the world scheme cannot be denied. Agreeably to his character of a force rather than an emotion Siva does not become incarnate<sup>2</sup> as a popular hero and saviour like Rama or Krishna, but he assumes various supernatural forms for special purposes Both worships, despite their differences, show characteristics which are common to most phases of Indian religion Both seek for deliverance from transmigration and are penetrated with a sense of the sorrow inherent in human and animal life. both develop or adopt philosophical doctrines which rise high above the level usually attained by popular beliefs, and both

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> But some forms of Sivaum in southern India come even nearer to emotional Christianity than does Vishnuism

<sup>2</sup> I cannot discover that any alleged avatara of Siva has now or has had formerly any importance, but the Vâyu, Linga and Kûrma Purâna give lists of such incarnations, as does also the Catechism of the Shanva religion translated by Foulke. But Indian sects have a strong tendency to asorbe all possible achievements and attributes to their gods. The mere fact that Vishnu becomes incarnate moites the ardent sivaite to say that his god can do the same. A curious instance of this rivalry is found in the atory that Siva manifested himself as Sarabha mûrti in order to curb the fercouty of Vishnu when incarnate in the Man Lion (see Gopinatha Rao, Hindu Icon. p. 45). Siva often appears in a special form, not necessarily human, for a special purpose (e.g. Virabhadra) and some tantire Buddhas seem to be imitations of these apparations. There is a strong element of Sivaism horrowed from Bengal in the mythology of Tibet and Mongolia, where such personages as Hevajra, Samvara, and Mahâkala have a considerable importance under the strange title of Buddhas.

have erotic aspects in which they fall below the standard of morality usually professed by important seots whether in Asia

or Europe.

The name Siva is euphemistic. It means propitious and, like Eumenides, is used as a deprecating and complimentary title for the god of terrors. It is not his earliest designation and does not occur as a proper name in the Rig Veda where he is known as Rudra, a word of disputed derivation, but probably meaning the roarer. Comparatively few hymns are addressed to Rudra, but he is clearly distinguished from the other Vedio gods. Whereas they are cheerful and benevolent figures, he is maleficent and terrible. they are gods of the heaven but he is a god of the earth. He is the "man-slayer" and the sender of disease, but if he restrains these activities he can give safety and health. "Slay us not, for thou art gracious," and so the Destroyer comes to be the Gracious One1. It has been suggested that the name Siva is connected with the Tamil word cwappu red and also that Rudra means not the roarer but the red or shining one These etymologies seem to me possible but not proved But Rudra is different in character from the other gods of the Rig Veda. It would be rash to say that the Aryan invaders of India brought with them no god of this sort but it is probable that this element in their pantheon increased as they gradually united in blood and ideas with the Dravidian population But we know nothing of the beliefs of the Dravidians at this remote period. We only know that in later ages emotional religion, finding expression as so-called devil-dancing in its lower and as mystical poetry in its higher phases, was prevalent among them

The White Yajur Veda<sup>2</sup> contains a celebrated prayer known as the Satarudriya addressed to Rudra or the Rudras, for the power invoked seems to be now many and now one. This derty, who is described by a long string of epithets, receives the name of Sankara (afterwards a well-known epithet of Siva) and is blue-necked. He is begged to be *6iva* or propitious, but the word is an epithet, not a proper name. He haunts mountains and deserted, uncanny places he is the patron of violent and lawless men, of soldiers and robbers (the two are evidently

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The passage from one epithet to the other is very plain in R V 1 114 <sup>2</sup> Rook ver

considered much the same), of thieves, cheats and pilferers¹, but also of oraftsmen and huntsmen and is himself "an observant merchant" he is the lord of hosts of spirits, "ill-formed and of all forms." But he is also a great cosmic force who "dwells in flowing streams and in billows and in tranquil waters and in rivers and on islands. .and at the roots of trees..." who "exists in incantations, in punishments, in prosperity, in the soil, in the threshing-floor...in the woods and in the bushes, in seund and in echo...in young grass and in foam...in gravel and in streams...in green things and in dry things ...Reverence to the leaf and to him who is in the fall of the leaf, the threatener, the slayer, the vexer and the afflicter" Here we see how an evil and disreputable god, the patron of low castes and violent occupations, becomes associated with the uncanny forces of nature and is on the way to become an All-God².

Rudra is frequently mentioned in the Atharva Veda. He is conceived much as in the Satarudriya, and is the lord of spirits and of animals. "For thee the beasts of the wood, the deer, swans and various winged birds are placed in the forest: thy living creatures exist in the waters for thee the celestial waters flow. Thou shootest at the monsters of the ocean, and there is

to thee nothing far or nears."

These passages show that the main conceptions out of which the character of the later Siva is built existed in Vedic times. The Rudra of the Yajur and Atharva Vedas is not Brahmanic: he is not the god of priests and orderly ritual, but of wild people and places. But he is not a petty provincial demon who afflicts rustices and their cattle Though there is some hesitation between one Rudra and many Rudras, the destructive forces are unified in thought and the destroyer is not opposed to creation as a devil or as the principle of evil, but with profounder insight is recognized as the Lord and Law of all living things

But though the outline of Siva is found in Vedic writings, later centuries added new features to his cult. Chief among these is the worship of a column known as the Langa, the emblem under which he is now most commonly addred. It is a phallic

<sup>2</sup> A sumilarly strange collocation of attributes is found in Daksha's hymn to Siya Mahâbhārata, XII Sec. 285

In the play Mracchakatika or The Clay Cart (probably of the sixth century 1 p) a burglar invokes Kartikoya, the son of Siva, who is said to have taught different styles of house breaking

symbol though usually decent in appearance. The Vedas do not countenance this worship and it is not clear that it was even known to them1. It is first enjoined in the Mahabharata and there only in two passages2 which appear to be late additions. The inference seems to be that it was accepted as part of Hindusm just about the time that our edition of the Mahabharata was compiled. The old theory that it was borrowed from aboriginal and especially from Dravidian tribes is now discredited In the first place the instances cited of phallic worship among aboriginal tribes are not particularly numerous or striking. Secondly, linga worship, though prevalent in the south, is not confined to it, but flourishes in all parts of India. even in Assam and Nepal Thirdly, it is not connected with low castes, with orgies, with obscene or bloodthirsty rites or with anything which can be called un-Aryan. It forms part of the private devotions of the strictest Brahmans, and despite the significance of the emblem, the worship offered to it is perfectly decorous. The evidence thus suggests that this cultus grew up among Brahmanical Hindus in the early centuries of our era. The idea that there was something divine in virility and generation already existed. The choice of the symbol—the stone pillar -may have been influenced by two circumstances. Firstly, the Buddhist veneration of stûpas, especially miniature stûpas, must have made familiar the idea that a cone or column is a religious emblem<sup>6</sup>, and secondly the linga may be compared to

<sup>1</sup> It is not certain if the Sispadeväh whom Indra is asked to destroy in Rig V. vil 21 5 and x 99 3 are priame demons or worshippers of the phallus

<sup>2</sup> vir secs 202, 203, and xiii sec 14

The inscriptions of Camboja and Champs seem to be the best proof of the antiquity of Linga worship A Cambojan inscription of about 550 A D. records the dedication of a linga and the worship must have taken some time to reach Camboja from India. Some lingas discovered in India are said to be anterior to the Christian era

See F. Kittel, Ueber den Ursprung der Linga Kultus, and Barth, Religions of

As a slso its appearance, as a rule. But there are exceptions to this. Some Hindra deny that the Lings is a phallic emblem. It is hardly possible to maintain them in view of such passages as Mahâbh xiii. 14 and the innumerable figures in which there are both a lings and a Yoni. But it is true that in its later forms the worship is purged of all grossness and that in its earlier forms the symbol adored was often a stops like column or a pullar with figures on it

Such scenes as the relief from Amarkvati figured in Grunwedel, Buddhist art is ladia, p 29, fig 8, might easily be supposed to represent the worship of the large, and some of Aśoka's pillars have been worshipped as lingas in later times

OH

the carved pillars or stone standards erected in honour of Vishnu Some lingus are carved and bear one or four faces, thus entirely losing any phallic appearance. The wide extension of this cult, though its origin seems late, is remarkable. Something similar may be seen in the worship of Ganesa: the first records of it are even later, but it is now universal in India.

It may seem strange that a religion whose outward ceremonies though unassuming and modest consist chiefly of the worship of the linga, should draw its adherents largely from the educated classes and be under no moral or social stigma Yet as an idea, as a philosophy, Sivaism possesses truth and force. It gives the best picture which humanity has drawn of the Lord of this world, not indeed of the ideal to which the saint aspires, nor of the fancies with which hope and emotion people the spheres behind the veil, but of the force which rules the Universe as it is, which reproduces and destroys, and in performing one of these acts necessarily performs the other, seeing that both are but aspects of change. For all animal and human existence 1 is the product of sexual desire it is but the temporary and transitory form of a force having neither beginning nor end but continually manifesting itself in individuals who must have a beginning and an end. This force, to which European taste bids us refer with such reticence, is the true creator of the world Not only is it unceasingly performing the central muracle of producing new lives but it accompanies it by unnumbered accessory miracles, which provide the new born child with nourishment and make lowly organisms care for their young as if they were gifted with human intelligence. But the Creator is also the Destroyer, not in anger but by the very nature of his activity. When the series of changes culminates in a orisis and an individual breaks up, we see death and destruction, but in reality they occur throughout the process of growth. The egg is destroyed when the chicken is hatched the embryo ceases to exist when the child is born, when the man comes into being, the child is no more. And for change, improvement and progress death is as necessary as birth. A world of immortals would be a statu world.

When once the figure of Siva has taken definite shape,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> But not of course the soul which, according to the general Indian idea, exists before and continues after the life of the body

attributes and enithets are lavished on it in profusion He is the great ascetic, for asceticism in India means power, and Siva is the personification of the powers of nature. He may alternate strangely between austerities and wild debauch, but the sentimentality of some Krishnaite sects is alien to him. He is a magician, the lord of troops of spirits, and thus draws into his circle all the old animistic worship. But he is also identified with Time (Mahâkâla) and Death (Mrityu) and as presiding over procreation he is Ardhanaresvara, half man, half woman. Stories are invented or adapted to account for his various attributes, and he is provided with a divine family. He dwells on Mount Kailasa he has three eyes above the central one is the crescent of the moon and the stream of the Ganges descends from his braided hair: his throat is blue and encircled by a serpent and a necklace of skulls In his hands he carries a threepronged trident and a drum. But the effigy or description varies, for Siva is adored under many forms He is Mahadeva, the Great God, Hara the Seizer, Bhairava the terrible one, Pasupati, the Lord of cattle, that is of human souls who are compared to beasts. Local gods and heroes are identified with him. Thus Gor Baba1, said to be a defied ghost of the aboriginal races, reappears as Goresvara and is counted a form of Siva, as is also Khandoba or Khande Rao, a deity connected with dogs. Ganesa, "the Lord of Hosts," the God who removes obstacles and is represented with an elephant's head and accompanied by a rat, is recognized as Siva's son. Another son is Skanda or Kartikeya, the God of War, a great deity in Ceylon and southern India. But more important both for the absorption of aboriginal cults and for its influence on speculation and morality is the part played by Siva's wife or female counterpart.

The worship of goddesses, though found in many sects, is specially connected with Sivaism. A figure analogous to the Madonna, the kind and compassionate goddess who helps and pities all, appears in later Buddhism but for some reason this train of thought has not been usual in India. Lakshmi, Sarasvati and Sita are benevolent, but they hold no great position in popular esteem<sup>2</sup>, and the being who attracts millions of wor-

Crooke, Popular Religion and Folklors of Northern India, 1 84, m 219
 They are however of some importance in Vishmute theology For instance

according to the school of Rāmānuja it is the Sakti (Sri) who reveals the true doctrine to mankind. Vishnu is often said to have three consorts, Sri, Bhû and Lilâ

shippers under such names as Kâlî, Dûrgâ, or Mahâdevî, though she has many forms and aspects, is most commonly represented as a terrible goddess who demands offerings of blood. The worship of this goddess or goddesses, for it is hard to say if she is one or many, is treated of in a separate chapter. Though in shrines dedicated to Siva his female counterpart or energy (Sakti) also receives recognition, yet she is revered as the spouse of her lord to whom honour is primarily due. But in Sâktist worship adoration is offered to the Sakti as being the form in which his power is made manifest or even as the essential Godhead.

3

Let us now pass on to Vishnu. Though not one of the great gods of the Veda, he is mentioned fairly often and with respect. Indian commentators and comparative mythologists agree that he is a solar deity. His chief exploit is that he took (or perhaps in the earlier version habitually takes) three strides This was originally a description of the sun's progress across the firmament but grew into a myth which relates that when the earth was conquered by demons, Vishnu became incarnate as a dwarf and induced the demon king to promise him as much space as he could measure in three steps Then, appearing in his true form, he strode across earth and heaven and recovered the world for mankind His special character as the Preserver 18 already outlined in the Veda. He is always benevolent, he took his three steps for the good of men: he established and preserves the heavens and earth. But he is not the principal solar deity of the Rug Veda Sûrya, Savitri and Pushan receive more invocations. Though one hymn says that no one knows the limits of his greatness, other passages show that he has no preemmence, and even in the Mahabharata and the Vishnu-Purana itself he is numbered among the Adityas or sons of Adita In the Brahmanas, he is somewhat more important than in the Rig Veda<sup>1</sup>, though he has not yet attained to any position like that which he afterwards occupies

Just as for Siva, so for Vishnu we have no clear record of the steps by which he advanced from a modest rank to the

<sup>1</sup> Eg Sat Brâh 1 2.5 See also the strange legend 15 x1 1 where Vishnu is described as the best of the gods but is eaten by Indra. He is frequently (eg in the Sata Brâh) stated to be identical with the sacrifice, and this was probably one of the reasons for his becoming prominent

position of having but one rival in the popular esteem. But the lines on which the change took place are clear. Even in his own Church. Vishnu himself claims comparatively little attention. He is not a force like Siva that makes and mars, but a benevolent and retiring personality who keeps things as they are. His worship, as distinguished from that of his incarnations, is not conspicuous in modern India, especially in the north. In the south he is less overshadowed by Krishna, and many great temples have been erected in his honour In Travancore, which is formally dedicated to him as his special domain, he is adored under the name of Padmanabha. But his real claim to reverence, his appeal to the Indian heart, is due to the fact that certain deified human heroes, particularly Rama and Krishna, are identified with him

Deification is common in India<sup>1</sup>. It exists to the present day and even defunct Europeans do not escape its operation. In modern times, when the idea of reincarnation had become familiar, eminent men like Cartanya or Vallabhâcârya were declared after their death to be embodiments of Krishna without more ado, but in earlier ages the process was probably double. First of all the departed hero became a powerful ghost or derty in his own right, and then this deity was identified with a Brahmanic god. Many examples prove that a remarkable man receives worship after death quite apart from any idea of incarnation.

The incarnations of Vishnu are most commonly given as ten? but are not all of the same character. The first five, namely, the Fish, Tortoise, Boar, Man-Lion and Dwarf, are mythical, and due to his identification with supernatural creatures playing a benevolent rôle in legends with which he had originally no connection. The sixth, however, Parasu-rama or Rama with the axe, may contain historical elements. He is represented as a militant Brahman who in the second age of the world extermin-

The Bhagavata Purana (r m ) and the Bhaktamala (ese J R A S 1909, pp 621 ff ) give longer lists of 22 and 26, and the Pancaratra gives 39 See Ahrbudinya

Samhita, v. 50-55 VOL II

147

<sup>2</sup> See many modern examples in Crooke, Popular Religion and Foll Lore of Northern India, chap IV and Census of India, 1901, vol VI Bengal, pp 196-8. where are described various defied heroes who are adored in Bengal, such as Goveryâ (a bandıt), Sarlesh, Karıkh, Lárık, Amar Sıngh, and Gobind Raut (a slayer of tigers) Compare too the worship of Gopi Nath and Zinda Kaliana in the Panjab as described in Census of India, 1901, vol XVII pp 118-9

ated the Kshatriyas, and after reclaiming Malabar from the sea. settled it with Brahmans This legend clearly refers to a struggle for supremacy between the two upper castes, though we may doubt if the triumphs attributed to the priestly champion have any foundation in fact. The Râmâyana¹ contains a singular account of a contest between this Râma and the greater hero of the same name in which Parasu-rama admits the other's superiority That is to say an epic edited under priestly supervision relates how the hero-god of the warmors vanquishes the hero-god of the priests, and this hero-god of the warriors is then worshipped by common consent as the greater divinity, but under priestly patronage. The tenacity and vitality of the Brahmans enabled them ultimately to lead the conqueror captive, and Rama-candra became a champion of Brahmanism as much as Parasu-râma

Very interesting too is the ninth avatara (to leave for a moment the strict numerical order) or Buddha? The reason assigned in Brahmanic literature for Vishnu's appearance in this character is that he wished to mislead the enemies of the gods by false teaching, or that out of compassion for animals he preached the abolition of Vedic sacrifices. Neither explanation is very plausible and it is pretty clear that in the period when degenerate Buddhism offered no objection to deification and mythology, the Brahmans sanctioned the worship of the Buddha under their auspices But they did so only in a half-hearted way. The Buddha was so important a personage that he had to be explained by the intervention, kindly or hostile, of a deity.

In his tenth incarnation or Kalkit, which has yet to take

A parallel phenomenon is the behaf found in Bah, that Buddha is Siva's

See Norman in Trans Third Int Congress of Religions, ii p 85 In the Ind Ant 1918, p 145 Jayaswal tries to prove that Kalki is a historical personage and identical with King Yasodharman of Central India (about A D 500) and that the idea of

Book I, cantos 74-78

brother For Brahmanic ideas about Buddha see Vishnu Purans, m 18 The Bhagavata Purana, I 3 24 seems to make the Buddha mearnation future. It also counts Kapıla and Rishabha, apparently identical with the founder of the Sankhya and the first Jam saint, as incornations. The Padma Purana seems to ascribe not only Buddhism but the Maya doctrine of Sankara to delusions deliberately inspired by gods I have not been able to find the passage in the printed edition of the Parana but it is quoted in Sanskrit by Aufrecht, Cat Cod Bio Bodi p 14, and Mnir, Original Sanskrit Texts, p 198

place, Vishnu will appear as a Messiah, a conception possibly influenced by Persian ideas. Here, where we are in the realm of pure imagination, we see clearly what the signs of his avatâras are supposed to be. His mission is to sweep away the wicked and to ensure the triumph of the pious, but he comes as a warrior and a horseman, not as a teacher, and if he protects the good he does so by destroying evil. He has thus all the attributes of a Kshatriya hero, and that is as a matter of fact the real character of the two most important avatâras to which we now turn, Râma and Krishna.

Râma, often distinguished as Râma-candra, is usually treated as the seventh incarnation and anterior to Krishna, for he was born in the second age of this rapidly deteriorating world, whereas Krishna did not appear until the third But his deification is later than that of Krishna and probably an imitation of it. He was the son of Dasaratha, King of Ayodhya or Oudh, but was driven into banishment by a palace intrigue. He married Sîtâ, daughter of the King of Mithilâ She was carried off by Râvana, the demon tyrant of Ceylon, and Râma re-captured her with the aid of Hanuman, King of the Monkeys. and his hosts1. Is there any kernel of history in this story? An examination of Hindu legends suggests that they usually preserve names and genealogies correctly but distort facts, and fantastically combine independent narratives. Râma was a semi-divine hero in the tales of ancient Oudh, based on a real personality, and Ceylon was colonized by Indians of Aryan speech2. But can we assume that a king of Oudh really led an expedition to the far south, with the aid of ape-like aborigines?

his being a future saviour is late. This theory offers difficulties, for firstly there is no proof that the passages of the Mahabharata which mention Kalki (iii 190, 13101; iii 191, 13111, Xii 340, 12968) are additions later than Yaśodharman and secondly if Kalki was first a historical figure and then projected into the future we should expect to hear that he will come again, but such language is not quoted. On the other hand it seems quite likely (1) that there was an old tradition about a future saviour called Kalki, (2) that Yaśodharman after defeating the Huns assumed the rôle, (3) and that when it was found that the golden age had not recommenced he was forgotten (as many pseudo Messiahs have been) and Kalki again became a hope for the future. Vincent Smith (Hiet of India, ed. III. p. 320) intimates that Yaśodharman performed considerable exploits but was inordinately boastful

Another version of the story which omits the expedition to Lanka and makes Sith the sister of Rama is found in the Dasaratha Jataka (641)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> But this colonization is attributed by tradition to Vijaya, not Râma

It is doubtful, and the narrative of the Ramayana reads like poetic invention rather than distorted history. And yet, what can have prompted the legend except the occurrence of some such expedition? In Rama's wife Sita, seem to be combined an agricultural goddess and a heroine of ancient romance, embodying the Hindu ideal of the true wife.

We have no record of the steps by which Râma and Krishna were deified, although in different parts of the epic they are presented in very different aspects, sometimes as little more than human, sometimes as nothing less than the Supreme Deity. But it can hardly be doubted that this deification owes something to the example of Buddhism. It may be said that the development of both Buddhism and Hinduism in the centuries immediately preceding and following our era gives parallel manifestations of the same popular tendency to deify great men. This is true, but the non-Buddhist forms of Indian religion while not objecting to deification did not particularly encourage it But in this period, Buddhism and Jaimsm were powerful both of them sanctioned the veneration of great teachers and, as they did not recognize sacrifice or adoration of gods, this veneration became the basis of their ceremonies and easily passed into worship The Buddhists are not responsible for the introduction of deification, but the fact that it was to some extent the basis of their public ceremonies must have gone far to make the worship of Rama and Krishna seem natural

It is commonly said that whereas the whole divine nature of Vishnu was embodied in Krishna, Rama was only a partial incarnation Half the god's essence took human form in him, the other half being distributed among his brothers Krishna is a greater figure in popular esteem and receives the exclusive devotion of more worshippers. The name of Rama commands the reverence of most Hindus, and has a place in their prayers, but his figure has not been invested with the attributes (often of dubious moral value) which most attract sectarian devotaon. His worship combines easily with the adoration of other deates. The great temple of Ramesvaram on Adam's Bridge is dedicated not to Rama himself but to the lings which he erected there, and Tulsi Das, the author of the Hindi Ramayana, while invoking Rama as the Supreme Lord and redeemer of the world,

emphatically states that his worship is not antagonistic to that of Siva.

No inscriptions nor ancient references testify to the worship of Râma before our era and in the subsequent centuries two phases can be distinguished. First, Râma is a great hero, an incarnation of Vishnu for a particular purpose and analogous to the Vâmana or any other avatâra: deserving as such of all respect but still not the object of any special cult. This is the view taken of Rama in the Mahabharata, the Puranas, the Raghuvamsa, and those parts of the Ramayana which go beyond it are probably late additions2. But secondly Râma becomes for his worshippers the supreme deity Râmânuja (on the Vedânta sûtras, II. 42) mentions him and Krishna as two great incarnations in which the supreme being became manifest, and since Krishna was certainly worshipped at this period as identical with the All-God, it would appear that Rama held the same position. Yet it was not until the fourteenth or fifteenth century that he became for many sects the central and ultimate divine figure.

In the more liberal sects the worship of Rama passes easily into theism and it is the direct parent of the Kabirpanth and Sikhism, but unlike Krishnaism it does not lead to erotic excess. Râma personifies the ideal of chivalry, Sîtâ of chastity. Less edifying forms of worship may attract more attention, but it must not be supposed that Rama is relegated to the penumbra of philosophic thought. If anything so multiplex as Hinduism can be said to have a watchword, it is the cry, Ram, Ram. The story of his adventures has travelled even further than the hero himself, and is known not only from Kashmir to Cape Comorin but from Bombay to Java and Indo-China where it is a common subject of art. In India the Ramayana is a favourite recitation among all classes, and dramatized versions of various episodes are performed as religious plays. Though two late Upanishads, the Ramapurvatapaniya and Ramauttaratapaniya extol Rama as the Supreme Being, there is no Râmapurâna. The fact is significant, as showing that his worship did not possess precisely those features of priestly sectarianism which mark the Puranas and perhaps that it is later than the

See espenally book vi p 67, in Growse's Translation
 See Muir's Sanskrii Texts, vol 1v. especially pp 441-491

Purânas. But it has inspired a large literature, more truly popular than anything that the Purânas contain Thus we have the Sanskrit Râmâyana itself, the Hindi Râmâyana, the Tamil Râmâyana of Kamban, and works like the Adhyâtma-Râmâyana and Yoga-Vasistha-Râmâyana¹ Of all these, the Râmâyana of Tulsi Das is specially remarkable and I shall speak of it later at some length.

4

Krishna, the other great incarnation of Vishnu, is one of the most conspicuous figures in the Indian pantheon, but his historical origin remains obscure. The word which means black or dark blue occurs in the Rig Veda as the name of an otherwise unknown person. In the Chandogya Upanishada, Krishna, the son of Devaki, is mentioned as having been instructed by the sage Ghora of the Angirasa clan, and it is probably implied that Krishna too belonged to that clan3. Later sectaman writers never quote this verse, but their silence may be due to the fact that the Upanishad does not refer to Krishna as if he were a deity, and merely says that he received from Ghora instruction after which he never thirsted again The purport of it was that the sacrifice may be performed without rites, the various parts being typified by ordinary human actions, such as hunger, eating, laughter, liberality, righteousness, etc This doctrine has some resemblance to Buddhist language<sup>4</sup> and if this Krishna is really the ancient hero out of whom the later derty was evolved, there may be an allusion to some simple form of worship which rejected ceremonial and was practised by the tribes to whom Krishna belonged. I shall recur to the question of these tribes

The Kaush Brahm says that Krishna was an Angirasa XXX g The Ann kramani says that the Krishna of Rig Veds, VIII 74 was an Angirasa For Ghora Angirasa "the dread descendent of the Angirasas" see Macdonell and Kenth, Vesse

Index, a v

a E g Dig Nik v The Pânoarâtra expressly states that Yoga is worship of
the heart and self-sacrifice, being thus a counterpart of the external sacrifice (bahyayâga)

<sup>1</sup> Ekanātha, who lived in the sixteenth century, calls the Adhyātma R a modern work See Bhandarkar, Varcha and Sairism, page 48 The Yoga Vasishtha R purports to be instruction given by Vasishtha to Rāma who wishes to abandon the world Its date is necertain but it is quoted by anthers of the fourteenth century. It is very popular, especially in south India, where an abridgment in Tamil called very popular, especially in south India, where an abridgment in Tamil called Jūšana-Vasishtha is much read Its doctrine appears to be Vedāntat with a good deal of Buddhist philosophy Salvation is asver to think that pleasures and pains are "mine" Schänd Up in 17 6

and the Bhâgavata sect below, but in this section I am concerned with the personality of Krishna

Våsudeva is a well-known name of Krishna and a sûtra of Pânini, especially if taken in conjunction with the comment of Patanjali, appears to assert that it is not a clan name but the name of a god. If so Våsudeva must have been recognized as a god in the fourth century B c. He is mentioned in inscriptions which appear to date from about the second century B c 2 and in the last book of the Taittiriya Âranyaka³, which however is a later addition of uncertain date.

The name Krishna occurs in Buddhist writings in the form Kanha, phonetically equivalent to Krishna. In the Digha Nikâya<sup>4</sup> we hear of the clan of the Kanhâyanas (= Kârshnâyanas) and of one Kanha who became a great sage. This person may be the Krishna of the Rig Veda, but there is no proof that he is the same as our Krishna.

The Ghata-Jâtaka (No. 454) gives an account of Krishna's childhood and subsequent exploits which in many points corresponds with the Brahmanic legends of his life and contains several familiar incidents and names, such as Våsudeva, Baladeva, Kamsa Yet it presents many peculiarities and is either an independent version or a misrepresentation of a popular story that had wandered far from its home. Jain tradition also shows that these tales were popular and were worked up into different forms, for the Jams have an elaborate system of ancient patriarchs which includes Våsudevas and Baladevas Krishna is the ninth of the Black Vasudevas<sup>5</sup> and is connected with Dvåravati or Dvårakå He will become the twelfth tirthankara of the next world-period and a similar position will be attained by Devakî, Rohmî, Baladeva and Javakumâra, all members of his family This is a striking proof of the popularity of the Krishna legend outside the Brahmanic religion.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pån sv 3 98, Våsudeiärjunäbhyåm vun See Bhandarkar, Vaishnavism and Saivism, p 3 and J R A S 1910, p 168 Sütra 95, just above, appears to point to bhalls, faith or devotion, felt for this Våsudeva.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Especially the Besnagar column See Rapson, Ancient India, p 156 and various articles in JRAS 1909-10

<sup>\*</sup> X 1 Y1

in 1 23, Ularo so Kanho is ahos: But this may refer to the Rishi mentioned in R V viii 74 who has not necessarily anything to do with the god Krishna.

See Hemacandra Abhudhānacıntāmanı, Ed. Boehthingk and Rien, p 128, and Barnett's translation of the Antagada Davão, pp 13-15 and 67-82

No references to Krishna except the above have been found in the earlier Upanishads and Sûtras. He is not mentioned in Manu but in one aspect or another he is the principal figure in the Mahabharata, yet not exactly the hero. The Ramayana would have no plot without Rama, but the story of the Mahabharata would not lose its unity if Krishna were omitted. He takes the side of the Pandavas, and is sometimes a chief sometimes a god but he is not essential to the action of the epic.

The legend represents him as the son of Vasudeva, who belonged to the Sattvata sept1 of the Yadava tribe, and of his wife Devaki. It had been predicted to Kamsa, king of Mathura (Muttrn), that one of her sons would kill him. He therefore slew her first six children. the seventh, Balarama, who is often counted as an incarnation of Vishnu, was transferred by divine intervention to the womb of Rohini Krishna, the eighth, escaped by more natural methods. His father was able to give him into the charge of Nanda, a herdsman, and his wife Yasoda who brought him up at Gokula and Vrindavana. Here his youth was passed in sporting with the Gopis or milkmaids, of whom he is said to have married a thousand He had time, however, to perform acts of heroism, and after killing Kamsa, he transported the inhabitants of Mathura to the city of Dyaraka which he had built on the coast of Gujarat. He became king of the Yadavas and continued his mission of clearing the earth of tyrants and monsters In the struggle between the Pandavas and the sons of Dhritarüshtra he championed the cause of the former, and after the conclusion of the war retired to Dyaraka. Internecine conflict broke out among the Yadavas and annihilated the race. Krishna himself withdrew to the forest and was killed by a hunter called Jaras (old age) who shot him supposing him to be a deer.

In the Mahabharata and several Puranas this bare outline is distended with a plethora of miraculous incident remarkable even in Indian literature, and almost all possible forms of divine and human activity are attributed to this many-sided figure. We may indeed suspect that his personality is dual even in the simplest form of the legend for the scene changes from Mathura to Dvaraka, and his character is not quite the same in the two regions. It is probable that an ancient military hero of the west

I Apparently the same as the Vrishnis

has been combined with a deity or perhaps more than one deity. The pile of story, sentiment and theology which ages have heaped up round Krishna's name, represents him in three principal aspects. Firstly, he is a warrior who destroys the powers of evil. Secondly, he is associated with love in all its forms, ranging from amorous sport to the love of God in the most spiritual and mystical sense. Thirdly, he is not only a deity, but he actually becomes God in the European and also in the pantheistic acceptation of the word, and is the centre of a

philosophio theology.

The first of these aspects is clearly the oldest and it is here, if anywhere, that we may hope to find some fragments of history. But the embellishments of poets and story-tellers have been so many that we can only point to features which may indicate a substratum of fact. In the legend, Krishna assists the Pandavas against the Kauravas Now many think that the Påndavas represent a second and later immigration of Aryans into India, composed of tribes who had halted in the Himalayas and perhaps acquired some of the customs of the inhabitants. including polyandry, for the five Pandavas had one wife in common between them. Also, the meaning of the name Krishna, black, suggests that he was a chief of some non-Aryan tribe. It is, therefore, possible that one source of the Krishna myth is that a body of invading Aryans, described in the legend as the Pandavas, who had not exactly the same laws and beliefs as those already established in Hindustan, were aided by a powerful aboriginal chief, just as the Sisodias in Rajputana were aided by the Bhils It is possible too that Krishna's tribe may have come from Kabul or other mountainous districts of the north west, although one of the most definite points in the legend is his connection with the coast town of Dvaraka. The fortifications of this town and the fruitless efforts of the demon king, Salva, to conquer it by seige are described in the Mahabharata1, but the narrative is surrounded by an atmosphere of magic and miracle rather than of history2.

<sup>1</sup> III XV

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> It would seem that the temple of Dvārakā was built between the composition of the narrative in the Mahābhārata and of the Vishnu Purāṇa, for while the former says the whole town was destroyed by the sea, the latter excepts the temple and says that whoever visits it is freed from all his sins. See Wilson, Vishnu Purāṇa, v. p 155

Though it would not be reasonable to pick out the less fantastic parts of the Krishna legend and interpret them as history, yet we may fairly attach significance to the fact that many episodes represent him as in conflict with Brahmanic institutions and hardly maintaining the position of Vishnu incarnate1 Thus he plunders Indra's garden and defeats the gods who attempt to resist him. He fights with Siva and Skanda. He burns Benares and all its inhabitants. Yet he is called Upendra, which, whatever other explanations sectarian ingenuity may invent, can hardly mean anything but the Lesser Indra, and he fills the humble post of Arjuna's characteer His kinsmen seem to have been of little repute, for part of his mission was to destroy his own clan and after presiding over its annihilation in interneeine strife, he was slain himself. In all this we see dimly the figure of some aboriginal hero who, though ultimately canonized, represented a force not in complete harmony with Brahmanic civilization. The figure has also many solar attributes but these need not mean that its origin is to be sought in a sun myth, but rather that, as many early destres were forms of the sun, solar attributes came to be a natural part of divinity and were asombed to the desired Krishna just as they were to the deified Buddha?.

Some authors hold that the historical Krishna was a teacher, similar to Zarathustra, and that though of the military class he was chiefly occupied in founding or supporting what was afterwards known as the religion of the Bhâgavatas, a theistic system inculcating the worship of one God, called Bhagavat, and perhaps identical with the Sun It is probable that Krishna

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A most ourrous chapter of the Vishna Puräna (iv 13) centains a vandication of Krishna's character and a preture of old tribal life

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Neither can I agree with some scholars that Krishna is mainly and primarily a doity of vegetation. All Indian ideas about the Universe and God emphasize the interaction of life and death, growth and decay, spring and winter Krishna is undoubtedly associated with life, growth and generation, but so is Six a the destroyer, or rather the transmuter The account in the Mahabhashya (on Fan in I 26) of the masque representing the slaughter of Kamsa by Krishna is surely a slight foundation for the theory that Krishna was a nature god. It might be easily argued that Christ is a vegetation spirit, for not only is Easter a spring festival but there are numerous allusions to sowing and harvest in the Gospels and Faul illustrates the resurrection by the germination of corn. It is a mistake to seek for uniformity in the history of religion. There were in amount times different types of mind which invented different lands of gods, just as new professors invent different theories about gods.

the hero was connected with the worship of a special derty, but I see no evidence that he was primarily a teacher1. In the earlier legends he is a man of arms. in the later he is not one who devotes his life to teaching but a forceful personage who explains the nature of God and the universe at the most unexpected moments. Now the founders of religions such as Mahâvîra and Buddha preserve their character as teachers even in legend and do not accumulate miscellaneous heroic exploits. Similarly modern founders of sects, like Caitanva, though revered as incarnations, still retain their historical attributes. But on the other hand many men of action have been deified not because they taught anything but because they seemed to be more than human forces. Rama is a classical example of such defication and many local deities can be shown to be warriors, bandits and hunters whose powers inspired respect. It is said that there is a disposition in the Bombay Presidency to deify the Maratha leader Sivaji2.

In his second aspect, Krishna is a pastoral deity, sporting among nymphs and cattle. It is possible that this Krishna is in his origin distinct from the violent and tragic hero of Dvaraka. The two characters have little in common, except their lawlessness, and the date and locality of the two cycles of legend are different. But the death of Kamsa which is one of the oldest incidents in the story (for it is mentioned in the Mahabhashya3) belongs to both and Kamsa is consistently connected with Muttra. The Mahabharata is mainly concerned with Krishna the warrior, the few allusions in it to the freaks of the pastoral Krishna occur in passages suspected of being late interpolations and, even if they are genuine, show that little attention was paid to his youth But in later works, the relative importance is reversed and the figure of the amorous herdsman almost banishes the warrior. We can trace the growth of this figure in the sculptures of the sixth century, in the Vishnu and Bhagayata Purânas and the Gîtâ-govinda (written about 1170) Even later is the worship of Rådhå, Krishna's mistress, as a portion of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Krishna of the Chândogya Upanishad receives instruction but it is not said that he was himself a teacher

a Hopkins, India Old and New, p 105

Bhandarkar Allumons to Krishna in Mahabhashya, Ind Ant 1874, p 14. For the pastoral Krishna see Bhandarkar, Vaishnatism and Sairsem, chap ix.

deity, who is supposed to have divided himself into male and female halves1 The birth and adventures of the pastoral Krishna are located in the land of Braj, the district round Muttra and among the tribe of the Abhîras, but the warlike Krishna is connected with the west, although his exploits extend to the Ganges valley2. The Abhîras, now called Ahirs, were nomadic herdsmen who came from the west and their movements between Kathiawar and Muttra may have something to do with the

double location of the Krishna legend

Both archæology and historical notices tell us something of the history of Muttra It was a great Buddhist and Jain centre, as the statues and vihâras found there attest. Ptolemy calls it the city of the gods Fa-Hsien (400 A D ) describes it as Buddhist, but that faith was declining at the time of Hsuan Chuang's visit (c. 630 A.D.). The sculptural remains also indicate the presence of Græco-Bactrian influence. We need not therefore feel surprise if we find in the religious thought of Muttra elements traceable to Greece. Persia or Central Asia Some claim that Christianity should be reckoned among these elements and I shall discuss the question elsewhere. Here I will only say that such ideas as were common to Christianity and to the religions of Greece and western Asia probably did penetrate to India by the northern route, but of specifically Christian ideas I see no proof It is true that the pastoral Krishna is unlike all earlier Indian deities, but then no close parallel to him can be adduced from elsewhere, and, take him as a whole, he is a decidedly un-Christian figure The resemblance to Christianity consists in the worship of a divine child, together with his mother. But this feature is absent in the New Testament and seems to have been borrowed from paganism by Christianity.

The legends of Muttra show even clearer traces than those already quoted of hostility between Krishna and Brahmanism He forbids the worship of Indra3, and when Indra in anger sends down a deluge of rain, he protects the country by holding

Much of it is repeated in the Harryamsa See for instance H 3808

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The divinity of Radha is taught specially in the Brahmavaivaria Purana and the Narada pasicaratra, also called Jüänamritasara. She is also described in the Gopāla-tāpaniya Upanuhad of unknown date

<sup>3</sup> But Kames appears in both series of legends, s. e., in the Ghata-Jâtaka which con tams no hint of the pastoral legends but is a variant of the story of the warhke Kimbna. <sup>2</sup> Vishnu Purana, v. 10, 11 from which the quotations in the text are taken.

up over it the hill of Goburdhan, which is still one of the great centres of pilgrimage<sup>1</sup>. The language which the Vishņu Purāṇa attributes to him is extremely remarkable. He interrupts a sacrifice which his fosterfather is offering to Indra and says, "We have neither fields nor houses, we wander about happily wherever we list, travelling in our waggons. What have we to do with Indra<sup>2</sup> Cattle and mountains are (our) gods. Brahmans offer worship with prayer, oultivators of the earth adore their landmarks but we who tend our herds in the forests and mountains should worship them and our kine."

This passage suggests that Krishna represents a tribe of highland nomads who worshipped mountains and oattle and came to terms with the Brahmanic ritual only after a struggle. The worship of mountain spirits is common in Central Asia, but I do not know of any evidence for cattle-worship in those regions Clemens of Alexandria2, writing at the end of the second century AD., tells us that the Indians worshipped Herakles and Pan. The pastoral Krishna has considerable resemblance to Pan or a Faun, but no representations of such beings are recorded from Græco-Indian sculptures. Several Bacchic groups have however been discovered in Gandhara and also at Muttra<sup>3</sup> and Megasthenes recognized Dionysus in some Indian deity. Though the Bacchic revels and mysteries do not explain the pastoral element in the Krishna legend, they offer a parallel to some of its other features, such as the dancing and the crowd of women, and I am inclined to think that such Greek ideas may have germinated and proved fruitful in Muttra. The Greek king Menander is said to have occupied the city (c. 155 B.c.), and the sculptures found there indicate that Greek artistio forms were used to express Indian ideas. There may have been a similar fusion in religion

In any case, Buddhism was predominant in Muttra for several centuries. It no doubt forbade the animal sacrifices of

The Muttra cycle of legends cannot be very late for the inscription of Glai Lomor in Champa (811 a d d) speaks of Nārāyana holding up Goburdhan and a Cambojan inscription of Prea Eynkosey (970 a d d d) speaks of the banks of the Yamuna where Eynshna sported These legends must have been prevalent in India some time before they travelled so far Some of them are depicted on a pillar found at Mandor and possibly reforable to the fourth century a d See Arch Survey Ind 1905-1906, p 135

<sup>2</sup> Strom III. 194 See M'Crindle, Ancient India, p 183 2 Vincent Smith, Fine Art in India, pp 134-138.

the Brahmans and favoured milder rites It may even offer some explanation for the frivolous character of much in the Krishna legend¹. Most Brahmanic deities, extraordinary as their conduct often is, are serious and imposing But Buddhism claimed for itself the serious side of religion and while it tolerated local godlings treated them as fairies or elves. It was perhaps while Krishna was a humble rustic derty of this sort, with no claim to represent the Almighty, that there first gathered round him the cycle of light love-stories which has clung to him ever since In the hands of the Brahmans his worship has undergone the strangest variations which touch the highest and lowest planes of Hindusm, but the Muttra legend still retains its special note of pastoral romance, and exhibits Krishna in two principal characters, as the divine child and as the divine lover. The mysteries of birth and of sexual union are congenial topics to Hindu theology, but in the cult of Muttra we are not concerned with reproduction as a world force, but simply with childhood and love as emotional manifestations of the deity The same ideas occur in Christianity, and even in the Gospels Christ is compared to a bridegroom, but the Krishna legend is far more gross and naive.

The infant Krishna is commonly adored in the form known as Makhan Chor or the Butter Thief<sup>2</sup>. This represents him as a crawling child holding out one hand full of ourds or butter which he has stolen. We speak of idolizing a child, and when Hindu women worship this image they are unconsciously generalizing the process and worshipping childhood, its wayward pranks as well as its loveable simplicity, and though it is hard for a man to think of the freaks of the butter thief as a manifestation of divinity, yet clearly there is an analogy between these childish escapades and the caprices of mature deities, which are respectfully described as mysteries. If one admits the worship of the Bambino, it is not unreasonable to include in it admiration of his requeries, and the tender playfulness which is permitted to enter into this cult appeals profoundly to

In the Sutta-nipäta Märe, the Evil One is called Kanha, the phonetic equivalent of Krishna in Präkrit Can it be that Märe and his daughters have anything to do with Krishna and the Gopis?

with Arisupa and the Copies

2 Compare the Greek stories of the infant Hermes who steels Apollo's cattle

2 Compare the Lyre Compare too, as having a general resemblance to fantasic

3 Indian legends, the story of young Hephastus

Indian women. Images of the Makhan Chor are sold by thousands in the streets of Muttra.

Even more popular is the image known as Kanhaya, which represents the god as a young man playing the flute as he stands in a careless attitude, which has something of Hellenic grace. Krishna in this form is the beloved of the Gopis, or milk-maids, of the land of Braj, and the spouse of Rådhå, though she had no monopoly of him The stories of his frolics with these damsels and the rites instituted in memory thereof have brought his worship into merited discredit Krishnaism offers the most extensive manifestation to be found in the world of what W James calls the theopathic condition as illustrated by nuns like Marguérite Marie Alacoque, Saint Gertrude and the more distinguished Saint Theresa "To be loved by God and loved by him to distraction (jusqu'à la folie), Margaret melted away with love at the thought of such a thing...She said to God, 'Hold back, O my God, these torrents which overwhelm me or else enlarge my capacity for their reception'1." These are not the words of the Gîtâ-govında or the Prem Sagar, as might be supposed, but of a Catholic Bishop describing the transports of Sister Marguérite Marie, and they illustrate the temper of Krishna's worshippers But the verses of the Marathi poet, Tukaram, who lived about 1600 A.D. and sang the praises of Krishna, rise above this sentimentality though he uses the language of love. In a letter to Sivan, who desired to see him, he wrote, "As a chaste wife longs only to see her lord, such am I to Vitthala2. All the world is to me Vitthala and nothing else thee also I behold in him." He also wrote elsewhere, "he that taketh the unprotected to his heart and doeth to a servant the same kindness as to his own children, is assuredly the image of God." More recently Râmakrishna, whose savings breathe a wide intelligence as well as a wide charity, has given this religion of love an expression which, if somewhat too sexual to be perfectly in accordance with western taste, is nearly related to emotional Christianity. "A true lover sees his god as his nearest and dearest relative" he writes, "just as the shepherd women of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Mgr Bongard, Histoire de la Bienheurcuse Marguérite Marie Quoted by W James, Varieties of Religious Experience, p 343

Vitthal or Vittoba is a local derty of Pandharpur in the Deccan (perhaps a defied Brahman of the place) now identified with Krishna

Vrindhyana saw in Krishna not the Lord of the Universe but their own beloved....The knowledge of God may be likened to a man, while the love of God is like a woman. Knowledge has entry only up to the outer rooms of God, and no one can enter into the inner mysteries of God save a lover....Knowledge and love of God are ultimately one and the same. There is no difference between pure knowledge and pure love1,"

These extracts show how Krishna as the object of the soul's desire assumes the place of the Supreme Being or God But this surprising transformation? is not specially connected with the pastoral and crotic Krishna; the best known and most thorough-going exposition of his divinity is found in the Bhagavad-gith, which represents him as being in his human aspect, a warmer and the character of Aruna. Probably some seventy-five millions to day worship Krishna, especially under the name of Hari, as God in the pantheistic sense and naturally the more his identity with the supreme spirit is emphasized, the dimmer grow the legendary features which mark the hero of Muttra and Dyaraka, and the human element in him is reduced to this very important point that the tie uniting him to his worshippers is one of sentiment and affection.

In the following chapters I shall treat of this worship when describing the various sects which practise it. A question of some importance for the history of Krishna's desfication is the meaning of the name Vasudeva One explanation makes it a patronymic, son of Vasudeva, and supposes that when this prince Vâsudeva was dessied his name, like Rama, was transforred to the deity. The other regards Vasudeva as a name for the deity used by the Sattvata clan and supposes that when Krishna was deified this already well-known divine name was bestowed on him. There is much to be said for this latter theory As we have seen the Jams give the title Vasudova to a series of supermen, and a remarkable legend states that a king called

<sup>1</sup> Life and Sayings of Remakrichna Trans F. Max Muller, pp 137-8 Tho English poot Crashan makes free use of religious metaphors drawn from love and even Francis Thompson represents God as the lover of the Soul, cy in his poem Any Saint

Though surprising, it can be paralleled in modern times for Kabir (c 1400)

was identified by his later followers with the supreme spirit

Mahabhar Sabhap xxv Vishnu Pur v xxviv The name also occurs in the Taitiriya Ārapyaka (1 31) a work of moderate if not great antiquity Nazayanaya vidmaho Vasudovāya dhimahi

Paundraka who pretended to be a deity used the title Vâsudeva and ordered Krishna to cease using it, for which impertunence he was slain. This clearly implies that the title was something which could be detached from Krishna and not a mere patronymic. Indian writings countenance both etymologies of the word. As the name of the deity they derive it from vas to dwell, he in whom all things abide and who abides in all<sup>1</sup>

5

Siva and Vishnu are not in their nature different from other Indian ideas, high or low Thoy are the offspring of philosophic and poetic minds playing with a luxuriant popular mythology. But even in the epics they have already become fixed points in a flux of changing fancies and serve as receptacles in which the most diverse notions are collected and stored. Nearly all philosophy and superstition finds its place in Hundusm by being connected with one or both of them The two worships are not characteristic of different periods, they coexist when they first become known to us as they do at the present day and messential doctrines they are much alike We have no name for this curious double theism in which each party describes its own deity as the supreme god or All-god, yet without denying the god of the other Something similar might be produced in Christianity if different Churches were avowedly to worship different persons of the Trinity.

Siva and Vishnu are sometimes contrasted and occasionally their worshippers quarrel<sup>2</sup> But the general inclination is rather

<sup>1</sup> See Vishnu Pur vi. v See also Wilson, Vishnu Purdna, 1 pp 2 and 17.

Thus the Saura Purana inveighs against the Madhya sect (XXXVIII -XL ) and calls Vishnu the servant of Siva a Puranic legal work called the Vinddha-Harita-Samhità is said to contain a polemic against Siva Occasionally we hear of collisions between the followers of Vishnu and Sive or the desecration of temples by hostile fanatics. But such conflicts take place most often not betreen widely different sects but between subdivisions of the same sect, e g , Ten-galais and Vada-galais It would seem too that at present most Hindus of the higher eastes avoid ostentatious membership of the modern sects, and though they may practise special devotion to either Vishnu or Siva, jot they visit the temples of both deities when they go on pilgrimages Jogendra Nath Bhattacharya in his Hindu Castes and Sects says (p 364) that aristocratic Brahmans usually keep in their private chapels both a salagram representing Vishnu and emblems representing Six a and his spouse Hence different observers vary in their estimates of the importance of sectarian divisions, some holding that seet is the essence of modern Hinduism and others that most educated Hindus do not worship a sectaman derty The Kûrma Purana, Part I chap axil. contains some curious rules as to what deities should be worshipped by the various classes of men and spirits.

to make the two figures approximate by bestowing the same attributes on both A deity must be able to satisfy emotional devotion hence the Tamil Sivaite says of Siva the destroyer. "one should worship in supreme love him who does kindness to the soul" But then the feature in the world which most impresses the Hindu is the constant change and destruction, and this must find a place in the All-god Hence the sportive kindly Krishna comes to be declared the destroyer of the worlds1. It is as if in some vast Dravidian temple one wandered through two corridors differently ornamented and assigned to the priests of different rites but both leading to the same image Hence it is not surprising to find that there is actually a deity—if indeed the term is suitable, but European vocabularies hardly provide one which meets the case—called Harihara (or Sankara-Nârâyana), that is Siva and Vishnu combined The Harivamsa contains a hymn addressed to him fairly ancient sculptures attest the prevalence of his worship in the Decean, especially at Badami, he was once the chief deity of Cambona and he is still popular in south India Here besides being worshipped under his own name he has undergone a singular transformation and has probably been amalgamated with some aboriginal deity Under the designation of Ayenar (said to be a corruption of Harrhara) he is extensively worshipped as a village god and reputed to be the son of Siva and Vishnu, the latter having kindly assumed the form of a woman to effect his birth

Another form of this inclination to combine and unite the various manifestations of the Divine is the tendency to worship groups of gods, a practice as old as the Vedas Thus many temples are dedicated to a group of five, namely, Siva, Vishnu, Dûrgâ, Ganesa and the Sun and it is stated that every Hindu worships these five detties in his daily prayers2 The Trimurti, or figure of Brahma, Siva and Vishnu, illustrates the worship of groups Its importance has sometimes been over-estimated by Europeans from an idea that it corresponded to the Christian Trinity, but in reality this triad is late and has little significance No stress is laid on the idea of three in one and the number of persons can be increased The Brahma-vaivarta Purana for instance adds Krishna to Brahma, Siva and Vishnu The umon

1 Bhag git i, \1 23-31

See brisa Chandra Vasu, Daily practice of the Hindus, p 118

of three personalities is merely a way of summing up the chief attributes of the All-God. Thus the Vishņu Purāna¹ extols Vishņu as being "Hiranyagarbha, Hari and Sankara (i.e. Brahmā, Vishnu and Siva), the creator, preserver and destroyer," but in another passage as him who is "Brahma, Iśvara and spirit (Pums), who with the three Guṇas (qualities of matter) is the cause of creation, preservation and destruction...." The origin of the triad, so far as it has any doctrinal or philosophical meaning, is probably to be sought in the personification of the three Guṇas².

<sup>1</sup> II. 1 and I 1

<sup>\*</sup> See Matrayana Up v 2 It is highly probable that the celebrated image at Elephanta is not a Trimurti at all but a Mahesamurti of Siva See Gopinatha Rao, Hindu Iconog in 382

## CHAPTER XXVI

## FEATURES OF HINDUISM RITUAL, CASTE, SECT, FAITH

1

In the last chapter I traced the growth of the great gods Siva and Vishnu The prominence of these figures is one of the marks which distinguish the later phase of Indian religion from the earlier. But it is also distinguished by various practices, institutions and beliefs, which are more or less connected with the new deities. Such are a new ritual, the elaboration of the easte system, the growth of sects, and the tendency to make devotion to a particular deity the essence of religion. In the present

chapter I shall say something of these phenomena

Hinduism has often and justly been compared to a jungle. As in the jungle every particle of soil seems to put forth its spirit in vegetable life and plants grow on plants, ereepers and parasites on their more stalwart brethren, so in India art, commerce, warfare and enme, every human interest and aspiration seek for a manifestation in religion, and since men and women of all classes and occupations, all stages of education and eivilization, have contributed to Hinduism, much of it seems low, foolish and even immoral The jungle is not a park or garden Whatever can grow in it, does grow The Brahmans are not gardeners but forest officers To attempt a history or description of Indian creeds seems an enterprise as vast, hopeless and pathless as a general account of European politics As for many centuries the life of Europe has expressed itself in politics, so for even longer ages the life of India, which has more inhabitants than western Europe<sup>1</sup>, has found expression in religion, speculation and philosophy, and has left of all this thought a voluminous record, mighty in bulk if wanting in dates and events And why should it chronicle them? The truly religious mind does not care for the history of religion,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The population of India (about 315 millions) is larger than that of Europe without Russia

just as among us the scientific mind does not dwell on the history of science

Yet in spite of their exuberance Hinduism and the jungle have considerable uniformity Here and there in a tropical forest some well-grown tree or brilliant flower attracts attention, but the general impression left on the traveller by the vegetation as he passes through it mile after mile is infinite repetition as well as infinite luxurance And so in Hinduism A monograph on one god or one teacher is an interesting study But if we continue the experiment, different gods and different teachers are found to be much the same We can write about Vishnuism and Sivaism as if they were different religions and this, though incomplete, is not incorrect. But in their higher phases both show much the same excellences and when degraded both lead to much the same abuses, except that the worship of Vishnu does not allow animal sacrifices This is true even of externals. In the temples of Madura, Poona and Benares, the deities, the rites, the doctrines, the race of the worshippers and the architecture are all different, yet the impression of uniformity is strong In spite of divergences the religion is the same in all three places is smacks of the soil and nothing like it can be found outside India.

Hinduism is an unusual combination of animism and pantheism, which are commonly regarded as the extremes of savage and of philosophic belief. In India both may be found separately but frequently they are combined in startling juxtaposition. The same person who worships Vishnu as identical with the universe also worships him in the form of a pebble or plant. The average Hindu, who cannot live permanently in the altitudes of pantheistic thought, regards his gods as great natural forces, akin to the mighty rivers which he also worships, irresistible and often beneficent but also capricious and destructive. Whereas Judaism, Christianity and Islam all identify the moral law with the will and conduct of the deity, in Hinduism this is not completely admitted in practice, though a library might be filled

<sup>1</sup> But compare the English poet

<sup>&</sup>quot;Flower in the crannicd wall,
I pluck you out of the crannies,
but if I could understand
What you are, root and all, and all in all
I should know what God and man is "

with the beautiful things that have been said about man and God The outward forms of Indian religion are pagan after the fashion of the ancient world, a fashion which has in most lands passed away But whereas in the fourth century a p European paganism, despite the efforts of anti-christian eclectics, proved inelastic and incapable of satisfying new religious cravings, this did not happen in India. The bottles of Hinduism have always proved capable of holding all the wine poured into them When a new sentiment takes possession of men's souls, such as love, repentance, or the sense of sin, some deity of many shapes and sympathies straightway adapts himself to the needs of his worshippers And yet in so doing the deity, though he enlarges himself, does not change, and the result is that we often meet with strange anachronisms, as if Jephthah should listen appreciatively to the Sermon on the Mount and then sacrifice his daughter to Christ Many Hindu temples are served by dancing girls who are admittedly prostitutes1, an institution which takes us back to the cultus of Corinth and Babylon and is without parallel in any nation on approximately the same level of civilzation. Only British law prevents widows from being burned with their dead husbands, though even in the Vedic age the custom had been discontinued as barbarous2. But for the same legislation, human sacrifice would probably be common What the gods do and what their worshippers do in their service cannot according to Hindu opinion be judged by ordinary laws of right and wrong The god is supra-moral the worshipper when he enters the temple leaves conventionality outside

Yet it is unfair to represent Hinduism as characterized by licence and cruelty. Such tendencies are counterbalanced by the strength and prevalence of ideas based on renunciation and self-effacement. All desire, all attachment to the world is an evil, all self-assertion is wrong. Hinduism is constantly in extremes sometimes it exults in the dances of Krishna or the destructive fury of Kåli more often it struggles for release from the transitory and for union with the permanent and real by

<sup>1</sup> Efforts are now being made by Hindus to suppress this institution
<sup>2</sup> In the Vedio funeral coremonies the wife lies down by her dead bushand and
is called back to the world of the living which points to an earlier form of the rits
where she died with him But even at this period, those who did not follow the
Vedic customs may have killed widows with their husbands (see too Ath Veda,
xii 3), and later, the invaders from Central Asia probably reinforced the usage
The much abused Tantras forbid it

self-denial or rather self-negation, which aims at the total suppression of both pleasure and pain. This is on the whole its dominant note.

In the records accessible to us the transition from Brahmanism-that is, the religion of the Vedas and Brahmanas-to Hinduism does not appear as direct but as masked by Buddhism. We see Buddhism grow at the expense of Brahmanism. We are then conscious that it becomes profoundly modified under the influence of new ideas We see it decay and the religion of the Brahmans emerge victorious But that religion is not what it was when Buddhism first arose, and is henceforth generally known as Hinduism. The materials for studying the period in which the change occurred—say 400 B C. to 400 A D.—are not scanty, but they do not facilitate chronological investigation. Art and architecture are mainly Buddhist until the Gupta period (c 320 AD) and literature, though plentiful, is undated. The Mahabharata and Ramayana must have been edited in the course of these 800 years, but they consist of different strata and it is not easy to separate and arrange them without assuming what we want to prove. From 400 B.C. (if not from an earlier date) onwards there grew up a great volume of epic poetry, founded on popular ballads, telling the stories of Rama and the Påndavas<sup>1</sup>. It was distinct from the canonical literatures of both Brahmans and Buddhists, but though it was not in its essential character religious, yet so general in India is the interest in religion that whole theological treatises were incorporated in these stories without loss, in Indian opinion, to the interest of the narrative. If at the present day a congregation is seen in

<sup>1</sup> For the history of the Rāmāyana and Mahābhārata and the dates assignable to the different periods of growth, see Winternitz, Gesch. Ind. Lat vol. L. p. 403 and p. 439 Also Hopkins' Great Epic of India, p. 397 The two poems had assumed something like their present form in the second and fourth centuries A. D. respectively. These are probably the latest dates for any substantial additions or alterations and there is considerable evidence that poems called Bhārata and Rāmāyana were well known early in the Christian era Thus in Aśvaghosha's Sātrālankāra (story xxiv) they are mentioned as warlike poems inculcating unbuddhist views The Rāmāyana is mentioned in the Mahāvibhāshā and was known to Vasubandhin (J. R. A. S. 1907, p. 99). A Cambojan meniption dating from the first years of the seventh century records arrangements made for the recitation of the Rāmāyana, Purāna and complete (ašesha) Bhārata, which implies that they were known in India considerably earlier. See Barth, Inserty Sunscrites de Cambodge, pp. 29–31. The Mahabharata itself admits that it is the result of gradual growth for in the opening section it says that the Bhārata consists of 8800 verses, 24,000 verses and 100,000 verses.

a Hindu temple listening to a recitation, the text which is being chanted will often prove to be part of the Mahabharata Such a ceremony is not due to forgetfulness of the Veda but is a repetition of what happened long before our era when rhapsodists strung together popular narratives and popular theology Such theology cannot be rigidly separated from Brahmanism and Buddhism It grew up under their influence and accepted their simpler ideas. But it brought with it popular beliefs which did not strictly speaking belong to either system By attacking the main Brahmanic doctrines the Buddhists gave the popular religion its opportunity For instance, they condemned animal sacrifices and derided the idea that trained priests and complicated rites are necessary This did not destroy the influence of the Brahmans but it disposed them to admit that the Vedic sacrifices are not the only means of salvation and to authorize other rites and beliefs It was about this time, too, that a series of invasions began to pour into India from the north-west It may be hard to distinguish between the foreign beliefs which they introduced and the Indian beliefs which they accepted and modified But it is clear that their general effect was to upset traditional ideas associated with a ritual and learning which required lifelong study

2

It has been well said that Buddhism did not waste away in India until rival sects had appropriated from it everything they could make use of Perhaps Hinduism had an even stronger doctrinal influence on Buddhism. The deflication of the Buddha, the invention of Bodhisattvas who are equivalent to gods and the extraordinary alliance between late Buddhism and Sivaism, are all instances of the general Indian view overcoming the special Buddhist view. But Buddhism is closely connected with the theory of incarnations and the development of the Advaita philosophy, and in the externals of religion, in rites, ceremonies and institutions, its influence was great and lasting. We may take first the doctrine of Ahimså, non-injury, or in other words the sanctity of animal life. This beautiful doctrine, the glory of India, if not invented by the Buddha at least arose in schools which were not Brahmanic and were related to the Jain and

<sup>1</sup> Hardy, Indische Religionegeschichte, p 101

Buddhist movements It formed no part of the Vedic religion in which sacrifice often meant butchery. But in Hinduism, it meets with extensive though not universal acceptance. With the Vaishnavas it is an article of faith nor do the worshippers of Siva usually propitiate him with animal sacrifices, though these are offered by the Sâktas and also by the small class of Brahmans who still preserve the Vedic ritual Hardly any Hindus habitually eat meat and most abhor it, especially beef. Yet beef-eating seems to have been permitted in Vedic times and even when parts of the Mahâbhârata were composed.

Apart from animal sacrifices Buddhism was the main agent in effecting a mighty revolution in worship and ritual. One is tempted to regard the change as total and complete, but such wide assertions are rarely true in India customs and institutions are not swept away by reformers but are cut down like the grass and like the grass grow up again They sometimes die out but they are rarely destroyed The Vedic sacrifices are still occasionally offered2, but for many centuries have been almost entirely superseded by another form of worship associated with temples and the veneration of images. This must have become the dominant form of Hindu cultus in the first few centuries of our era and probably earlier. It is one of the ironies of fate that the Buddha and his followers should be responsible for the growth of image worship, but it seems to be true. He laughed at sacrifices and left to his disciples only two forms of religious exercise, sermons and meditation For Indian monks, this was perhaps sufficient, but the laity craved for some outward form of worship This was soon found in the respect shown to the memory of the Buddha and the relics of his body, although Hinduism never took kindly to relic worship We hear too of Cetiyas In the Pitakas this word means a popular shrine unconnected with either Buddhist or Brahmanic ceremonial, sometimes

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> But some of these latter sacrifice images made of dough instead of hving animals

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> It is said that the Agmintoma was performed in Benares in 1898, and in the last few years I am told that one or two Vedic sacrifices have been offered annually in various parts of southern India I have myself seen the sites where such sacrifices were offered in 1908-8 in Mysore city and in Chidambaram, and in 1912 at Weinear Poona The most usual form of sacrifice now a days is said to be the Vajapeya Much Vedic ritual is still preserved in the domestic life of the Nambathin and other Brahmans of southern India See Cochin, Tribes and Gastes, and Thurston, Castes and Tribes of southern India

perhaps merely a sacred tree or stone, probably honoured by such simple rites as decorating it with paint or flowers. A little later, in Buddhist times, the Cetiya became a cenotaph or reliquary, generally located near a monastery and surrounded by a passage for reverential circumambulation.

Allusions in the Pitakas also indicate that then as now there were fairs. The early Buddhists thought that though such gatherings were not edifying they might be made so. They erected sacred buildings near a monastery, and held festivals so that people might collect together, visit a holy place, and hear sermons. In the earliest known sanotuaries, the funeral monument (for we can scarcely doubt that this is the origin of the stûpa) has already assumed the conventional form known as Dagoba, consisting of a dome and chest of relics, with a spire at the top, the whole surrounded by railings or a colonnade, but though the carving is lavish, no figure of the Buddha himself is to be seen. He is represented by a symbol such as a footprint, wheel, or tree But in the later school of sculpture known as Gandhara or Græco-Buddhist he is frequently shown in a full length portrait. This difference is remarkable. It is easy to say that in the older school the Buddha was not depicted out of reverence, but less easy to see why such delineation should have shocked an Indian But at any rate there is no difficulty in understanding that Greeks or artists influenced by Greeks would think it obvious and proper to make an effigy of their principal hero.

In these shrines we have if not the origin of the Hindu temple, at any rate a parallel development more nearly allied to it than anything in the Vedic religion<sup>2</sup>. For the Buddhist shrine was a monument built over a receptacle containing relics and the essential feature of Hindu temples is a cell containing an image or emblem and generally surmounted by a tower. The surrounding courts and corridors may assume gigantic proportions, but the central shrine is never large. Images had no place

\* The temple of Aihole near Badamı seems to be a connecting link between a Buddhast stûpa with a pradakshina path and a Hindu shrine

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The outline of a stips may be due to imitation of houses constructed with curved bamboos as Vincent Smith contends (History of Fine Art, p. 17) But this is compatible with the view that stone buildings with this curved outline had come to be used specially as funeral monuments before Buddhism popularized in India and all Eastern Asia the architectural form called stips

in the Vedio sacrifices and those now worshipped in temples are generally small and rude, and sometimes (as at Bhuvaneshwar and Surangam) the deity is represented by a block or carved stone which cannot be moved, and may have been honoured as a sacred rock long before the name of Vishnu or Siva was known in those regions1. The conspicuous statues often found outside the shrine are not generally worshipped and are merely ornaments. Buddhism did not create the type of ritual now used in Hindu temples, yet it contributed towards it, for it attacked the old Brahmanio sacrifices, it countenanced the idea that particular places and objects are holy, and it encouraged the use of images It is strange that these wide-spread ideas should find no place in the Vedio religion, but even now-a-days whenever the old Vedic sacrifices are celebrated they are uncontaminated by the temple ceremonial. More than this, the priests or Pujāris who officiate in temples are not always Brahmans and they rarely enjoy much consideration2. This curious and marked feature may be connected with the inveterate Indian feeling that, though it is well to multiply rites and rules for neophytes, no great respect 1s due to men occupied with mere ceremonial But it also testifies to a dim consciousness that modern temples and their ceremonies have little to do with the thoughts and mode of hie which made the Brahmans a force in India In many ways the Brahmans dissociate themselves from popular religion Those of good family will not perform religious rites for Sûdras and treat the Brahmans who do so as inferiors3.

The simplest ceremonial in use at the present day is that employed in some Sivaite temples. It consists in placing leaves on the lings and pouring holy water over it. These rites, which may be descended from prehistoric stone worship, are generally

In most temples (at least in southern India) there are two images, the miliangraha which is of stone and fixed in the sanctuary, and the utsava-vigraha which is smaller, made of metal and carried in processions

<sup>2</sup> Thus Bhattāchārya (Handu Gantes and Sects, p 127) enumerates eleven classes of Brahmans, who "have a very low status on account of their being connected with the great public shrines," and adds that mere residence in a place of pilgrimage for a few generations tends to lower the status of a Brahmanic family

<sup>3</sup> Thus in Bengal there is a special class, the Barna Brahmans, who perform religious rites for the lower castes, and are divided into aix classes according to the castes to whom they minister. Other Brahmans will not eat or intermarry with them or even take water from them

accompanied by the reading of a Purana But the commonest form of temple ritual consists in treating the image or symbol as an honoured human being1 It is awakened, bathed, dressed and put to bed at the close of day Meals are served to it at the usual hours The food thus offered is called masdd (or favour) and is eaten by the devout. Once or twice a day the god holds a levee and on festivals he is carried in procession These ceremonies are specially characteristic of the worship of Krishna whose images receive all the endearments lavished on a pet child But they are also used in the temples of Siva and Parvati, and no less than twenty-two of them are performed in the course of the day at the temple of Bhuvaneshwar in Orissa It is clear that the spirit of these rites is very different from that which inspires public worship in other civilized countries at the present day They are not congregational or didactic, though if any of the faithful are in the temple at the time of the god's leves it is proper for them to enter and salute him. Neither do they recall the magical coremones of the Vedic sacrifices2 The waving of lights (arsti) before the god and the burning of incense are almost the only acts suggestive of ecclesiastical ritual The rest consists in treating a symbol or image as if it were a living thing capable of enjoying simple physical pleasures Here there are two strata We have really ancient rites, such as the anointing or ornamenting of stones and offerings of food in sacred places. In this class too we may reckon the sacrifice of goats (and formerly of human beings) to Kali<sup>3</sup> But on the other hand the growing idea of Bhakti, that is faith or devotion, imported a sentimental element and the worshipper endeavoured to pet, caress and amuse the deity.

It is hard to see anything either healthy or artistic in this

<sup>2</sup> But Vedic mantres are used in these coremonies. The libations of water or other liquids are said to be accompanied by the mantres recited at the Soma other liquids are said to be accompanied by the mantres.

<sup>1</sup> This is extraordinarily like the temple ritual of the ancient Egyptians For some account of the construction and ritual of south Indian temples see Richards in J of Mythic Soc 1919, pp 158-167

sacrince

3 At these sacrifices there is no elaborate ritual or suggestion of symbolism. The animal is beheaded and the inference is that Kâh likes it. Similarly simple is the offering of coco nuts to Kâh The worshipper gives a nut to the pujân who splits it in two with an axe, spills the milk and hands back half the nut to this worshipper. This is the sort of primitive offering that might be made to an African fatish.

emotional ritual The low and foolish character of many temple ceremonies disgusts even appreciative foreigners, but these services are not the whole of Hindu worship. All Hindus perform in the course of the day numerous acts of private devotion varying according to sect, and a pious man is not dependent on the temple like a catholic on his church. Indian life is largely occupied with these private, intimate, individual observances, hardly noticeable as ceremonies and concerned with such things as dressing, ablution and the preparation of food.

The monastic institutions of India seem due to Buddhism. There were wandering monks before the Buddha's time, but the practice of founding establishments where they could reside permanently, originated in his order There appears to be no record of Hindu (as opposed to Buddhist) monasteries before the time of Sankara in the ninth century, though there must have been places where the learned congregated or where wandering ascetics could lodge Sankara perceived the advantage of the cenobitic life for organizing religion and founded a number of maths or colleges Subsequent religious leaders imitated him. At the present day these institutions are common, yet it is clear that the wandering spirit is strong in Hindus and that they do not take to monastic discipline and fixed residence as readily as Tibetans and Burmese A math 1s not so much a convent as the abode of a teacher. His pupils frequent it and may become semi-resident aged pilgrims may make it their last home, but the inmates are not a permanent body following a fixed rule like the monks of a Vihâra. The Sattras of Assam, however, are true monasteries (though even there vows and monastic costume are unknown) and so are the establishments of the Swammarayana sect at Ahmedabad and Wartal.

3

The vast and complicated organization of caste is mainly a post-Vedic growth and in the Buddha's time was only in the making. His order was open to all classes alike, but this does not imply that he was adverse to caste, so far as it then pre-

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  See especially the Ambattha Sûtta (Dig Nik 3) and Rhys Davids's introduction.

vailed, or denied that men are divided into categories determined by their deeds in other births. But on the whole the influence of Buddhism was unfavourable to caste, especially to the pretensions of the Brahmans, and an extant polemic against caste is ascribed (though doubtfully) to Aśvaghosha¹ On the other hand, though caste is in its origin the expression of a social rather than of a religious tendency, the whole institution and mechanism have long been supported and exploited by the Brahmans. Few of them would dispute the proposition that a man cannot be a Hindu unless he belongs to a caste The reason of this support is undisguised, namely, that they are the first and chief caste They make their own position a matter of religion and claim the power of purifying and rehabilitating those who have lost caste but they do not usually interfere with the rules of other castes or excommunicate those who break them2. That is the business of the Pancavat or caste conneil.

Sometimes religion and caste are in opposition, for many modern religious leaders have begun by declaring that among believers there are no social distinctions. This is true not only of teachers whose orthodoxy is dubious, such as Nanak, the founder of the Sikhs, and Basava, the founder of the Lingayats, but also of Vallabhacarya and Cartanya But in nearly all cases caste reasserts itself The religious teachers of the sect receive extravagant respect and form a body apart This phenomenon, which recurs in nearly all communities, shows how the Brahmans established their position At the same time social distinctions make themselves felt among the larty, and those who claim to be of good position dissociate themselves from those of lower birth. The sect ends by observing caste on ordinary occasions, and it is only in some temples (such as that of Jagannath at Pura)4 that the worshippers mix and eat a sacred meal together Sometimes, however, the sect which renounces caste becomes

But it is said that in Southern India serious questions of caste are reported to the abbot of the Sringeri monastery for his decision

See Weber, Die Vagraeuchi and Nanjio, Catal No. 1303. In Coylon at the present day only members of the higher castes can become Blakkhus.

The modern Lingdysts demur to the statement that their founder rejected

<sup>4</sup> So too in the cakes of the Saktists all castes are equal during the performance of the ceremony

itself a caste. Thus, the Sikhs have become almost a nation and other modern castes arising out of sects are the Atiths, who are Sivaites, the Saraks, who appear to have been originally Buddhists, and the Baishnabs (Vaishnavas), a name commonly given in Bengal to those followers of Caitanya who persist in the original rule of disregarding caste regulations within the sect, and hence now form a separate community. But as a rule sect and caste are not co-extensive and the caste is not a religious corporation. Thus the different subdivisions of the Baniyas belong to different sects and even in the same subdivision there is no religious uniformity.

Caste in its later developments is so complex and irregular, that it is impossible to summarize it in a formula or explain it as the development of one principle. In the earliest form known two principles are already in operation. We have first racial distinction. The three upper castes represent the invading Aryans, the fourth the races whom they found in India. In the modern system of caste, race is not a strong factor. Many who claim to be Brahmans and Kshatriyas have no Aryan blood, but still the Aryan element is strongest in the highest castes and decreases as we descend the social scale and also decreases in the higher castes in proportion as we move from the northwest to the east and south. But secondly in the three upper castes the dividing principle, as reported in the earliest accounts, is not race but occupation. We find in most Aryan countries a division into nobles and people, but in India these two classes become three, the priests having been able to assume a prommence unknown elsewhere and to stamp on literature their claim to the highest rank. This claim was probably never admitted in practice so completely as the priests desired. It was certainly disputed in Buddhist times and I have myself heard a young Rapput say that the Brahmans falsified the Epics so as to give themselves the first place.

It is not necessary for our purpose to describe the details of the modern caste system. Its effect on Indian religion has been considerable, for it created the social atmosphere in which the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Some (Khandelwals, Dasa Srimalis and Palliwals) include both Jains and Vaishnavas the Agarwals are mostly Vauhuavas but some of them are Jains and some worship Siva and Käll Jogendra Nath Bhattacharya, Hindu Castes and Sects, pp 205 ff

various beliefs grew up and it has furnished the Brahmans with the means of establishing their authority But many religious reformers preached that in religion caste does not exist-that there is neither Jew nor Gentile in the language of another creed -and though the application of this theory is never complete, the imperfection is the result not of religious opposition but of social pressure Hindu life is permeated by the instanct that society must be divided into communities having some common interest and refusing to intermarry or eat with other communities The long list of modern castes hardly bears even a theoretical relation to the four classes of Vedic times 1 Numerous subdivisions with exclusive rules as to intermarriage and eating have arisen among the Brahmans and the strength of this fissiparous instinct is seen among the Mohammedans who nominally have no caste but yet are divided into groups with much the same restrictions.

This remarkable tendency to form exclusive corporations is perhaps correlated with the absence of political life in India Such ideas as nationality, citizenship, allegiance to a certain prince, patriotic feelings for a certain territory are rarer and vaguer than elsewhere, and yet the Hindu is dependent on his fellows and does not like to stand alone So finding little satisfaction in the city or state he clings the more tenaciously to smaller corporations These have no one character they are not founded on any one logical principle but merely on the need felt by people who have something in common to associate together. Many are based on tribal divisions, some, such as the Marathas and Newars, may be said to be nationalities In many the bond of union is occupation, in a few it is sectarian religion. We can still observe how members of a caste who migrate from their original residence tend to form an entirely new caste, and how intertribal marriages among the aborigines create new tribes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The names used are not the same The four Veduc castes are called Varna the hundreds of modern castes are called Jdi:

4

Sect1 must not be confounded with caste Hindu sects are of many kinds; some, if not militant, are at least exceedingly self-confident. Others are so gentle in stating their views that they might be called schools rather than sects, were the word not too intellectual. The notion that any creed or code can be quod semper, quod ubique, quod ab omnibus, is less prevalent than in Europe and even the Veda, though it is the eternal word, is admitted to exist in several recensions Hinduism is possible as a creed only to those who select. In its literal sense it means simply all the beliefs and rites recognized in India, too multifarious and inconsistent for the most hospitable and addled brain to hold But the Hindus, who are as loth to abolish queer beliefs and practices as they are to take animal life, are also the most determined seekers after a satisfying form of religion Brahmanic ritual and Buddhist monasticism demand the dedication of a life. Not every one can afford that, but the sect is open to all. It attempts to sort out of the chaos of mythology and superstition something which all can understand and all may find useful. It selects some aspect of Hinduism and makes the best of it Sects usually start by preaching theism and equality in the sight of God, but in a few generations mythology and social distinctions creep in. Hence though the prevalence of sect is undoubtedly a feature of modern Hinduism it is also intelligible that some observers should assert that most Hindus belong to the same general religion and that only the minority are definitely sectarian The sectarian tendency is stronger in Vishnuism than in Sivaism. The latter has produced some definite sects, as, for instance, Lingâyats, but is not like Vishnusm split up into a number of Churches each founded by a human teacher and provided by him with a special creed.

Most Indian sects are in their origin theistic, that is to say, they take a particular deity and identify him with the Supreme Being But the pantheistic tendency does not disappear. Popular religion naturally desires a personal deity. But it is significant that the personal deity frequently assumes pantheistic attributes and is declared to be both the world and the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Sampradâya seems to be the ordinary Sansknt word for sectarian doctrine It means traditional teaching transmitted from one teacher to another

human soul The best known sects arose after Islam had entered India and some of them, such as the Sikhs, show a blending of Hindu and Moslem ideas But if Mohammedan influence favoured the formation of corporations pledged to worship one particular deity, it acted less by introducing something new than by quickening a line of thought already existing. The Bhagavad-gitt is as complete an exposition of sectarian pantheism as any utterances posterior to Mohammedanism

The characteristic doctrine of sectarian Hinduism is bhakti. faith or devotion The older word braddha. which is found in the Vedas, is less emotional for it means simply belief in the existence of a deity, whereas bhalti can often be rendered by love It is passionate, self-oblivious devotion to a deity who in return (though many would say there is no bartering) bestows his grace (prasada or anugraha) St Augustine in defining faith says. "Quid est credere in Deum? credendo amare, credendo diligere, credendo in eum ire, et ejus membris incorporani" This is an excellent paraphrase of bhakts and the words have an oriental ring which is not quite that of the New Testament Though the doctrine of bhakti marks the beginning of a new epoch in Hinduism it is not necessary to regard it as an importation or due to Christianity. About the time of the Christian era there was felt in many countries a craving for a gentler and more emotional worship and though the history of Bhaktism is obscure, Indian literature shows plainly how it may be a development of native ideas Its first great textbook is the Bhagavad-gîtâ, but it is also mentioned in the last verse of the Svetäsvatara Upanishad and Panini appears to allude to bhalts felt for Vasudeva The Katha Upanishad contains the following passage.

"That Âtman cannot be gained by the Veda, nor by understanding nor by much learning. He whom the Âtman chooses, by him the Âtman can be gained. The Âtman chooses him as his own." Here we have not the idea of faith or love, but we have the negative statement that the Âtman is not won by knowledge and the positive statement that this Âtman chooses.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> I am discussing elsewhere the possible debt which Christianity and Hinduism may owe to one another

Pánini, IV 3 95-98
 Kathá Up 1 1 2, 23

his own. In the Rig Veda<sup>1</sup> there is a poem put into the mouth of Vac or speech, containing such sentiments as "I give wealth to him who gives sacrifice.... I am that through which one eats. breathes, sees, and hears....Him that I love I make strong, to be a priest, a seer, a sage." This reads like an ancient preliminary study for the Bhagavad-gîtâ. Like Krıshna the deity claims to be in all and, like him, to reward her votaries It is true that the "Come unto me" is not distinctly expressed, but it is surely struggling for expression2 Again, in the Kaushîtaki Upanishad (III. 1 and 2) Indra says to Pratardana, who had asked him for a boon. "Know me only that is, what I deem most beneficial to man, that he should know me ... He who meditates on me as life and immortality gains his full life in this world and in heaven immortality" Here the relation of the devotee to the deity is purely intellectual not emotional, but the idea that intellectual devotion directed to a particular deity will be rewarded is clearly present. In the Rig Veda this same Indra is called a deliverer and advocate, a friend, a brother and a father, even a father and mother in one. Here the worshipper does not talk of bhakti because he does not analyze his feelings, but clearly these phrases are inspired by affectionate devotion.

Nor is the spirit of bhakt: absent from Buddhism The severe doctrine of the older schools declares that the Buddha is simply a teacher and that every man must save himself. But since the teacher is the source of the knowledge which saves, it is natural to feel for him grateful and affectionate devotion This sentiment permeates the two books of poems called Thera and Therigatha and sometimes finds clear expression. In the commentary on the Dhammapada the doctrine of salvation by devotion is affirmed in its extreme form, namely that a dying man who has faith in the Buddha will be reborn in heaven. But this commentary is not of early date and the doctrine quoted is probably an instance of the Hinayana borrowing the attractive features of the Mahayana. The sutras about Amitabha's paradise, which were composed about the time of the Christian era and owe something to Persian though not to Christian

<sup>1</sup> R V. x 125

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Compare too the hymns of the R V to Varuna as a rudimentary expression of Bhakti from the worshipper's point of view.

<sup>\*</sup> Eg Theragatha, 818-841 and 1231-1245

influence, preach feith in Amitâbha as the whole of religion. They who believe in him and call on his name will go to heaven.

When bhakti was once accepted as a part of Indian religion, it was erected into a principle, analogous or superior to knowledge and was defined in Sûtras¹ similar to those of the Sânkhya and Vedânta. But its importance in philosophy is small, whereas its power as an impulse in popular religion has been enormous. To estimate its moral and intellectual value is difficult, for like so much in Hinduism it offers the sharpest contrasts. Its obvious manifestations may seem to be acts of devotion which cannot be commended ethically and belief in puerile stories, yet we find that this offensive trash continually turns into gems of religious thought unsurpassed in the annals of Buddhism and Christianity.

The doctrine of bhakti is common to both Vishnuites and Sivartes It is perhaps in general estimation associated with the former more than with the latter, but this is because the Bhagavad-gîtâ and various forms of devotion to Krishna are well known, whereas the Tamil literature of Dravidian Sivaism is ignored by many European scholars. One might be inclined to suppose that the emotional faith sprang up first in the worship of Vishnii, for the milder god seems a natural object for love, whereas Siva has to undergo a certain transformation before he can evoke such feelings But there is no evidence that this is the historical development of the bhakti sentiment, and if the Bhagavad-gita is emphatic in enjoining the worship of Krishna only, the Svetåsvatara and Maitrayaniya Upanishads favour Siva, and he is abundantly extolled in many parts of the Mahabharata Here, as so often, exact chronology fails us in the early history of these sects, but it is clear that the practice of worshipping Sive and Vishnu, as being each by himself allsufficient, cannot have begun much later than the Christian era and may have begun considerably earlier, even though people did not call themselves Saivas or Vaishnavas

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> They are called the Sândilya Sûtras and appear to be not older than about the twelfth century A D, but the tradition which connects them with the School of Sândilya may be just, for the teaching of this sage (Chāndeg Up iii 14) lays stress on will and belief Râmānuja (Śrībhāsliya, ii 2 43) refers to Sândilya as the alleged author of the Pancarātra There are other Bhakti sūtras called Nāradiya and ascribed to Nārada, published and translated in *The Sacred Rooks of the Hindas*, No 23 They commit of 84 short aphorisms Raj Mitra in his notices of Sanskirt MSS describes a great number of modern works dealing with Bhakti.

Bhakti is often associated with the doctrine of the playfulness of God. This idea—so strange to Europe<sup>1</sup>—may have its roots partly in the odd non-moral attributes of some early deities Thus the Rudra of the Satarudriya hymn is a queer character and a trickster But it soon takes a philosophical tinge and is used to explain the creation and working of the universe which is regarded not as an example of capricious, ironical, inscrutable action, but rather as manifesting easy, joyous movement and the exuberant rhythm of a dance executed for its own sake The European can hardly imagine a sensible person doing anything without an object he thinks it almost profane to ascribe motiveless action to the Creator. he racks his brain to discover any purpose in creation which is morally worthy and moderately in accord with the facts of experience. But he can find none The Hindu, on the contrary, argues that God being complete and perfect cannot be actuated by aims or motives, for all such impulses imply a desire to obtain something, whereas a perfect and complete being is one which by its very definition needs neither change nor addition Therefore. whatever activity is ascribed to the creator must not be thought of as calculating, purposeful endeavour, but as spontaneous. exultant movement, needing and admitting no explanation, and analogous to sport and play rather than to the proceedings of prudent people. This view of the divine activity is expounded by so serious a writer as Sankara in his commentary on the Vedanta Sûtras, and it also finds mythological expression in numerous popular legends The Tamil Puranas describe the sixty-four miracles of Siva as his amusements his laughter and joyous movements brighten all things, and the street minstrels sing "He sports in the world, He sports in the soul?." He is supposed to dance in the Golden Hall of the temple at Chidambaram and something of the old legends of the Satarudriya

So best
God loves to jest
With children small, a freak
Of heavenly hide and seek
Fit

For thy wayward wit

<sup>1</sup> Yet it is found in Francis Thompson's poem called Any Saint

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Pope, The History of Manikka-Vaçagar, p 23 For the 64 sports of Siva see Siddhanta Dipika, vol 13

hangs about such popular titles as the Deceiver and the Maniac (Kalvar) and the stories of his going about disguised and visiting his worshippers in the form of a mendicant The idea of sport and playfulness is also prominent in Vishnuism It is a striking feature in the cultus of both the infant and the youthful Krishna, but I have not found it recorded in the

severer worship of Râma

Another feature of Hindu sects is the extravagant respect paid to Gurus or teachers The sanctity of the Guru is an old conviction in India. By common consent he is entitled to absolute obedience and offences against him are hemous crimes. But in sectarian literature there appears a new claim, namely, that the Guru in some way is or represents the god whose worship he teaches If the deity is thought of primarily as a saviour, the Guru is said to deliver from suffering and hell if he requires surrender and sacrifice, then person and possessions must be dedicated to the Guru Membership of a sect can be attained only by initiation at the hands of a Guru who can teach a special mantra or formula of which each sect has its own In some of the more modern sects the Guru need not be a Brahman, but if he cannot be venerated for his caste, the deficiency is compensated by the respect which he receives as a repository of oral teaching The scriptural basis of many sects is dubious and even when it exists, many of the devout (especially women) have not the inclination or ability to read and therefore take their religion from the lips of the Guru, who thus becomes an oracle and source of truth In Bengal, the family Guru is a regular institution in respectable castes. In many sects the founder or other prominent saint is described as an incarnation and receives veneration after death1.

This veneration or defication of the Guru is found in most sects and assumes as extreme a form among the Saivas as among the Vaishnavas The Saiva Siddhanta teaches that divine instruction can be received only from one who is both god and man, and that the true Guru is an incarnation of Siva. Thus the works of Mânikka-Vâçagar and Umâpati speak of Siva coming to his devotees in the form of the Guru In the sects that worship Krishna the Gurus are frequently called Gosam

<sup>1</sup> E q Rimanuja, Nammarvar, Basava

(Goswami)<sup>1</sup>. Sometimes they are members of a particular family, as among the Vallabhācāryas In other sects there is no hereditary principle and even a Sudra is eligible as Guru.

One other feature of Sectarian Hundusm must be mentioned. It may be described as Tantrısm or, in one of its aspects, as the later Yoga and is a combination of practices and theories which have their roots in the old literature and began to form a connected doctrine at least as early as the eighth century A D. Some of its principal ideas are as follows (1) Letters and syllables (and also their written forms and diagrams) have a potent influence both for the human organism and for the universe. This idea is found in the early Upanishads2 and is fully developed in the later Sectarian Upanishads (ii) The human organism is a miniature copy of the universes. It contains many lines or channels (nadi) along which the nerve force moves and also nervous centres distributed from the hips to the head. (m) In the lowest centre resides a force identical with the force which creates the universe4. When by processes which are partly physical it is roused and made to ascend to the highest centre, emancipation and bliss are obtained (iv) There is a mysterious connection between the process of cosmic evolution and sound, especially the sacred sound Om.

These ideas are developed most thoroughly in Saktist works, but are by no means peculiar to them They are found in the Pancaratra and the later Puranas and have influenced almost all modern sects, although those which are based on emotional devotion are naturally less inclined to favour physical and magical means of obtaining salvation.

Apparently meaning "possessor of cows," and originally a title of the youthful Krishpa It is also interpreted as meaning Lord of the Vedas or Lord of his own senses

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  E g the beginning of the Chând Up about the syllable Om See too the last section of the Artareya Âran. The Yoga Upanishads analyse and explain Om and some Vishmuite Upanishads (Njisimha- and Rāmatā paniya) enlarge on the subject of letters and diagrams

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The same idea pervades the old literature m a slightly different form. The parts of the sacrifice are constantly identified with parts of the universe or of the human body.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The cakras are mentioned in Act ν of Målatl and Mådhava written early in the eighth century. The doctrine of the nadis occurs in the older Upanishads (e.g. Chand and Maitrayapa) in a rudimentary form.

## CHAPTER XXVII

## THE EVOLUTION OF HINDUISM BHÂGAVATAS AND PÂŚUPATAS

1

India is a literary country and naturally so great a change as the transformation of the old religion into theistic sects preaching salvation by devotion to a particular deity found expression in a long and copious literature This literature supplements and supersedes the Vedic treatises but without impairing their theoretical authority, and, since it cannot compare with them in antiquity and has not the same historic interest, it has received little attention from Indianists until the present century But in spite of its defects it is of the highest importance for an understanding of medieval and contemporary Hindusm. Much of it is avowedly based on the principle that in this degenerate age the Veda is difficult to understand1, and that therefore God in His mercy has revealed other texts containing a clear compendium of doctrine Thus the great Vishnute doctor Râmânuja states authoritatively "The incontrovertible fact then is as follows The Lord who is known from the Vedanta texts. .recognising that the Vedas are difficult to fathom by all beings other than himself .. with a view to enable his devotees to grasp the true meaning of the Vedas, himself composed the Panoarâtra-Sâstra2"

This later sectarian literature falls into several divisions

A Certain episodes of the Mahabharata The most celebrated of these is the Bhagavad-gîtâ, which is probably anterior to the Christian era. Though it is incorporated in the Epic it is frequently spoken of as an independent work. Later and less celebrated but greatly esteemed by Vishnuites is the latter part

<sup>2</sup> Sri Bhāshya, ii 2 43 So too the Vishnu Purāna, i 1 describes itself as equal in sanctity to the Vedas Sankara on Brah Sútras, i 3 33 says that the Purānas

are authoritative

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> An attempt was made to adapt the Voda to modern ideas by composing new Upanishads. The inspiration of such works is not denied but they have not the same influence as the interactive mentioned below.

of book XII, commonly known as Nåråyanîya<sup>1</sup>. Both these episodes and others<sup>2</sup> are closely analogous to metrical Upanishads. The Mahåbhårata even styles itself (1. 261) the Veda of Krishna (Kårshna).

The Râmâyana does not contain religious episodes comparable to those mentioned but the story has more than once been re-written in a religious and philosophic form. Of such versions the Adhyâtmarâmâyana<sup>3</sup> and Yoga-vasishtha-râmâyana are very popular.

B. Though the Puranas are not at all alke, most of them show clear affinity both as literature and as religious thought to the various strata of the Mahabharata, and to the Law Books, especially the metrical code of Manu These all represent a form of orthodoxy which while admitting much that is not found in the Veda is still Brahmanic and traditionalist. The older Puranas (e.g. Matsya, Vâyu, Mârkandeya, Vishnu), or at least the older parts of them, are the literary expression of that Hindu reaction which gained political power with the accession of the Gupta dynasty. They are less definitely sectarian than later works such as the Nârada and Linga Puranas, yet all are more or less sectarian

The most influential Purana is the Bhagavata, one of the great scriptures for all sects which worship Krishna. It is said to have been translated into every language of India and forty versions in Bengali alone are mentioned. It was probably com-

<sup>1</sup> See Grierson in Ind Ant 1908, p 251 and p 373

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  E g the Sanatsujatiya and Anugitâ (both in S B,E viii ) See Deussen, Vier philosophische Texte des Mahábháratam

<sup>\*</sup> Forming part of the Brahmanda Purana

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See for a summary of them Winternitz, Gesch Ind Lit I pp 450-483 For the dates see Pargiter Dynasties of the Kaliage He holds that the historical portions of the older Puranas were compiled in Prakrit about 250 and and re-edited in Sanskrit about 350. See also Vincent Smith, Early History, p 21 and, against Pargiter, Keith in J. R. A. S. 1914, p. 1021. Alberum (who wrote in 1030) mentions eighteen Puranas and gives two lists of them. Bana (c. 620 a. p.) mentions the recitation of the Vayu Purana. The commentary on the Svetasvatara Upanassoribed to Sankara quotes the Brahma P., Lánga P. and Vishnu P. as authorities as well as Purana texts described as Vishnudharma and Sivadharmottara. But the suthoriship of this commentary is doubtful. The Purano histrature as we know it probably began with the Gupta dynasty or a century before it, but the word Purana in the sense of an ancient legend which ought to be learnt occurs as early as the Satapatha Brāhmana (xi 5 6 8) and even in A V xi 7 24.

posed in the eighth or ninth century. A free translation of the tenth book into Hudi, called the Prem Sagar or Ocean of Love, is greatly revered in northern Judia. Other sectaman Puranas are frequently read at temple services. Besides the eighteen great Puranas there are many others, and in south India at any rate they were sometimes composed in the vernacular, as for instance the Periya Purana (c. 1100 a.d.) These vernacular Puranas seem to be collections of strangely fantastic fairy tales

C. The word Tantra originally meant a manual giving the essentials of a subject but later usage tends to restrict it to works, whether Hindu or Buddhist, inculcating the worship of Siva's spouse. But there are exceptions to this restriction the Panea-tantra is a collection of stories and the Lakshmi-tantra is a Vislanuite work<sup>3</sup>

The fact is that a whole class of Sanskrit religious literature is described by the titles Tantra, Âgama and Samhitât, which taken in a wide sense are practically synonymous, though usage is inclined to apply the first specially to Saktist works, the second to Sivaite and the third to Vishmute. The common character of all these productions is that they do not attempt to combine Vedic rites and ideas with sectarian worship, but boldly state that, since the prescriptions of the Veda are too hard for this age, some generous deity has revealed an easier teaching. This teaching naturally varies in detail, but it usually comprises devotion to some special form of the godhead and also a special ceremonial, which commences with mitiation and includes the use of myslio formulae, letters and diagrams.

It was made as late as 1803 by Lalla Ji Lal, but is a rendering into Hindi of a version in the Braj dislect, probably made in the axieenth century

2 Another Ishmute work is cited indifferently as Pådma tantra or Pådma-sam hitä, and the Bhögavata Purana (t 3 8) speaks of the Sätti atam Tantram, which is apparently the Sativata sambità Thowark edited by Schröderia desembed as the Absoludhays Sambità of the Pâncarâtra Agama

4 See for some notices of these works A Avalen's various publications about Tantra Srinivasa Iyengar, Outhines of Indian Philosophy, 118-191. Govindacarya Samhi on the Vaishnava Samhitäs, J.R.A.S. 1911, pp. 935 ff. Schomerus, Gaira Sidhinta, pp. 7 ff. and Schrador's Introduction to the Pancardira. Whereas these works claim to be independent of the Veda, the Sectarian Upanishads (see vel 1 p. 76) are an attempt to connect post-Vedic sects with the Veda.

ŧ

١

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pargiter, I c pp xvn, xxvn It does not belong to the latest class of Puranas for it seems to contemplate the performance of Smarta rites not temple ceremonal, but it is not quoted by Ramanuja (twelfth century) though he cites the Yuhnu Purana. Probably he disapproved of it

Tantras, Agamas and Samhitas all treat of their subject-matter in four divisions1 the first of which relates to the great problems of philosophy, the second to the discipline necessary for uniting the self and God; the third and fourth to ceremonial

These works have another feature in common, namely that they are little known except to those Hindus who use them for religious purposes and are probably not very anxious to see them published Though they are numerous, few of them have been printed and those few have not been much studied by European scholars, I shall say something more about them below in treating of the various sects Some are of respectable antiquity but it is also clear that modern texts pass under ancient names. The Pancaratram and Pasupatam which are Vishnuite and Sivaite Samhitâs are mentioned in the Mahâbhârata, and some extant Vishnuite Samhitas were perhaps composed in the fourth century AD2 Ramanuja as quoted above states that the Pancarâtra-sâstra (apparently the same as the Pancarâtra-tantra which he also mentions) was composed by Vasudeva himself and also cites as scripture the Sattvata, Paushkara and Parama Samhitas. In the same context he speaks of the Mahabharata as Bharata-Samhita and the whole passage is interesting as being a statement by a high authority of the reasons for accepting a non-Vedic work like the Pancaratra as revealed scripture

As already indicated European usage makes the words Tantra, Tantram and tantric refer to the worship of goddesses. It would be better to describe this literature and worship as Såktism and to use Tantrism for a tendency in doctrine and ceremonial which otherwise has no special name I have been informed by Tamil Pandits that at the present day the ritual m some temples is smarta or according to Smriti, but in the majority according to the Agamas or tantric The former which is followed by many well-known shrines (for instance in Benares and in the great temples of south India) conforms to the pre-

<sup>1</sup> Jūšna, Yoga, Caryš, Kriyš The same names are used of Buddhist Tantras, except that Anuttara replaces Jñana

See Schrader, Introd to the Pancaratra, p 98 In the Raghuvaman, x 27 Agamas are not only mentioned but said to be extremely numerous But in such passages it is hard to say whether Agama means the books now so called or merely tradition Alberum seems not to have known of this literature and a Tantra for him is merely a minor treatise on astronomy. He evidently regards the Vedas, Puranas, philosophical Darsanas and Epics as constituting the religious literature of India

cepts of the Puranas, especially on festival days. The officiants require no special mitiation and burnt offerings are presented. But the Agamic ritual can be performed only by priests who have received initiation, burnt offerings rarely form part of the

OH

ceremony and vernacular hymns are freely used1

Such hymns however as well as processions and other forms of worship which appeal directly to the religious emotions are certainly not tantric Tantrism is a species of religious magic, differing from the Vedic sacrifices in method rather than principle2 For all that, it sets ande the old rites and announces itself as the new dispensation for this age. Among its principal features are the following The Tantras are a scripture for all, and lay little stress on caste the texts and the ritual which they teach can be understood only after initiation and with the aid of a teacher the ritual consists largely in the correct use of spells, magical or sacramental syllables and letters, diagrams and gestures its object is less to beseech than to compel the god to come to the worshipper: another object is to unite the worshipper to the god and in fact transform him into the god man is a microcosm corresponding to the macrocosm or universe the spheres and currents of the universe are copied in miniature in the human body and the same powers rule the same parts m the greater and the lesser scheme Such ideas are widely disseminated in almost all modern scots, though without

Avalon, Principles of Tanira, p xxvn describes it as "that development of the Vaidika Karmakanda which under the name of the Tantra Shastra is the scripture of the Kah age" This seems to me a correct statement of the tanting

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Râjagopala Chariar (Vaishnavile Reformers, p 4) says that in Vishnu temples two rituals are used called Panoaratra and Vaikhanssa. The latter is apparently consistent with Smarts usage whereas the Pancaratra is not. From Gopmatha Rac's Elements of Handu Iconography, pp 56, 77, 78 it appears that there is a Vaikhānasāgama parallel to the Pancarātrāgama. It is frequently quoted by this author, though as yet unpublished It seems to be the ritual of those Bhagavatas who worship both Sive and Vishnu It is said to exist in two recensions, prose and metrical, of which the former is perhaps the oldest of the Vaishnava Agamas The Vaikhanasa ritual was ones followed at Srirangam but Ramanuja substituted the Pancaratra for it

Thus the Gautamiya Tantra which is held in high estimation by Vulniute heory householders in Bengal, though not by ascetics, is a complete application of Sakta worship to the oult of Krishna The Varabi Tantra is also Vishnuite See Raj Mitra, Sanskrit MSS of Bilaner, p 583 and Notices of Sk MSS III (1876), p 69, and r colxxxvn See too the usages of the Nambuthin Brahmans as described in

forming their essential doctrine, but I must repeat that to say all sects are tantric does not mean that they are all Saktist. But Saktist sects are fundamentally and thoroughly tantric in their theory and practice.

D. Besides the Sanskrit books mentioned above numerous vernacular works, especially collections of hymns, are accepted as authoritative by various sects, and almost every language has scriptures of its own. In the south two Tamil hymnals, the Devaram of the Sivaites and Nalayira Prabandham of the Vishnutes, are recited in temples and are boldly stated to be revelations equivalent to the Veda. In northern India may be mentioned the Hindi Ramayana of Tulsi Das, which is almost universally venerated, the Bhakta-mala of Nabha Das1, the Sur-sagar of Surdas and the Prem Sagar. In Assam the Nam Gosha of Madhab Deb is honoured with the same homage as a sacred image. The awkwardness of admitting direct inspiration in late times is avoided by the theory of spiritual descent, that is to say of doctrinal transmission from teacher to teacher, the divine revelation having been made to the original teacher at a discreetly remote epoch,

2

In considering the evolution of modern Hinduism out of the old Vedic religion, three of the many factors responsible for this huge and complicated result deserve special attention. The first is the unusual intensity and prevalence of the religious temperament. This has a double effect, both conservative and alterative: ancient customs receive an unreasonable respect: they are not abolished for their immerality or absurdity, but since real interest implies some measure of constructive power, there is a constant growth of new ideas and reinterpretations resulting in inconsistent combinations. The second is the absence of hierarchy and discipline. The guiding principle of the Brahmans has always been not so much that they have a particular creed to enforce, as that whatever is the creed of India they must be its ministers. Naturally every priest is the champion of his own god or rite, and such zeal may lead to occasional conflicts. But

Cochin Tribes and Castes, II pp 229-233 In many ways the Nambuthins preserve the ancient Vedic practices

<sup>1</sup> See Grierson's articles Glesnings from the Bhaktamala in J R A S 1909-1910

1

ŧ

though the antithesis between the ritualism of the older Brahmanism and the faith or philosophy of Sivaism and Vishniism may remind us of the differences between the Catholic Church and Protestant reformers, yet historically there is no resemblance in the development of the antithesis To some oxtent Hinduism showed a united front against Buddhism, but the older Brahmanism had no organization which enabled it to stand as a separate Church in opposition to movements which it disliked The third factor is the deeply rooted idea, which reappoars at frequent intervals from the time of the Upanishads until to-day, that rules and rites and even creeds are somehow part of the lower and temporal order of things which the soul should transcend and leave behind. This idea tinges the whole of Indian philosophy and continually orops up in practice The founder of a strango sect who declares that nothing is necessary but faith in a particular deity and that all ceremonies and easte observances are superfluous is not in the popular esteem a subverter of Hindusm

The history of both Sivaism and Vishnuism illustrates these features Siva begins as a wild deity of non-moral attributes As the religious sense develops he is not rejected like the less reputable detties of the Jews and Arabs but remains and collects round himself other strange wild ideas which in time are made philosophical but not othical Tho rites of the new religion are, if not antagonistic, at least alternative to the ancient sacrifices, yet far from being forbidden they are performed by Brahmans and modern Indian writers describe Siva as peculiarly the Brahman's god. Finally the Sivaite schools of the Tamil country reject in successive stages the grosser and more formal elements until there remains nothing but an ecstatic and mystical monothorsm Similarly among the Vishnuites Krishna is the centre of legends which have even less of conventional morality Yet out of them arises a doctrine that the love of God is the one thing needful so similar to Christian teaching that many have supposed it must be borrowed

The first clear accounts of the worship of Siva and Vishnu are contained in the epics and indicate the existence of sectarian religion, that is to say of exclusive devotion to one or other deity. But there is also a tendency to find a place for both, a tendency which culminates in the composite deity Sankara-

Nârâyana already mentioned. Many of the Purâṇas¹ reflect this view and praise the two deities impartially. The Mahâbhârata not unfrequently does the same but the general impression left by this poem is that the various parts of which it consists have been composed or revised in a sectarian spirit. The body of the work is a narrative of exploits in which the hero Krishna plays a great part but revised so as to make him appear often as a deity and sometimes as the Supreme Spirit. But much of the didactic matter which has been added, particularly books xii and xiii, breathes an equally distinct Sivaite spirit and in the parts where Krishna is treated as a mere hero, the principal god appears to be not Vishnu but Siva.

The Mahabharata and Puranas contain legends which, though obscure, refer to conflicts of the worshippers of Siva with those who offered Vedic sacrifices as well as with the votaries of Vishnu, and to a subsequent reconciliation and blending of the various cults Among these is the well-known story of Daksha's sacrifice to which Siva was not invited. Enraged at the omission he violently breaks up the sacrifice either in person or through a being whom he creates for the purpose, assaults the officiants and the gods who are present, and is pacified by receiving a share Similarly we hear2 that he once seized a victim at a sacrifice and that the gods in fear allotted to him the choicest portion of the offerings These stories indicate that at one time Brahmans did not countenance his worship and he is even represented as saying to his wife that according to rule (dharmatah) he has no share in the sacrifice3. Possibly human victims were immolated in his honour, as they were in Kali's until recently, for in the Mahabharata it is related how Krishna expostulated with Jarasandha who pro-

<sup>2</sup> Mahâbh Vanaparvan, 11001 ff The Bhâgavata Purâna, Book IV sec 2-7 emphasizes more clearly the objections of the Rushis to Siva as an enemy of Veduc sacrifices and a patron of unhallowed rates

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Eg Märkandeya, Vämana and Varäha Also the Skanda Upanushad

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Mahâbh XII sec 283 In the same way the worship of Dionysus was once a novelty in Greece and not countenanced by the more conservative and respectable party. See Eur Bacchae, 45 The Varāha-Purāna relates that the Sivaite scriptures were revealed for the benefit of certain Brahmans whose sins had rendered them meapable of performing Vedic rites. There is probably some truth in this legend in so far as it means that Brahmans who were excommunicated for some fault were disposed to become the ministers of non-Vedic cults.

Mahabh u secs 16, 22 ff

posed to offer to Siva a sacrifice of captive kings. In the Vishnu-Purâna, Krishna fights with Siva and burns Benares But by the time that the Mahabharata was put together these quarrels were not in an acute stage. In several passages Krishna is made to worship Siva as the Supreme Spirit and in others vice versa Siva celebrates the glory of Krishna. Vishnuites do not disbelieve in Siva but they regard him as a god of this world, whereas their own deity is cosmic and universal. Many Vishnuite works are said to be revealed by Siva who acts as an intermediary between us and higher spheres.

3

In the following sections I shall endeavour to relate the beginnings of sectarianism. The sects which are now most important are relatively modern and arose in the twelfth century or later, but the sectarian spirit can be traced back several centuries before our era. By sectarians I mean worshippers of Siva or Vishnu who were neither in complete sympathy with the ancient Brahmanism nor yet excommunicated by it and who had new texts and rites to replace or at least supplement the Vedas and the Vedio sacrifices. It is probable that the different types of early Indian religion had originally different geographical spheres. Brahmanism flourished in what we call the United Provinces. Buddhism arose in the regions to the east of this district and both Vishnusm and Sivaism are first heard of in the west

The earliest sect of which we have any record is that of the Bhågavatas, who were or became Vishnute. At a date which it is impossible to fix but considerably before the epoch of Pånini, a tribe named the Yådavas occupied the country between Muttra and the shores of Gujarat. Septs of this tribe were called Vrishni and Såttvata. The latter name has passed into theology. Krishna belonged to this sept and it is probable that this name Våsudeva was not originally a patronymic but the name of a deity worshipped by it. The hero Krishna was identified with this god and subsequently when the Brahmans wished to bring this powerful sect within the pale of orthodoxy.

Drona p , 2862 ff Anusasana-p , 590 ff

<sup>\*</sup> Eg Anusâsana p , 680B ff

<sup>·</sup> Eg the Ahirbudhnya Sambita and Adhyatma Ramayana

both were identified with Vishnu. In the Mahabharata the rule or ritual (vidh1) of the Sattvatas is treated as equivalent to that of the Bhagavatas and a work called the Sattvata Samhita is still extant. Bhagavata appears to be the most general name of the sect or sects and means simply of the Lord (Bhagavat), that is worshippers of the one Lord?. Their religion is also called Ekantika dharma, or the religion with one object, that is monotheism3.

A considerable literature grew up in this school and the principal treatise is often spoken of as Pâncarâtra because it was revealed by Nåråyana during five nights4 The name however appears to be strictly speaking applicable to a system or body of doctrine and the usual term for the books in which this system is expounded is Samhità All previous discussions and speculations about these works, of which little was known until recently, are superseded by Schrader's publication of the Ahrbudhnya Samhita, which appears to be representative of its class<sup>5</sup>. The names of over two hundred are cited and of these more than thirty are known to be extant in Ms 8 The majority were composed in north-western India but the Pancaratra doctrine spread to the Dravidian countries and new Samhitas were produced there, the chief of which, the Isvara Samhita, can hardly be later than 800 A.D. Of the older works Schrader

<sup>2</sup> Cf the title Bhagavata Purana

Even in the Satapatha Br Narayana is mentioned in connection with a sporifice

lasting five days, xiii 6 1

The oldest are apparently the Paushkars, Váráha, Bráhma, Sáttvata, Jaya and Ahrbudhnya Samhitas, all quoted as authoritative by either Ramanuja or Vedanta

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Sautspar coccervu, 12711 ff In the Bhagavad-gitâ Kushna says that he is Vasudeva of the Vrishms, Xt 37

Ekâyana is mentioned several times in the Chândogya Up (vii 1, 2 and afterwards) as a branch of religious or literary knowledge and in connection with Narada But it is not represented as the highest or satisfying knowledge

The Samhitas hitherto best known to orientalists appear to be late and spurious. The Brihadbrahma Sambita published by the Anandasrama Press mentions. Ramanuja The work printed in the Bibliotheca Indica as Narada pancaratra (although its proper title apparently is Jüänämritasärs) has been analyzed by Roussel in Melanges Harlez and is apparently a late liturgical compilation of little originality. Schrader's work was published by the Adyar Labrary in Madras, 1916 Apparently the two forms Pancaratra and Pancaratra are both found, but that with the long vowel is the more usual Govindácárya's article mJ R A S 1911, p 951 may also be consulted

<sup>?</sup> It is quoted as equal to the Vedas by Yamunacarya, so it must then have been m existence some centuries

thinks that the Ahirbudhnya was written in Kashmir' between 300 and 800 AD. and perhaps as early as the fourth century It mentions the Sattvata and Javakhya, which must therefore be older

The most remarkable feature of this literature is its elaborate doctrine of evolution and emanation from the Deity, the world process being conceived in the usual Hindu fashion as an alternation of production and destruction A distinction is drawn between pure and gross creation. What we commenly call the Universe is bounded by the shell of the cosmic egg and there are innumerable such eggs, each with its own heavens and its own tutclary derties such as Brahma and Siva whe are sharply distinguished from Vishmi But beyond this multitude of worlds are more mysterious and spiritual spheres, the highest heaven or Vaikuntha wherein dwells God in his highest form (Para) with his Saktis2, certain archangels and liberated souls Evolution commences when at the end of the cosmic night the Sakts of Vishnus is differentiated from her Lord and assumes the two forms of Force and Matter4 He as differentiated from lier is Vasudeva a personal deity with six attributes and is the first emanation, or Vyûha, of the ineffable godhead From him proceeds Sankarshana, from Sankarshana Pradyumna, and from Pradyumna Amruddha These three Vyûhas take part m creation but also correspond to or preside over certain aspects of human personality, namely Sankarshana to the soul that animates all beings, Pradyumna to intelligence and Aniruddha to individuality. Strange to say these seem to be the names of distinguished personages in the Sattivata or Vrishni clan<sup>6</sup> Mere desfication occurs in many countries but the transformation of heroes into metaphysical or psychological terms could hardly have happened outside India. Next to the Vyûlias come twelve

\* There is much diversity of statement as to whether there are one or many

4 Kriyasaktı and Bhūtišaktı 5 Jūžna, aišvarya, žakti, balv, virya, tejas These are called gunas but are not

to be confounded with the three ordinary gunas

The words seem to have been originally proper names. See the articles in the Peteraburg Lexicon

I The story of Svetady spa or White Island in the Santiparian of the Mahabharata states definitely that Narada received the Pancaratra there

Vishnu is the name of God in all his aspects, but especially God as the absolute Våsudev a 18 used both of God as the absolute and also as the first emanation (Vyaha)

sub-Vyûhas, among whom is Nârâyaṇa¹, and thirty-nine Avatâras. All these beings are outside the cosmic eggs and our gross creation. As a prelude to this last there takes place the evolution of the aggregates or sources from which individual souls and matter are drawn, of space and of time, and finally of the elements, the process as described seeming to follow an older form of the Sânkhya philosophy than that known to us. The task of human souls is to attain liberation, but though the language of the Samhitâs is not entirely consistent, the older view is that they become like to God, not that they are absorbed in him².

Thus it is not incorrect to say that the Bhagavata religion is monotheistic and recognizes a creator of souls. Indeed Sankara<sup>3</sup> condemns it on the very ground that it makes individual souls originate from Vasudeva, in which case since they have an origin they must also have an end But Ramanuja in replying to this criticism seems to depart from the older view, for he says that the Supreme Being voluntarily abides in four forms which include the soul, mind and the principle of individuality. This, if not Pantheism, is very different from European monotheism<sup>4</sup>

The history of these Bhāgavatas, Pāncarātras or worshippers of Vishņu must have begun several centuries before our era, for there are allusions to them in Pānini and the Niddesa<sup>5</sup>. The names of Vāsudeva and Sankarshana occur in old inscriptions<sup>6</sup> and the Greek Heliodoros calls himself a Bhāgavata on the column found at Besnagar and supposed to date from the first part of the second century B.C.

The Pancaratra was not Brahmanic in origin? and the form

- <sup>1</sup> Nărâyana like Vishnu is used to designate more than one aspect of God. Sometimes it denotes the Absolute
- The above brief sketch is based on Schrader's Int to the Plancardira where the reader can find full details

Comment on Vedânta sûtras, 17 2 42

And, as Schrader observes, the evolutionary system of the Pancaratra is practically concerned with only one force, the Sakti, which under the name Bhûti is manifested as the Universe and as Kriya vitalizes and governs it (p 31)

Son Sutta-nipâta, 790, 792 The doctrine of the Vyûhas is expounded in the

Mahabharata Santip cooxis 36 ff , 70 ff , cooxis 20 ff.

Lüder's List of Brahmi inscriptions, No 6, supposed not to be later than 200 BC and No 1112 supposed to be of the first century BC Sankarshans is also mentioned in the Kautiliya Arthasastra, XIII 3

Some Samhutas emphasize the distinction between the followers of the Veda and the enlightened ones who worship the Lord See Schrader, Pancarátra, p 97 of the Sankhya philosophy from which it borrowed was also un-Brahmanic It seems to have grown up in north-western India in the centuries when Iranian influence was strong and may owe to Zoroastrianism the doctrine of the Vvûhas which finds a parallel in the relation of Abura Mazda to Spenta Manyu, his Holy Spirit, and in the Fravashis. It is also remarkable that God is credited with six attributes comparable with the six Amesha Spentas. In other ways the Pancaratra seems to have some connection with late Buddhism Though it lays little stress on the worship of goddesses, yet all the Vyûhas and Avatâras are provided with Saktis, like the Buddhas and Bodhisattvas of tantric Buddhism, and in the period of quiescence which follows on the dissolution of the Universe Vishnu is described under the name of Sûnya or the void It attaches great importance to the Calra, the wheel or discus which denotes Vishnu's will to be1, to evolve and maintain the universe, and it may have contributed some ideas to the very late form of Buddhism called Kâlacakra. This very word is used in the Ahirbudhnya Samhitâ as the name of one of the many wheels engaged in the work of evolution.

Though the Pancaratra is connected with Krishna in its origin, it gives no prominence to devotion to him under that name as do modern sects and it knows nothing of the pastoral Krishna<sup>2</sup> It recommends the worship of the four Vyûhas<sup>3</sup> presiding over the four quarters in much the same way that late Buddhism adores the four Jinus depicted in somewhat similar forms Similarly the Sivaites say that Siva has five faces, namely Isana or Sadasıva (the highest, undifferentiated form of the deity) at the top and below Vamadeva, Aghora, Tatpurusha and Sadyojata, presiding respectively over the north, south, east and west It is thus clear that in the early centuries of our era (or perhaps even before it) there was a tendency in Vishnuism, Sivaism and Mahayamst Buddhism alike to represent the meffable godhead as manifested in four aspects somewhat more intelligible to human minds and producing in their turn many inferior manifestations Possibly the

<sup>1</sup> Syâm iti Sanksipa, Ahirbudh Sam it 7 In some late Upanishada (eg Nâradapariv râjaka and Bribatsannyâsa) Cakri is used as a synenym for a Pâncarâtra 2 The same is true of Râmâuuja, who never quetes the Bhagavata Purâna

The same is true of feathernia, who have quotes the same is true of from the Sattvata Samuta in Schrader, pp 150-154. As a Society of the Pancaratra there is the Para above the four Vyûhas, so some late forms of Buddhism regard Vairocana as the source of four Jinas.

theory originated among the Vishnuites<sup>1</sup>, but as often happened in India it was adopted by their opponents. None of these theories are of much importance as living beliefs at the present

day but their influence can be seen in 1conography.

As a sect the Pâncarâtras seem to have been a subdivision of the Bhâgavatas and probably at the present day many Vishnuites would accept the second name but not the first. The Pañcarâtra is studied at only a few places in southern India but its doctrines permeate the popular work called Bhaktamâlâ and in view of the express approbation of Râmânuja and other authorities it can hardly be repudiated by the Srî-Vaishnavas. Bhâgavata is sometimes used in the south as a name for Smârtas who practise Vedic rites and worship both Siva and Vishnu².

4

In these early times there were strenuous theological struggles now forgotten, though they have left their traces in the legends which tell how the title of Krishna and others to divine honours was challenged Amalgamation was the usual method of conciliation. Several gods grew sufficiently important to become in the eyes of their worshippers the supreme spirit and at least four were united in the deity of the Bhagavatas, namely, Våsudeva, Krishna, Vishnu and Nåråyana. Of the first three I have spoken already. Nârâyana never became like Vishnu and Krishna a great mythological figure, but in the late Vedic period he is a personification of the primæval waters from which all things sprang or of the spirit which moved in thems. From this he easily became the supreme spirit who animates all the universe and the name was probably acceptable to those who desired a purer and simpler worship because it was connected with comparatively few legends. But there is some confusion in its use, for it is applied not only to the supreme being but to a double incarnation of him called Nara-Nârâyaṇa, and images of the pair may still be seen in Vishnuite temples.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Manicheaus also had groups of five daties (see Chavannes and Pelliot in J.4 1913, I pp 333-338) but they are just as likely to have borrowed from Buddhism as vice tersi.

See Bhattscharya, Hindu Castes and Sects, p. 555.

Manu, I 10-11, identifies him with Brahmå and says. "The waters are called Narah because they are produced from Nara, and he is called Narayana because they were his place of movement (ayana)" The same statement occurs in the Narayaniya

They are said to have revealed the true doctrine to Nârada and are invoked at the beginning of each book of the Mahâbhârata¹ One of the main theses of the Nârâyanîya² is the identity of Nârâyana and Vâsudova, the former being a Brahmanic, the latter a non-Brahmanic name for the Deity

The celebrated Bhagavad-gîtâ³ which is still held in such respect that, like the New Testament or Koran, it is used in law courts for the administration of oaths, is an early scripture of the Bhâgavata sect. In it the doctrines of Krishna's divinity, the power of faith and the efficacy of grace are fully established. It is declared to be too hard for flesh and blood to find by meditation their way to the eternal imperceptible spirit, whereas Krishna comes straightway to those who make him their sole desire "Set thy heart on me, become my devotee, sacrifice to me and worship thou me. Then shalt thou come to me. Truly I declare to thee thou art dear to me. Leave all (other) religious duties and come to me as thy sole refuge. I will deliver thee from thy sins. Sorrow not "But the evolution of Sankarshana, etc., is not mentioned. The poem has perhaps been re-edited."

<sup>1</sup> They are said to have been the sons of Dharma (religion or righteousness) and Ahiipaa (not injuring) This is obvious allegory indicating that the Bhágavata religion rejected animal sacrifices. At the beginning of the Nardyaniya (Santip occexxxy) it is said that Nardyana the soul of the universe took birth in a quadruple form as the offspring of Dharma, viz Nara, Nardyana, Hari and Krishipa. Nara and Nardyana are often identified with Arjuna and Vasudeva. Eg. Udyogap. xxix 19

<sup>2</sup> Mahabhar XII

It is an episode in Mahabbar vi and in its present form was doubtless elaborated apart from the rest But we may surmuse that the modent of Krishna's removing Arjuna's scruples by a discourse appeared in the early versions of the story and also that the discourse was longer and profounder than would seem appropriate to the European reader of a tale of battles But as the Vedânta philosophy and the doctrine of Krishna's godhead developed, the discourse may have been amplified and made to include later theological views Garbe in his German translation attempts to distinguish the different strate and his explanation of the meonsistencies as due to successive redactions and additions may contain some truth But these moonaustencies in theology are common to all sectarian writings and I think the main cause for them must be sought not so much in the alteration and combination of documents, as in a mixed and eclectic mode of thought Even in European books of the first rank inconsistencies are not unknown and they need not cause surprise in works which were not written down but com mitted to memory A poet composing a long religious poem in this way and feeling, as many Hindus feel, both that God is everything and also that he is a very present personal help, may very well express himself differently in different parts. On the other hand the editors of such poems are undoubtedly tempted to insert in them later popular doctrines

and interpolated several times but the strata can hardly be distinguished, for the whole work, if not exactly paradoxical, is eclectic and continually argues that what is apparently highest is not best for a particular person. The Hındus generally regard the contemplative life as the highest, but the Bhagavad-gîtâ is insistent in enjoining unselfish action it admits that the supreme reality cannot be grasped by the mind or expressed in speech, but it recommends the worship of a personal deity. Even the older parts of the poem appear to be considerably later than Buddhism. But its mythology, if not Vedic, is also hardly Puranic and it knows nothing of the legends about the pastoral Krishna It presupposes the Sankhya and Yoga, though in what stage of development it is hard to say, and in many respects its style resembles the later Upanishads. I should suppose that it assumed its present form about the time of the Christian era, rather before than after, and I do not think it owes anything to direct Christian influence In its original form it may have been considerably older.

The Bhagavad-gitâ identifies Krishna with Vâsudeva and with Vishnu but does not mention Narayana and from its general style I should imagine the Narayaniya to be a later poem. If so, the evolution of Bhagavata theology will be that Krishna, a great hero in a tribe lying outside the sphere of Brahmanism, is first identified with Vasudeva, the god of that tribe, and then both of them with Vishnu At this stage the Bhagavad-gita was composed. A later current of speculation added Narayana to the already complex figure, and a still later one, not accepted by all sects, brought the pastoral and amorous legends of Krishna Thus the history of the Bhagavatas illustrates the Indian disposition to combine gods and to see in each of them only an aspect of the one But until a later period the types of divinity known as Vishnu and Siva resisted combination The worshippers of Siva have in all periods shown less inclination than the Vishnuites to form distinct and separate bodies and the earliest Sivaite sect of which we know anything, the

Påśupatas¹, arose slightly later than the Bhågavatas.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The name appears not to be in common use now, but the Pâśupata school is reviewed in the Saraa-darśana sangraha (c. 1330)

ត

Patañjali the grammanan (c. 150 B.C ) mentions devotees of Siva1 and also images of Siva and Skanda There is thus no reason to doubt that worshippers of Siva were recognized as a sect from at least 200 B c. onwards Further it seems probable that the founder or an early teacher of the sect was an asceta called Lakulin or Lakulisa, the club-bearer. The Vâyu Purâna² makes Siva say that he will enter an unowned corpse and become ıncarnate in this form at Kâyârohana, which has been identified with Karvan in Baroda. Now the Vayu is believed to be the oldest of the Puranas, and it is probable that this Lakulin whom it mentions lived before rather than after our era and was especially connected with the Påśupata sect. This word is derived from Pasupati, the Lord of cattle, an old tatle of Rudra afterwards explained to mean the Lord of human In the Santiparvans five systems of knowledge are mentioned Sânkhya, Yoga, the Vedas, Pâsupatam and Pancarâtram, promulgated respectively by Kapila, Hiranyagarbha, Apantaratamas, Siva the Lord of spirits and son of Brahms, and "The Lord (Bhagavan) himself" The author of these verses, who evidently supported the Pancaratra, considered that these five names represented the chief existing or permissible varieties of religious thought. The omission of the Vedanta is remarkable but perhaps it is included under Veda Hence we may conclude that when this passage was written (that is probably before 400 AD and perhaps about the beginning of our era) there were two popular religions ranking in public

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Šivabhāgavata, see his comment on Pānini, v 3 99 and v 2 76 Thename is remarkable and suggests that the Sivartes may have imitated the Bhāgavatas

<sup>\*\*</sup> I XXIII 209 The Bibliotheca Ind edition reads Nakuli Aufrecht (Boll MSS.) has Lakuli The same story is found in Linga P chap XXIV Lakuli is said to have had four pupils who founded four branches. Lakulin does not play an important part in modern Sivasam but is mentioned in inscriptions from the tenth till the thirteenth centuries. The Sarva-darásus-sangraha describes the Nakuliia Päiquata system and quotes Nakuliaa who is clearly the same as Lakulin The figures on Kushan come representing Siva as holding a club may be meant for Lakulin but also may be influenced by Greek figures of Herakles. See for Lakulin Fleet in J.R.A.S. 1907, pp. 419 ff and Bhandarkar Vasahanam and Sawem, pp. 116 ff. The come of Wema Kadphuses bear the title Mahávara, apparently meaning worshipper of the Great Lord Temples in south India seem to have been named after Köyärobana in the seventh century A.D. See Gopmätha Rao, Hindu looso graphy, II p. 19

esteem with the philosophic and ritual doctrines of the Brahmans. The Mahabharata contains a hymn1 which praises Siva under 1008 names and is not without resemblance to the Bhagavadgita. It contains a larger number of strange epithets, but Siva is also extolled as the All-God, who asks for devotion and grants grace At the close of the hymn Siva says that he has introduced the Pasupata religion which partly contradicts and partly agrees with the institutions of caste and the Asramas, but is blamed by fools2.

These last words hint that the Pasupatas laid themselves open to criticism by their extravagant practices, such as strange sounds and gestures3. But in such matters they were outdone by other sects called Kāpālikas or Kālāmukhas. These carried skulls and ate the flesh of corpses, and were the fore-runners of the filthy Aghoris, who were frequent in northern India especially near Mount Abu and Girnar a century ago and perhaps are not yet quite extinct. The biographers of Sankara represent him as contending with these demoniac fanatics not merely with the weapons of controversy but as urging the princes who favoured him to exterminate them.

Hindu authorities treat the Påsupatas as distinct from the Saivas, or Sivartes, and the distinction was kept up in Camboja in the fourteenth century. The Saivas appear to be simply worshippers of Siva, who practice a sane ritual. In different parts of India they have peculiarities of their own but whereas the Vaishnavas have split up into many seots each revering its own founder and his teaching, the Saivas, if not a united body, present few well-marked divisions. Such as exist I shall notice below in their geographical or historical connection. Most of them accept a system of theology or philosophy<sup>e</sup> which starts

2 Santi parvan, aschon colxxxv especially line 10, 470 ff

s See Sarva darsana-sangraha, chap vi and the comments of Ramanuja and Sankars on Vedânta Sûtras, 11 2, 36

The principal are, the Pasupatas, the Saivasiddhantam of southern India and the Sivaram of Kashmir

<sup>1</sup> Mahabhar, xm 13702 ff It is recited by Dakaha when he recognizes the might of fire after the unfortunate mordent of his sacrifice.

Eg Sankara-dig-vijaya The first notice of these sects appears to be an inscription at Igatpun in the Nauk district of about 620 a.p. recording a grant for the worship of Kapaleivara and the maintenance of Mahavratins (=Kapankas) in his temple But doubtless the sects are much older

The Sarva darsana sangraha, chap VII gives a summary of it.

with three principles, all without beginning or end. These are Pati or the Lord, that is Siva. Pasu, or the individual soul Pasa or the fetter, that is matter or Karma!. The task of the soul is to get free of its fetters and attain to the state of Siva But this final deliverance is not quite the same as the identity with Brahman taught by the Vedanta. the soul becomes a Siva, equal to the derty in power and knowledge but still dependent on him rather than identical with him2.

Peculiar to Saiva theology is the doctrine of the five kancukes or envelopes which limit the soul. Spirit in itself is free it is timeless and knows no restrictions of space, enjoyment, knowledge and power. But when spirit is contracted to individual experience, it can apprehend the universe only as a series of changes in time and place. its enjoyment, knowledge and power are eramped and curtailed by the limits of personality. The terminology of the Saivas is original but the theory appears to be an elaboration of the Pancaratra thesis that the soul 18 surrounded by the sheath of Maya

The early literature of the worshippers of Siva (corresponding to the Samhitas of the Pancaratras) appears to have consisted of twenty-eight works composed in Sanskrit and called Agamas\*. There is fairly good evidence for their antiquity. Tirumular, one of the earliest Tamil poets who is believed to have lived in the first centuries of our era, speaks of them with enthusiasm and the Buddhist Sanskrit works called Agamas (corresponding to

1 The Pasupatas seem to attach less importance to this triad, though as they speak of Pats, Paku and the impunities of the soul there is not much difference In their views of causation and free will they differed slightly from the Saivas, since they held that five is the universal and absolute cause, the actions of individuals being effective only in so far as they are in conformity with the will of hive The Saiva aiddhanta however holds that Siva's will is not irrespective of individual Karma, although his independence is not thereby diminished. Ho is like a man holding a magnet and directing the movements of needles

There is some difference of language and perhaps of decime on this point in various Sivaite works. Both Sivaites and Passcaratrins sometimes employ the language of the Advarta But see Schrader, Int to Pancardtra, pp 91 ff

The five Kancukas (or six including Maya) are strictly speaking tatties of which the Saives enumerate 30 and are Pala, myats, rags, vidya and kala contrasted with mityatva, vyapakatva, pūrpatva, agrvajnatva, sarvakartniva which are qualities of spirit See Chatterii, Kashmir Saiviem, 75 ff., 160, where he points out that the Kaficukas are essentially equivalent to Kant's "forms of perception and conception." See too Schrader, Int to Pancardira, 04, 90, 115

6 See for names and other details Schomerus, Der Sawa Siddhania, pp 7, 23

also many sriicks in the Siddhania-Dipika

the Pali Nikâyas) cannot be later than that period. It is highly probable that the same word was in use among both Hindus and Buddhists at the same time. And since the Mahabharata mentions the Påsupatam, there is no difficulty in supposing that expositions of Sivaite doctrine were current in the first century A D. or even B.C. But unless more texts of the Agamas come to light the question of their age has little practical importance, for it is said by native scholars that of the twenty-eight primary books there survive only fragments of twenty, which treat of ritual, besides the verses which form the text expounded at length in the Sivañanabotham<sup>1</sup>. There are also said to be 120 Upagamas of which only two or three have been preserved entire Of these two have been printed in part, the Mrigendra and Paushkara2. The former is cited in the Sarva-darsanasangraha (about 1330) but does not show any signs of great antiquity It is thus clear that the Agames are not much studied by modern Sivaites but it is unhesitatingly stated that they are a revelation direct from Siva and equal to the Veda<sup>3</sup> and this affirmation is important, even though the texts so praised are little known, for it testifies to the general feeling that there are other revelations than the Veda But the Vedas, and the Vedanta Sutras are not ignored. The latter are read in the light of Nilakantha's' commentary which is considered by south Indian Pandits to be prior to Sankara

<sup>2</sup> They are taken from the Âgama called Raurava The Sivaites of Kashmir appear to have regarded the extant Siva-sûtras as an Âgama

<sup>2</sup> The Sanskrit text and translation of the Mrigendra are published in the Siddhánia-Dipika, vol vv 1901 ff It is sometimes described as an Upagama and sometimes as the Jūānapūda of the Kāmika Āgama

<sup>2</sup> So Trumular Nilakantha in his commentary on the Vedanta Sutras says.

"I see no difference between the Veda and the Salvagama"

Or Srikentha The commentary is translated in Siddhania-Dipika, vol 1 ff. In spite of sectaman views as to its early date, it seems to be influenced by the views and language of Ramanuja

## CHAPTER XXVIII

## ŚANKARA ŚIVAISM IN SOUTHERN INDIA. KASHMIR. LINGÂYATS

1

ABOUT the sixth century A.D the decadence of Buddhism and the invigoration of Brahmanism were both well advanced The Mahabharata existed as a great collection of epic and religious poetry and the older Puranas were already composed Even at the present day authorities differ as to whether Siva or Vishnu commands the allegiance of the majority and naturally it is hard to describe the distribution of sects in earlier times. The monuments of the Guptas (for instance the ruins at Eran) suggest that they were Vishnuites but a little later the cult of Siva becomes more prominent. The Emperor Harsha (612-648) and his family were eclectic, honouring Siva, the Sun and the Buddha, but it is not recorded that they worshipped Vishnu Bana who lived at his court indicates that Sivaism was the predominant form of worship, but also mentions Buddhists and Bhagavatas. Hsuan Chuang on the other hand holds him up as a devout Buddhist. Great Sivaite shrines in different parts of India such as the temple of Bhuvaneshwar in Orissa and the Kailas at Ellora were probably constructed in the seventh century and it is likely that in the defeat of Buddhism the worshippers of Siva played an active part

This conflict is connected with the names of Kumaria Bhatta (c. 725 A D) and Sankara Acarya (c 800 A D) It clearly represents forces which cannot be restricted to the character of individuals or the span of human lives. The elements which compose Hinduism had been vigorous long before the eighth century and Buddhism, though decadent, continued to exist in India later. But probably the careers of these two men are the best record of the decisive turn of the tide. It is often said that they revived Hinduism, but however much they insisted on the

<sup>1</sup> In various allusions to be found in the Kadambari and Harshacanta.

authority of ancient tradition, the real result of their labours was not to re-establish the order of things which prevailed before the rise of Buddhism, but to give authority and solidity to the mixture of Brahmanism, Buddhism and popular beliefs which had grown up. Kumāriļa is said to have been a Brahman of Bihar who was a Buddhist monk but became a worshipper of Siva and so zealous a persecutor of his former faith that he persuaded a king of his time named Sudhanvan to exterminate it from the Himalaya to Cape Comorin This is a monstrous exaggeration but he was doubtless a determined enemy of the Buddhists, as can be seen from his philosophical works. He taught little about metaphysics or the nature of God, but he insisted on the necessity and efficacy of Vedic rites.

More important both as a thinker and an organizer was Sankara. There is some discrepancy in the traditions of his birth, but he was probably born about 788 A D 2 in a family of Nambutri Brahmans at Kaladi³ in the Cochin state. Kaladi occupies a healthy position at some height above the sea level and the neighbourhood is now used as a sanatorium. The cocoanut trees and towered temples which mark many south Indian landscapes are absent, and paddy fields alternate with a jungle of flowering plants studded with clumps of bamboos. A broad river broken by sandbanks winds through the district and zear the villages there are often beautiful avenues of great trees. Not far distant is Trichur which possesses a Vedic college and a large temple, forbidden to Europeans but like most edifices in Malabar modest in architecture. This is not the land of giant gopurams and multitudinous sculpture, but of lives dedicated

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  The best known of these is the Tantravarttika, a commentary on the Pûrvaminamsa

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This is the generally accepted date and does not appear to conflict with anything else that is at present known of Sankara. An alternative suggestion is some date between 590 and 650 (see Telang, I.A. III 1884, p. 95 and Fleet, I.A. IVI 1887, p. 41). But in this case, it is very strange that I-Ching does not mention so conspicuous an enemy of the Buddhists. It does not seem to me that the use of Pürnavarman's name by Sankara in an illustration (Comm. on Vedania Sut. ii. 17) necessarily implies they were contemporaries, but it does prove that he cannot have lived before Pürnavarman.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Another tradition says he was born at Chidsmbaram, but the temple at Badmath in the Himalayas said to have been founded by him has always been served by Nambutiri Brahmans from Malabar In 1910 a great temple erected in his honour was consecrated at Kaladi.

to the acquisition of traditional learning and the daily performance of complicated but inconspicuous rites

The accounts of Sankara's life are little but a collection of legends, in which, however, the following facts stand out He was the pupil of Govinda, who was himself the pupil of Gaudapada and this connection would be important could we be certain that this Gaudaphda was the author of the metrical treatise on philosophy bearing his name. He wrote popular hymns as well as commentaries on the Upanishads, Vedanta Suiras and Bhagayad-gith, thus recognizing both Vedic and post-Vedic literature ' lio resided for some time on the Narbudda and at Benarcs, and in the course of the journeys in which like Paul he gave vent to his activity, he founded four maths or monasteries, at Sringeri, Puri, Dwaraka and Badmath in the Himalaya Near the latter he died before he was an old man. On his deathbed he is said to have asked forgiveness for going on pilgrimages and frequenting temples, because by so doing he had seemed to forget that God is overywhere.

It is clear that his work both as an author and organizer was considerable and permanent, and that much of his career was spent outside Dravidian lands His greatest achievement was his exposition of the Vedanta, of which I treat elsewhere. He based his arguments unreservedly on the Vedic texts and nimed at being merely conservative, but those texts and even the ancient commentaries are obscure and inconsistent, and it was reserved for his genius to produce from them a system which in consistency, thoroughness and profundity holds the first place in Indian philosophy. His work did not consist, as he himself supposed, in harmonizing the Upanishads In this department of interpretation he is as uncritical as other orthodox commentators, but he took the most profound thoughts of the old literature and boldly constructed with them a great edifice of speculation. Since his time the Vedânta has been regarded as the principal philosophy of India-a position which it does not seem to have held before—and his interpretation of it, though often contested and not suited to popular religion, still commands the respect and to some extent the adherence of most educated Hindus.

In practical religion he clearly felt, as every Indian reformer still must feel, the want of discipline and a common standard, Though the Buddhism of his day had ceased to satisfy the needs of India, he saw that its strength lay in its morality, its relative freedom from superstation and its ecclesiastical organization. Accordingly he denounced extravagant sects and forbade such practices as branding. He also instituted an order of ascetics2. In doing this he was not only trying to obtain for Hinduism the disciplinary advantages of the Buddhist church but also to break through the rule prescribing that a Brahman must first be a householder and only late in life devote himself entirely to religion This rule did the Brahmans good service in insuring the continuity and respectability of their class but it tended to drive enthusiasts to other creeds

It does not seem that any sect can plausibly claim Sankara as founder or adherent. His real religion was Vedântism and this, though not incompatible with sectarian worship, is predisposed to be impartial The legend says that when summoned to his mother's deathbed, he spoke to her first of the Vedanta philosophy But she bade him give her some consolation which she could understand So he recited a hymn to Siva, but when the attendants of that god appeared she was frightened. Sankara then recited a hymn to Vishnu and when his gentler messengers came to her bedside, she gave her son her blessing and allowed them to take her willing soul

This story implies that he was ready to sanction any form of reputable worship with a slight bias towards Vishnuism3. At the present day the Smartas, who consider themselves his followers, have a preference for the worship of Siva. But the basis of their faith is not Sivaism but the recognition of the

<sup>1</sup> His conflicts with them are described in works called Sankars-vijays of which at least four are extant

<sup>2</sup> They are called Daśanāmıs which merely means that each ascetic bears one or other of ten surnames (Sarswatt, Bharatt, Tirtha, etc.) See for a further account of them Jogendra Nath Bhattacharya, Hindu Castes and Secis, pp 374-379

The order in all its branches seems to have strong pantheistic inclinations. They

mutter the formula Sivo'ham, I am Siva

<sup>2</sup> I have been told by south Indian Pandite that they think Sankara was born in a Bhagavata family and that there is some evidence his kinsmen were trustees of a temple of Krishna The Saktas also claim him, but the tradition that he opposed the Saktas is strong and probable. Many hymns addressed to Vishnu, Siva and various forms of Durga are attributed to him. I have not been able to discover what is the external evidence for their authenticity but hymns must have been popular in south India before the time of Sankara and it is emmently probable that he did not neglect this important branch of composition

great body of Indian traditions known as Smriti. And that, noxt to Vedantism, was the essence of Sankara's teaching he wished to regard tradition as a coherent whole, based on the eternal Veda but including authoritative Smriti to be interpreted in the light of the Veda, and thus he hoped to correct extravagant and partial views and to lead to those heights whence it is seen that all is one, "without difference"

The results of Sankara's labours may still be seen in the organization of southern Hinduism which is more complete than in the north. It is even said that the head of the Sringeri monastery in Mysore exercises an authority over Smarta Brahmans similar to that of the Pope<sup>1</sup> This is probably an exaggeration but his decision is accepted as settling caste disputes, and even to-day the Sringeri math 11s one of the most important religious institutions in India The abbot, who is known as Jagadguru, is head of the Smarta Brahmans The present occupant is said to be thirty-third in succession from Sankara and numbers among his predecessors Sayanacarya, the celebrated Vedic commentator who lived in the fourteenth century. The continued prosperity of this establishment and of other religious corporations in the Dravidian country, whereas the Mohammedans destroyed all monasteries whether Hindu or Buddhist in the north, is one of the reasons for certain differences in northern and southern Hinduism For instance in northern India any Brahman, whatever his avocation may be, is allowed to perform religious ceremonies, whereas in the Deccan and south India Brahmans are divided into Laukikas or secular and Bhikshus or religious The latter are householders, the name having lost its monastic sense, but they have the exclusive right of officiating and acting as Gurus and thus form a married clergy.

<sup>2</sup> See Bhattacharya, Hundu Castes and Sects, p 16

. 2 This math has an endowment of about £5000 a year, instituted by the kings of Vijayanagar The Guru is treated with great respect. His palankin is carned crossways to prevent anyone from passing him and he wears a jowelled head dress, not unlike a papal tiara, and wooden shoes covered with silver See an interesting account of Sanger in J. Mythic Society (Bangalore), vol vin. pp 18-33

Schrader in his catalogue of the Sanskrit MSS in the Adyar Labrary, 1908, notices an Upsnishad called Mahamayopanishad, asombed to Sankara himself, which deals with the special qualities of the four maths. Each is described as possessing one Veda, one Mahavakyam, etc The second part deals with the three ideal maths, Sumeru, Paramatman and Sastrathaynana

ţ

It is possible that the influence of Sankara may have had a puritanical side which partly accounts for the degeneration of later Indian art. His higher teaching inculcated a spiritual creed which needed no shrines, while for those who required rites he recommended the old Brahmanic ritual rather than the modern temple cultus. The result of this may have been that piety and learning were diverted from art, so that architecture and sculpture ceased to be in touch with the best religious intelligence.

The debt of Sankara to Buddhism is an interesting question. He indited polemics against it and contributed materially to its downfall, but yet if the success of creeds is to be measured by the permanence of ideas, there is some reason for thinking that the vanquished led the conqueror captive. Sankara's approval both in theory and in practice of the monastic life is Buddhistic rather than Brahmanical1. The doctrines of Maya and the distinction between higher and lower truth, which are of cardinal importance in his philosophy, receive only dubious support from the Upanishads and from Badarayana, but are practically identical with the teachings of the Madhyamika School of Buddhism and it was towards this line of thought rather than towards the theism of the Pâśupatas or Bhâgavatas that he was drawn The affinity was recognized in India, for Sankara and his school were stigmatized by their opponents as Buddhists in disguise2.

2

The reader will perhaps have noticed that up to the career of Sankara we have been concerned exclusively with northern India, and even Sankara, though a native of the south, lived much in the north and it was the traditional sacred lore of the north which he desired to establish as orthodoxy. Not only the older literature, Brahmanic as well as Buddhist, but most of the Puranas ignore the great stretch of Dravidian country which forms the southern portion of the peninsula and if the Ramayana sings of Rama's bridge and the conquest of Lanka this is clearly an excursion into the realms of fancy. Yet the Dravidian dis-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> There is some reason to suppose that the Math of Singers was founded on the site of a Buddhist monastery See Journal of Mythic Society, Bangalore, 1916,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Pracchanna-bauddha See for further details Book IV chap. XXI ad fin.

tricts are ample in extent, their monuments are remarkable, their languages are cultivated, and Tamil literature possesses considerable interest, antiquity and originality. Unfortunately in dealing with these countries we experience in an unusually acute form the difficulties which beset every attempt to trace the history of ideas in India, namely, the absence of chronology. Before 1000 A.D. materials for a counected history are hardly accessible. There are, however, many inscriptions and a mass of literature (itself of disputable date) containing historical allusions, and from these may be put together not so much a skeleton or framework as pictures of ancient life and thought

which may be arranged in a plausible order.

It may be said that where everything is so vague, it would be better to dismiss the whole subject of southern India and its religion, pending the acquisition of more certain information, and this is what many writers have done. But such wide regions, so many centuries, such important phases of literature and thought are involved, that it is better to run the risk of presenting them in false sequence than to ignore them. Briefly it may be regarded as certain that in the early centuries of our era Buddhism, Jamesm and Brahmanism all flourished in Dravidian lands The first two gradually decayed and made way for the last, although Jamesm remained powerful until the tenth century. At a fairly early date there were influential Sivaite and Vishnuite sects, each with a devotional literature in the vernacular Somewhat later this literature takes a more philosophic and ecclesiastical tinge and both sects produce a succession of teachers Tamil Siveism, though important for the south, has not spread much beyond its own province, but the Vishnuism associated with such eminent names as Rāmānuja and Rāmānand has influenced all India, and the latter teacher is the spiritual ancestor of the Kabirpanthis, Sikhs and various unorthodox sects. Political circumstances too tended to increase the importance of the south in religion, for when nearly all the north was in Moslim hands the kingdom of Vijayanagar was for more than two centuries (c. 1330-1565) the bulwark of Hinduism. But in filling up this outline the possibilities of error must be remembered The poems of Manikka-Vaçagar have such mdividuality of thought and style that one would suppose them to mark a conspicuous religious movement Yet some authorities

refer them to the third century and others to the eleventh, nor has any standard been formulated for distinguishing earlier and later varieties of Tamil

I have already mentioned the view that the worship of Siva and the Linga is Dravidian in origin and borrowed by the Aryans There is no proof that this worship had its first home in the south and spread northwards, for the Vedic and epic literature provides a sufficient pedigree for Siva But this deity always collected round himself attributes and epithets which are not those of the Vedio gods but correspond with what we know of non-Aryan Indian mythology It is possible that these un-Aryan cults attained in Dravidian lands fuller and more independent development than in the countries colonized by the Aryans, so that the portrait of Siva, especially as drawn by Tamil writers, does retain the features of some old Dravidian deity, a deity who dances, who sports among men and bewilders them by his puzzling disguises and transformations1 But it is not proved that Siva was the chief god of the early Tamils An ancient poem, the Purra-Porul Venbâ-Mâlai2, which contains hardly any allusions to him mentions as the principal objects of worship the goddess Kottavai (Viotorious) and her son Muruvan Popular legends<sup>8</sup> clearly indicate a former struggle between the old religion and Hinduism ending as usual in the recognition by the Brahmans of the ancient gods in a slightly modified form

We have no records whatever of the introduction of Brahmanism into southern India but it may reasonably be supposed to have made its appearance there several centuries before our era, though in what form or with what strength we cannot say. Tradition credits Agastya and Paraśu-Râma with having established colonies of Brahmans in the south at undated but remote epochs. But whatever colonization occurred was not on a large scale. An inscription found in Mysore states that Mukkanna Kadamba (who probably lived in the third century AD) imported a number of Brahman families from the north,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The old folklore of Bengal gives a picture of Siva, the peasant's god, which is neither Vedic nor Dravidian See Dinesh Chandra Sen, Bengal: Lang and Lat pp 68 ff and 239 ff

<sup>1</sup> JRAS 1899, p 242

See some currous examples in Whitehead's Village Gods of South India
 Rice, Mysorc and Goorg from the Inscriptions, pp 27 and 204.

because he could find none in the south. Though this language may be exaggerated, it is evidence that Brahmans cannot have been numerous at that time and it is probable that Buddhism and Jainism were better represented Three of Asoka's inscriptions have been found in Mysore and in his last edict describing his missionary efforts he includes "the kings of the Pandyas and Colas in the south" among the conquests of Buddhism Mahinda founded a monastery in the Tanjore district and probably established Buddhism at various points of the Tamil country on his way to Ceylon. There is therefore no reason to be doubtful of Buddhist activity, literary or other, if evidence for it is forthcoming. Hsuan Chuang in 640 a D deplores the decay of Buddhism and speaks of the ruins of many old monasteries.

According to Jain tradition, which some think is supported by inscriptions at Sravans-Belgola<sup>2</sup>, Bhadrabāhu accompanied by Candra Gupta (identified with the Maurya king of that name) lcd a migration of Jains from the north to Mysore about 300 B o The authenticity of this tradition has been much criticized but it can hardly be disputed that Jainism came to southern India about the same time as Buddhism and had there an equally

vigorous and even longer existence

Most Tamil scholars are agreed in referring the oldest Tamil literature to the first three centuries of our era and I see nothing improbable in this. We know that Asoka introduced Buddhism into south India. About the time of the Christian era there are many indications that it was a civilized country which maintained commercial relations with Rome and it is reasonable to suppose that it had a literature. According to native tradition there were three successive Sanghams, or Academies, at Madura. The two earlier appear to be mythical, but the third has some historical basis, although it is probable that poems belonging to several centuries have been associated with it. Among those which have been plausibly referred to the second century AD are the two narrative poems Silappadhikaram and Mani-

E See Rice, Mysore and Coorg, pp 3-5 and Fleet's enticisms, I.A XXI 1892,

<sup>1</sup> The early Brahmi inscriptions of southern India are said to be written in a Dravidian language with an admixture not of Sanskrit but of Pah words. See Arch Surrey India, 1911-12, Part 1 p 23

p 287
3 The various notices in European classical authors as well as in the Smhalese chronicles prove this

mêkhalai as well as the celebrated collection of didactic verses known as the Kural. The first two poems, especially the Manimêkhalai, are Buddhist in tone The Kural is ethical rather than religious, it hardly mentions the deity1, shows no interest in Brahmanic philosophy or ritual and extols a householder's life above an ascetic's 'The Naladiyar is an anthology of somewhat similar Jain poems which as a collection is said to date from the eighth century, though verses in it may be older. This Jain and Buddhist literature does not appear to have attained any religious importance or to have been regarded as even quasicanonical, but the Dravidian Hindus produced two large collections of sacred works, one Sivaite the other Vishnuite, which in popular esteem rival the sanctity of the Vedas. Both consist of hymns, attributed to a succession of saints and still sung in the temple worship, and in both sects the saints are followed by a series of teachers and philosophers. We will take the Sivaites first.

3

Their collection of hymns is known as Tirumurai, and was compiled by Nambi-Andar-Nambi said to have lived under King Råjaråja (c 1000 A D ). The first portion of it, known as Devaram, contains the hymns of Sambandha, Appar and Sundara. These persons are the most eminent of the sixty-three saints2 of the southern Sivaites and are credited with many miracles. Tamil scholars' consider that Sambandha cannot have lived later than the beginning of the seventh century. He was an adversary of the Jains and Appar is said to have been persecuted by the Buddhists. Of the other works comprised in the Tirumurai the most important is the Tiruvaçagam of Manikka-Vaçagar4, one of the finest devotional poems which India can show. It is not, like the Bhagavad-gita, an exposition by the deity, but an outpouring of the soul to the deity. It only incidentally explains the poet's views: its main purpose is to tell of his emotions, experiences and aspirations. This character-

<sup>2</sup> Except in the first chapter.

A complete list of them is given in Foulkes, Catechism of the Shaira religion, 1863, p 21.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Tamihan Antiquary, 3, 1909, pp 1-65 <sup>a</sup> Edited and translated by Pope, 1900

istic seems not to be personal but to mark the whole school of Tamil Saiva writers

This school, which is often called the Siddhantal, though perhaps that term is better restricted to later philosophical writers, is clearly akin to the Pasupata but alike in thought. sentiment and ritual far more refined. It is in fact one of the most powerful and interesting forms which Hinduism has assumed and it has even attracted the sympathetic interest of Christians The fervour of its utterances, the appeals to God as a loving father, seem due to the temperament of the Tamils, since such sentiments do not find so clear an expression m other parts of India But still the whole system, though heated in the furnace of Dravidian emotion, has not been recast in a new mould Its dogmas are those common to Sivaism in other parts and it accepts as its ultimate authority the twenty-eight Saiva Agamas This however does not detract from the beauty of the special note and tone which sound in its Tamil hymns and prayers

Whatever the teaching of the little known Agamas may be, the Saiva-Siddhanta is closely allied to the Yoga and theistic forms of the Sankhya It accepts the three ultimates, Pata the Lord. Pasu his flock or souls, and Pasa the fetter or matter. So high is the first of these three entities exalted, so earnestly supplicated, that he seems to attain a position like that of Allah in Mohammedanism, as Creator and Disposer But in spite of occasional phrases, the view of the Yoga that all three-God, souls and matter-are eternal is maintained2 Between the world periods there are pauses of quiescence and at the end of these Siva evolves the universe and souls That he may act in them he also evolves from himself his energy or Paracatti (Sk Sakta) But this does not prevent the god himself in a personal and often visible form from being for his devotees the one central and hving reality The Saktı, often called Umâ, is merely Siva's reflex and hardly an independent existence

<sup>2</sup> Established opinion or doctrino Used by the Jams as a name for their canon

<sup>2</sup> Thus the catechism of the Sawa religion by Sabhapati Mudahyar (transl Foulkes, 1863) after stating emphatically that the world is created also says that the soul and the world are both eternal Also just as m the Bhagavad gata the ideas of the Vedanta and Sankhya are incongruously combined, so in the Truvaçagam (e g Pope's edition, pp 49 and 138) Siva is occasionally pantheized Ho is the body and the soul existence and non existence, the false and the true, the bond and the release

The remarkable feature of this religion, best seen in the Truvaçagam, is the personal tie which connects the soul with God. In no literature with which I am acquainted has the individual religious life-its struggles and dejection, its hopes and fears, its confidence and its triumph—received a delineation more frank and more profound Despite the strangely exotic colouring of much in the picture, not only its outline but its details strikingly resemble the records of devout Christian lives in Europe Siva is addressed not only as Lord but as Father. He loves and desires human souls. "Hard though it is for Brahmâ and Vishnu to reach thee, yet thou did'st desire me" What the soul desires is deliverance from matter and life with Sive and this he grants by bestowing grace (Arul), "With mother love he came in grace and made me his"; "O thou who art to thy true servants true"; "To thee, O Father, may I attain, may I yet dwell with thee." Sometimes the poet feels that his sins have shut him off from communion with God. He hes "like a worm in the midst of ants, gnawed by the senses and troubled sore" ejaculating in utter misery "Thou hast forsaken me." But more often he seems on the point of expressing a thought commoner in Christianity than in Indian religion, namely that the troubles of this life are only a preparation for future beatitude. The idea that matter and suffering are not altogether evil is found in the later Sankhya where Prakriti (which in some respects corresponds to Sakti) is represented as a generous female power working in the interests of the soul.

Among the many beauties of the Tiruvâçagam is one which reminds us of the works of St Francis and other Christian poetry, namely the love of nature and animals, especially birds and insects. There are constant allusions to plants and flowers; the refrain of one poem calls on a dragon fly to sing the praises of God and another bids the bird known as Kuyil call him to come. In another ode the poet says he looks for the grace of God like a patient heron watching night and day.

The first perusal of these poems impresses on the reader their resemblance to Christian literature. They seem to be a tropical version of Hymns Ancient and Modern and to ascribe to the deity and his worshippers precisely those sentiments

which missionaries tell us are wanting among pagans-fatherly love, yearning devotion and the bliss of assured salvation It is not surprising if many have seen in this tone the result of Christian influence Yet I do not think that the hypothesis is probable. For striking as is the likeness the contrast is often equally striking The deity described in words which almost literally render "Sun of my soul, Thou Saviour dear" is also the spouse of Uma with the white breasts and curled locks, he dances in the halls of Tillar, and the line "Bid thou in grace my fears begone" is followed by two others indicated by dots as being "not translateable" Nor can we say that emotional religion here uses the language of a mythology which it has outgrown. The emotion itself while charged with the love of god, the sense of sin and contrition, has in it another strain which jars on Europeans Siva sports with the world and his worshippers treat him with an affectionate intimacy which may be paralleled in the religion of Krishna but hardly in Christianity2 Thus several hymns have reference to a game, such as tossing about a ball (hymn vii), battledore and shuttlecock (xiv) or some form of wrestling in which the opponents place their hands on each other's shoulders (xv). The worshipper can even scold the deity "If thou forsake me, I will make people smile at thee I shall abuse thee sore madman clad in elephant skin madman that ate the noison madman, who chose even me as thy own3 "

Again, though in part the tone of these poems is Christian, yet they contain little that suggests Christian doctrine There is nothing about redemption or a suffering god<sup>4</sup>, and many ideas common to Christianity and Hinduish—such as the incarnation<sup>5</sup>, the Trinity, and the divine child and his mother—are absent It is possible that in some of the later works of the Sittars

<sup>1</sup> Pope's Turuvaçagam, p 257

Pope's Teruvaçagam, p 101

It does not seem to me that the legend of Siva's drinking the hala-hala poison is really parallel to the sufferings of the Christian redeemer. At the most it is a benevolent exploit like many performed by Vishnu

Although Sive is said to have been many times incarnate (see for instance Catechiem of the Shawa religion, p 20) he seems to have merely appeared in human form on special occasions and not to have been like Christ or Krishpa a god living as a man from birth to death.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Yet I have read that American revivalists describe how you play base ball (an American game) with Jesus

Christian influence may have supervened but most of this Tamil poetry is explicable as the development of the ideas expressed in the Bhagavad-gîtâ and the Svetâśvatara Upanishad Chronologically Christian influence is not impossible and there is a tradition that Manikka-Vaçagar reconverted to Hindusm some natives of Malabar who had become Christians<sup>2</sup> but the uncertainty of his date makes it hard to fix his place in the history of doctrine Recent Hindu scholars are disposed to assign him to the second or third century3. In support of this, it is plausibly urged that he was an active adversary of the Buddhists, that tradition is unanimous in regarding him as earlier than the writers of the Devåram4 who make references (not however indisputable) to his poem, and that Perisiriyar, who commented on it. lived about 700 AD. I confess that the tone and sentiments of the poem seem to me what one would expect in the eleventh rather than in the third century it has something of the same emotional quality as the Gîtâ-govinda and the Bhagavata-purana, though it differs from them in doctrine and in its more masculine devotion But the Dravidians are not of the same race as the northern Hindus and since this ecstatic monothersm is clearly characteristic of their literature. it may have made its appearance in the south earlier than elsewhere

The Trruvaçagam is not unorthodox but it deals direct with God and is somewhat heedless of priests. This feature becomes more noticeable in other authors such as Pattanattu Pillai, Kapilar and the Telugu poet Vēmana. The first named appears to have lived in the tenth century. The other two are legendary figures to whom anthologies of popular gnomic verses are ascribed and some of those attributed to Kapilar are probably ancient. In all this poetry there rings out a note of almost defiant monotheism, iconoclasm and antisacerdotalism. It may

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The lines which seem most clearly to reflect Christian influence are those quoted by Caldwell from the Nana nuru in the introduction to his Comparative Grammar of the Drandon languages, p. 127, but neither the date of the work nor the original of the quotation is given. This part of the introduction is omitted in the third edition.

<sup>2</sup> Tamilian Antiquary, 4, 1909, pp 57-82

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ib pp 1-57, Sesha Aiyar gives 275 AD. as the probable date, and 375 as the latest date

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The Saiva catrchism translated by Foulkes says (p 27) that Siva revealed the Tiruvaçagam twice, first to Manikka-Vaçagar and later to Tiru-Kovaiyar

be partly explained by the fact that in the south Brahmanism was preceded, or at least from early times accompanied, by Buddhism and Jamism These creeds did not make a conquest. for the Dravidian temperament obviously needed a god who could receive and reward passionate devotion, but they cleared the air and spread such ideas as the superiority of good deeds to rates and the uselessness of priests Even now verses expressing these thoughts are popular in the Madras Presidency, but the sect which produced them, known as the Sittars1, is entirely extenct Caldwell attributes its literature to the seventeenth century, but the evidence available is small and it is clear that this theistic anti-brahmanic school had a long life As in other cases, the Brahmans did not suppress so much as adapt it. The collection which goes by the name of Siva-vakyam contains poems of different ages and styles. Some are orthodox, others have no trace of Brahmanism except the use of Siva as the name of the derty Yet it would seem that the anthology as a whole has not fallen under sacerdotal censure2

The important sect of the Lingayats should perhaps be regarded as an offshoot of this anti-brahmanic school, but before describing it, it may be well briefly to review the history of

orthodox Sivaism in the south

By this phrase is not meant the sect or school which had the support of Sankara but that which developed out of the poems mentioned above without parting company with Brahmanism Sankara disapproved of their doctrine that the Lord is the efficient cause of the world, nor would the substitution of vernacular for Sanskrit literature and temple ceremones for Vedic sacrifices have found favour with him But these were evidently strong tendencies in popular religion An important portion of the Devåram and the Kanda Purana of Kachyappar, a Tamil adaptation of the Skanda Purana, were probably written between 600 and 750 AD & About 1000 AD the Tirumurai (including the Devaram) was arranged as a collection in eleven parts, and about a century later Sekkilar composed the Periya Purana, a poetical hagiology, giving the legends of

The date of the Skanda Purana creates no difficulty for Bendall considered a Ms of it found in Nepal to be antener to 659 A D

<sup>1</sup> Sanskrit, Siddha

Space forbids me to quote the Sive-vakyam and Pattanattu Pilis, interesting as they are The reader is referred to Gover, Folk-Songs of southern India, 1871, a work which is well worth reading

Sivaite saints and shrines Many important temples were dedicated to Siva during the eleventh and twelfth centuries

There followed a period of scholasticism in which the body of doctrine called the Saiva Sıddhanta was elaborated by four Acâryas, namely Mey-Kanda-Devar¹ (1223), Arunandi, Maraiñâna-Sambandhar and Umâpatı (1313) It will thus be seen that the foundation of Sivarte philosophy in Tamil is later than Râmânuja and the first Vishnuite movements, and perhaps it was influenced by them but the methodical exposition of the Saiva-Siddhantama does not differ materially from the more poetic utterances of the Tiruvaçagam It recognizes the three entities, the Lord, the soul and matter as separate, but it shows a tendency (doubtless due to the influence of the Vedânta) both to explain away the existence of matter and to identify the soul with the Lord more closely than its original formulæ allow. Matter is described as Mâyâ and is potentially contained in the Lord who manifests it in the creative process which begins each kalpa. The Lord is also said to be one with our souls and yet other The soul is by nature ignorant, in bondage to the illusion of Mava and of Karma, but by the grace of the Lord it attains to union (not identity) with him, in which it sees that its actions are his actions

In modern times Saiva theology is represented among Dravidians by the works of Sivañânar (1785) and his disciple Kachiyappar: also by the poems of Râma-linga Sivaism in Madras and other parts of southern India is still a vigorous and progressive Church which does not neglect European methods. Its principal organ is an interesting magazine called Siddhanta-Dipika or the Light of Truth In northern India the Sivaites are less distinct as a body and have less organization, but temples to Siva are numerous and perhaps the majority of Brahmans and ascetics regard him as their special deity and read Sivaite rather than Vishnuite texts. But it is probably also true that they are not sectarian in the same sense as the worshippers of Krishna.

It is not easy to estimate the relative numbers of Sivaites and Vishnuites in south India, and good authorities hold

<sup>2</sup> It is contained in fourteen fastras, most of which are attributed to the four teachers mentioned above

One of his maxims was adv adv ddal, that is the mind becomes that (spiritual or material) with which it identifies itself most completely.

opposite views. The Sivaites are more united than the Vishnuites (whose many divisions and conspicuous sectarian marks attract attention) and are found ohiefly among the upper classes and among ascetics, but perhaps there is much truth in an opinion which I once heard expressed by a Tamil Brahman, that the real division is not between the worshippers of Siva and of Vishnu, but between Smartas, those who follow more or less strictly the ancient ritual observances and those who seek for salvation by devotion and in practice neglect the Sanskrit scriptures. There is little hostility The worship of both gods is sometimes performed in the same building as at Chidambaram or in neighbouring shrines, as at Srirangam In south Kanara and Travancore it is generally held that the two deities are of equal greatness and in many places are found images representing them united in one figure But the great temples at Madura, Tinnevelly and Tanjore are all dedicated to Siva or members of his family If in the philosophical literature of the Siddhanta the purity of the theism taught is noticeable, in these buildings it is rather the rich symbolism surrounding the god which attracts attention. In his company are worshipped Parvatî, Ganeśa, Subrahmanya, the bull Nandı and minor attendants he is shown leaping in the ecstacy of the dance and on temple walls are often depicted his sixty-four sports or miracles (lila). For the imagination of the Dravidians he is a great rhythmic force, throbbing and exulting in all the works of nature and exhibiting in kindly playfulness a thousand antics and a thousand shapes.

#### 4

Another school of Sivaite philosophy flourished in Kashmir<sup>1</sup> from the ninth century onwards and is not yet extinct among Pandits. It bases itself on the Âgamas and includes among them the still extant Siva-sûtras said to have been discovered as revelation by Vasugupta. He lived about 800 A.D. and abandoned Buddhism for Sivaism The school produced a dis-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For the Kashmir school see Barnett in Muséon, 1909, pp 271-277 J.R.A.S. 1910, pp 707-747 Kashmir Sanskrit series, particularly vol in entitled Kashmir Sawism The Siva sitras and the commentary Vimar'smi translated in Indian Thought, 1911-12 Also Srimvasa Lyengar, Outlines of Indian Philasophy, pp 168-175 and Sarva-darkana sangraha, chap. VIII

tanguished line of literary men who flourished from the ninth to the eleventh centuries1.

The most recent authorities state that the Kashmir school is one and that there is no real opposition between the Spanda and Pratyabhijña sections2. The word Spanda, equivalent to the godhead and ultimate reality, is interesting for it means vibration accompanied by consciousness or, so to speak, selfconscious ether. The term Pratyabhijña or recognition is more frequent in the later writings. Its meaning is as follows. Siva is the only reality and the soul is Siva, but Mâvâ3 forces on the soul a continuous stream of sensations By the practice of meditation it is possible to interrupt the stream and in those moments light illuminates the darkness of the soul and it recognizes that it is Siva, which it had forgotten Also the world is wholly unreal apart from Siva. It exists by his will and in his mind. What seems to the soul to be cognition is really recognition, for the soul (which is identical with the divine mind but blinded and obstructed) recognizes that which exists only in the divine mind.

It has been held that Kashmirian Sivaism is the parent of the Dravidian Saiva Siddhanta and spread from Kashmir southwards by way of Kalyan in the eleventh century, and this hypothesis certainly receives support from the mention of Kashmiri Brahmans in south Indian inscriptions of the fourteenth century4. Yet I doubt if it is necessary to assume that south Indian Šivaism was de ived from Kashmir, for the worship of Siva must have been general long before the eleventh century and Kashmiri Brahmans, far from introducing Sivaism to the south, are more likely to have gone thither because they were sure of a good reception, whereas they were exposed to Moslim

<sup>2</sup> Kashmırıan Saıvısın 18 often called Trika, that 18 tripartite, because, like other varieties, it treats of three ultimates Siva, Sakti, Anu or Pati, Pasu, Pasu But it has a decided tendency towards monism

Among them may be mentioned Kallats, author of the Spanda Karilds and Somananda of the Sivadrishti, who both flourished about 850-900 Utpala, who composed the Pratyablujaa karıkas, lived some fifty years later, and in the eleventh century Abhinava Gupta and Kahemaraja composed numerous commentaries

<sup>3</sup> Also called the Saktı or Matrika.

<sup>4</sup> See Epig Carn vn Sk. 114 19, 20 and Jour Mythic Society, 1917, pp 176, 180 To say nothing of Sivarte temples like the Karlas at Ellora, the chief doctrines and even the terminology of Sivarte philosophy are mentioned by Sankara on Ved Sutras, 11. 2 37.

persecution in their own country. Also the forms which Sivaism assumed in these two outlying provinces present differences in Kashmir it was chiefly philosophic, in the Dravidian countries chiefly religious. In the south it calls on God to help the sinner out of the mire, whereas the school of Kashmir, especially in its later developments, resembles the doctrine of Sankara,

though its terminology is its own.

Before the advent of Islam, Kashmir was a secluded but cultured land. Its pleasant climate and beautiful scenery, said to have been praised by Gotama himself, attracted and stimulated thinkers and it had some importance in the history of Buddhism and of the Pancaratra as well as for Sivaism. It is connected with the Buddhist sect called Sarvastivadins and m this case the circumstances seem clear. The sect did not originate in Kashmir but its adherents settled there after attending the Council of Kanishka and made it into a holy land Subsequently, first Vishnuism and then Sıvaısm<sup>2</sup> entered the mountain valleys and flourished there Kashmirian thinkers may have left an individual impress on either system but they dealt with questions which had already been treated of by others and their contributions, though interesting, do not seem to have touched the foundations of belief or to have inspired popular movements. The essential similarity of all Sivaite schools is so great that coincidences even in details do not prove descent or borrowing and the special terms of Kashmirian philosophy, such as spanda and pratyabhyña, seem not to be used in the south.

The Siva-satras consist of three sections, describing three methods of attaining svacchanda or independence. One (the gist of which has been given above) displays some though not great originality the second is Saktist, the third follows the ordinary prescriptions of the Yoga. All Sivaite philosophy is really based on this last and teaches the existence of matter, souls and a deity, manifested in a series of phases. The relations of these three ultimates are variously defined, and they may be identified with one another, for the Sankhya-Yoga doctrine may be com-

seaung a rengious into a Chattern, Kashmir Śairrem, p 11, thinks that Abhinava Gupta's Paramárika sára, published by Barnett, was an adaptation of older verses current in India and called the Âdhârs Kârikâs

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In the Samyuktavastu, chap XL (transl m JA 1914,  $\mu$  pp 534, etc.) the Buddha is represented as saying that Kashmir is the best land for meditation and leading a religious life

bined (though not very consistently) with the teaching of the Vedânta. In Kashmirian Sivaism Vedântist influences seem strong and it even calls itself Advaita. It is noteworthy that Vasugupta, who discovered the Siva-sûtras, also wrote a com-

mentary on the Bhagavad-gîtâ.

The gist of the matter is that, since a taste for speculation is far more prevalent in India than in Europe, there exist many systems of popular philosophy which, being a mixture of religion and metaphysics, involve two mental attitudes. The ordinary worshipper implores the Lord to deliver him from the bondage of sin and matter the philosopher and saint wish to show that thought is one and such ideas as sin and matter partial and illusory. The originality of the Saiva Siddhanta hes less in its dogmas than in its devotional character in the feeling that the soul is immersed in darkness and struggles upwards by the grace of the Lord, so that the whole process of Karma and Mâyâ is really beneficent.

5

As already mentioned Sivaism has an important though unorthodox offshoot in the Lingâyats¹ or Lingavants. It appears that they originated at Kalyan (now in the Nizam's dominions) at the time when a usurper named Bijjala (1156–1167) had seized the throne of the Chalukyas. Their founder was Basava (the vernacular form of Vrishabha) assisted by his nephew Channabasava², whose exploits and miracles are recorded in two Purâṇas composed in Kanarese and bearing their respective names. According to one story Bijjala, who was a Jain, persecuted the Lingâyats and was assassinated by them. But there are other versions and the early legends of the sect merit little credence. The Lingâyats are Puritans. They reject caste, the supremacy of the Brahmans, sacrifices and other rites, and all the later Brahmanic literature. In theory they reverence the Vedas but practically the two Purâṇas mentioned are their

1 See Thurston, Castes and Tribes of southern India, s.v. vol. IV. pp 236-291 and Gazetteer of the Bombay Prendency, vol. xxiii article Bijapur, pp 219-1884

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> An inscription found at Ablur in Dharwar also mentions Ramayys as a champion of Sivaite monotheism. He is perhaps the same as Champabasaya. The Lingayats maintain that Basava merely revived the old true religion of Siva and founded nothing new.

sacred books¹ They are strict vegetarians and teetotallers: they do not insist on child marriages nor object to the remarriage of widows Their only object of worship is Siva in the form of a lingam and they always carry one suspended round the neck or arm. It is remarkable that an exceptionally severe and puritanical sect should choose this emblem as its object of worship, but, as already observed, the lingam is merely a symbol of the creative force and its worship is not accomplished by indecent rites². They hold that true Lingayats are not liable to be defiled by births or deaths, that they cannot be injured by sorcery and that when they die their souls do not transmigrate but go straight to Siva. No prayers for the dead are needed.

OH.

Though trustworthy details about the rise of the Lingâyats are scarce, we can trace their spiritual ancestry. They present in an organized form the creed which inspired Pattanattu Pillai in the tenth century. About a hundred years later came Râmânuja who founded a great Vishnuite Church and it is not surprising if the Sivaites followed this example, nor if the least orthodox party became the most definitely sectarian

The sectarian impulse which is conspicuous after the eleventh century was perhaps stimulated by the example of Mohammedanism. There was little direct doctrinal influence, but a religious people like the Hindus can hardly have failed to notice the strength possessed by an association worshipping one god of its own and united by one discipline. Syrian Christianity also might have helped to familiarize the Lingayats with the idea of a god not to be represented by images or propinated by sacrifices, but there is no proof that it was prevalent in the part of the Deccan where they first appeared.

The Lingsyats spread rapidly after Basava's death<sup>3</sup>. They still number about two millions and are to be found in most Karanese-speaking districts. They are easily recognizable for all carry the lingam, which is commonly enclosed in a red scarf

I They have also a book called *Probleming hila*, which is said to teach that the deity ought to live in the believer's soul as he lives in the lingam, and collections of early Kanarces sermons which are said to date from the thirteenth century

The use of the Langa by this sect supports the view that even in its origin the symbol is not exclusively phallic

Their creed is said to have been the state religion of the Wodeyars of Mysore (1399-1600) and of the Nayaks of Keladi, Ikken or Bednur (1550-1763)

worn round the neck or among the richer classes in a silver-box. It is made of grey scapstone and a Lingâyat must on no account part with it for a moment They are divided into the larty and the Jangams or priests. Some of these marry but others are itinerant ascetics who wander over India frequenting especially the five Simhâsanas or Lingâyat sees<sup>1</sup>. They are treated with extreme respect by the laity and sometimes wear fantastic costumes such as plates resembling armour or little bells which announce their approach as they walk.

In doctrine the Lingâyats remain faithful to their original tenets and do not worship any god or goddess except Siva in the form of the Lingam, though they show respect to Ganeśa, and other derties as also to the founder of their sect. But in social matters it is agreed by all observers that they show a tendency to reintroduce caste and to minimize the differences separating them from more orthodox sects. According to Basava's teaching all members of the community both men and women are equal. But though converts from all castes are still accepted, it was found at the last census that well-to-do Lingâyats were anxious to be entered under the name of Vîraśaiva Brahmans, Kshatriyas, etc., and did not admit that caste distinctions are obliterated among them. Similarly though the remarriage of widows is not forbidden there is a growing tendency to look at it askance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> At Kadur, Ujjeni, Benares, Śrisailam and Kedarnāth in the Himalayas In every Lingāyat village there is a monastery affiliated to one of these five establishments. The great importance attached to monastic institutions is perhaps due to Jain influence.

## CHAPTER XXIX

# VISHNUISM IN SOUTH INDIA

1

Though Sivaism can boast of an imposing array of temples, teachers and scriptures in the north as well as in the south, yet Vishnuism was equally strong and after 1000 AD. perhaps stronger Thus Alberuni writing about north-western India in 1030 AD. mentions Siva and Durgå several times incidentally but devotes separate chapters to Nåråyana and Våsudeva, he quotes copiously from Vishnuite works but not from sectarian Sivaite books. He mentions that the worshippers of Vishnu are called Bhågavatas and he frequently refers to Råma. It is clear that in giving an account of Vishnuism he considered that he had for all practical purposes described the religion of the parts of India which he knew.

In their main outlines the histories of Vishnuism and Sivaism are the same Both faiths first assumed a definite form in northern India, but both flourished exceedingly when transplanted to the south and produced first a school of emotional hymn writers and then in a maturer stage a goodly array of theologians and philosophers as well as offshoots in the form of eccentric sects which broke loose from Brahmanism altogether But Vishnuism having first spread from the north to the south returned from the south to the north in great force, whereas the history of Sivaism shows no such reflux2 Sivaism remained comparatively homogeneous, but Vishnuism gave birth from the eleventh century onwards to a series of sects or Churches still extant and forming exclusive though not mutually hostile associations The chief Churches or Sampradâyas bear the names of Sanakadı, Sri, Brahma and Rudra The first three were founded by Nimbåditya, Råmånuja and Madhva respectively.

<sup>1</sup> Such as the Vishnu Purāna, Vishņu Dharma, said to be a section of the Garuda Purāna and the Bhagavad-gitā

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Hundus are well aware that the doctrine of Bhakti spread from the south to the north. See the allegory quoted in J.R.A.S. 1911, p. 800

The Rudra-sampradâya was rendered celebrated by Vallabha, though he was not its founder.

The behef and practice of all Vishnuite sects alike is a modified monotheism, the worship of the Supreme Being under some such name as Râma or Vâsudeva. But the monotheism is not perfect. On the one hand it passes into pantheism; on the other it is not completely disengaged from mythology and in all sects the consort and attendants of the deity receive great respect, even if this respect is theoretically distinguished from adoration Nearly all sects reject sacrifice in toto and make the basis of salvation emotional-namely devotion to the deity, and as a counterpart to this the chief characteristic of the deity is loving condescension or grace. The theological philosophy of each sect is nearly always, whatever name it may bear, a variety of the system known as Visishtadvaita, or qualified monism. which is not unlike the Sankhya-Yoga1. For Vishnuites as for Sivartes there exist God, the soul and matter, but most sects shrink from regarding them as entirely separate and bridge over the differences with various theories of emanations and successive manifestations of the deity But for practical religion the soul is entangled in matter and, with the help of God, struggles towards union with him. The precise nature and intimacy of this union has given rise to as many subtle theories and phrases as the sacraments in Europe Vishnuite sects in all parts of India show a tendency to recognize vernacular works as their scriptures, but they also attach great importance to the Upanishads, the Bhagavad-gîtâ, the Nârâyanîya and the Vedânta Sûtras Each has a special interpretation of these last which becomes to some extent its motto.

But these books belong to the relatively older literature. Many Vishnuite, or rather Krishnaite, works composed from the eighth century onwards differ from them in tone and give prominence to the god's amorous adventures with the Gopis and (still later) to the personality of Rådhå. This eestatic and sentimental theology, though found in all parts of India, is more prevalent in the north than in the south. Its great textbook is the Bhägavata Purana The same spirit is found in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Thus Râmâuuja says (Śri Bhāshya, n. 2 43) that the Vedânta Sûtras do not refute the Sânkhya and Yoga but merely certam erroneous views as to Brahman not being the self.

Jayadeva's Gîtâ-govinda, apparently composed in Bengal about 1170 AD. and reproducing in a polished form the religious dramas or Yatras in which the life of Krishna is still represented.

2

The sect1 founded by Nimbarka or Nimbaditya has some connection with this poem. Its chief doctrine is known as dvaitadvaitamata, or dualistic non-duality, which is explained as meaning that, though the soul and matter are distinct from God, they are yet as intimately connected with him as waves with water or the coils of a rope with the rope itself This doctrine is referred to in the religious drama called Prabodhacandrodaya, probably composed at the end of the eleventh century. The Nimavats, as the adherents of the sect are called, are four mear Muttra and in Bengal It is noticeable that this sect, which had its origin in northern India, is said to have been persecuted by the Jams2 and to have been subsequently revived by a teacher called Nivasa This may explain why in the twelfth century Vishnuism flourished in the south rather than in the north3 Less is known of the Nimbarkas than of the other sects. They worship Krishna and Rådhå and faith in Krishna is said to be the only way to salvation. Krishna was the deity of the earliest bhakti-sects Then in the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries there was a reaction in favour of Rama as a more spiritual deity, but subsequently Vallabha and Caitanya again made the worship of Krishna popular Nimbarka expressed his views in a short commentary on the Vedanta Sûtras and also in ten verses containing a compendium of doctrine.

2 See Grierson in E R E vol 11 p 457.

4 Called the Daśaśloks It is translated in Bhandarkar's Vasska and Sairtem,

pp 63-5

<sup>1</sup> It has been described as the earliest of the Vishmute Churches and it would be so if we could be sure that the existence of the dectrine called Dvaitadvaita was equivalent to the existence of the scot But Bhandarkar has shown some reason for thinking that Nimbaditya lived after Ramanuja It must be admitted that the worship of Radha and the doctrine of self-surrender or prapatti, both found in the Daźsśloki, are probably late

The Church of the Nimavats is also called Sanakadı sampradâya because it professes to derive its doctrine from Sanaka and his brothren who taught Marada, who taught Nimbarka At least one sub sect founded by Harnames (born 1659) adopts a doctrine analogous to Saktism and werships Radha as the manifestation of Krishna's energy.

3

As among the Sivaites, so among the Vishnuites of the south, history begins with poet-saints. They are called the twelve Arvars<sup>1</sup>. For the three earliest no historical basis has been found, but the later ones seem to be real personalities. The most revered of them is Namm'ârvar also called Sathagopa, whose images and pictures may be seen everywhere in south India and receive the same reverence as figures of the gods<sup>2</sup>. He may have lived in the seventh or eighth century A D <sup>3</sup>

The chronology of the Ârvârs is exceedingly vague but if the praises of Siva were sung by poet-saints in the seventh century, it is probable that the Vishnu worshippers were not behindhand Two circumstances argue a fairly early date. First Nåthamuni is said to have arranged the hymns of the Ârvârs and he probably lived about 1000 a.D. Therefore the Ârvârs must have become classics by this date. Secondly the Bhâgavata Purâna<sup>4</sup> says that in the Kali age the worshippers of Nârâyana will be numerous in the Dravidian country, though in other parts found only here and there, and that those who drink the water of the Kaveri and other southern rivers will mostly be devotees of Vâsudeva. This passage must have been written after a Vishnuite movement had begun in the Dravidian country<sup>5</sup>.

The hymns attributed to the Arvars are commonly known by the name of Prabandham or Nålåyıram and are accepted by the Tengalai Vishnuites as their canonical scriptures. The whole collection contains 4000 verses arranged in four parts<sup>8</sup> and an

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Also spelt Alvar and Azhvar The Tamil pronunciation of this difficult letter varies in different districts. The word apparently means one who is drowned or immersed in the divine love. Cl. Azhi, the deep sea, Azhal, being deep or being immersed.

<sup>3</sup> An educated Vashpava told me at Srirangam that devas and saints receive the same homage

It is possible that the poems attributed to Namm'ärvär and other saints are really later compositions. See Epig Ind. vol. vnr. p. 294.

<sup>4</sup> XI 5 38-40

Bhandarkar (Vassin and Saurem, p 50) thinks it probable that Kulnéekhara, one of the middle Arvars, lived about 1130 But the argument is not couclisive and it seems to me improbable that he lived after Nathamuni

The first called Mudal-Ayrram consists of nine hymns ascribed to various saints such as Penyârvar and Andal. The second and third each consist of a single work the Penya-tiru mon and the Tiru-vay-mon ascribed to Tiru-mangai and

4

He was succeeded by Râmânuja, a great name in Indian theology both as the organizer of a most important sect and. if not the founder1, at least the accepted exponent of the Visishtådvaita philosophy. Råmanuja was born at Srîperumbudur<sup>2</sup> near Madras, where he is still commemorated by a celebrated shrine. As a youth he studied Sivaite philosophy at Conjeevaram but abandoned it for Vishnuism He appears to have been a good administrator. He made the definitive collection of the hymns of the Arvars and is said to have founded 700 maths and 89 hereditary abbotships, for he allowed the members of his order to marry He visited northern India, including Kashmir if tradition may be believed, but his chief residence was Srirangam. Towards the end of the eleventh century however, the hostility of the Chola King Kulottunga, who was an intolerant Sivaite, forced him to retire to Mysore. Here he was protected by King Vittala Deva whom he converted from Jainism and on the death of Kulottunga in 1118 he returned to Srîrangam where he ended his days. In the temple there his tomb and a shrine where his image receives divine honours may still be seen. His best known works is the Sri Bhâshya or commentary on the Vedânta sûtras

The sect which he founded is known as the Srî Sampradâya and its members as the Srî Vaishņavas. As among the Sivaites revelation is often supposed to be made by Siva through Sakti, so here the Lord is said to have revealed the truth to his consort Srî or Lakshmî, she to a demigod called Visvaksena, and he to Namm'ârvâr, from whom Rāmānuja was eighth in spiritual descent. Though the members of the sect are sometimes called Ramaites the personality of Rāma plays a small part in their faith, especially as expounded by Rāmānuja. As names for the deity he uses Nārāyana and Vāsudeva and he quotes freely from

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> He states himself that he followed Boddhâyans, a commentator on the Sûtras of unknown date but anterior to Sankara He quotes several other commentators particularly Dramida, so that his school must have had a long line of teachers.

See Gazetteer of India, vol XXIII s v There is a Kanarese account of his hie called Dibya caritra For his hie and teaching see also Bhandarkar in Benchte VIII. Int Orient Congress, 1886, pp 101 ff Lives in English have been published at Madras by Alkondaville Govindacarya (1906) and Krishnaswami Alyengar (? 1909).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> He also wrote the Vedårtha Sangraha, Vedårtha Pradipa, Vedånta Såra and a commentary on the Bhawavad-sitä

the Bhagavad-gita and the Vishnu Purana. Compared with the emotional deism of Cartanya this faith seems somewhat philosophic and retreent.

Râmânuja clearly indicates its principal points in the first words of his Sri Bhashya. "May my mind be filled with devotion towards the highest Brahman, the abode of Lakshmi; who is luminously revealed in the Upanishads who in sport produces, sustains and reabsorbs the entire universe whose only aim is to foster the manifold classes of beings that humbly worship him1" He goes on to say that his teaching is that of the Upanishads, "which was obscured by the mutual conflict of manifold opinions," and that he follows the commentary of

Bodhavana and other teachers who have abridged it.

That is to say, the form of Vishnusm which Ramanuja made one of the principal religions of India claims to be the teaching of the Upanishads, although he also affiliates himself to the Bhagavatas. He interprets the part of the Vedanta Sûtras which treats of this sect2 as meaning that the author states and ultimately disallows the objections raised to their teaching and he definitely approves it. "As it is thus settled that the highest Brahman or Narayana himself is the promulgator of the entire Pancaratra and that this system teaches the nature of Narayana and the proper way of worshipping him, none can disestablish the view that in the Pancaratra all the other doctrines are comprised3 "

The true tradition of the Upanishads he contends has been distorted by "manifold opinions." among which the doctrine of Sankara was no doubt the chief That doctrine was naturally distasteful to devotional poets, and from the time of Nåthamuni onwards a philosophic reaction against it grew up in Srîrangam. Ramanuja preaches the worship of a loving God, though when we read that God produces and reabsorbs the universe in sport, we find that we are farther from Christianity than we at first supposed. There is a touch of mythology in the mention of Lakshmi\* but it is clear that Ramanuja himself had little liking for mythology He barely mentions Rama and Krishna in the Sri Bhashya nor does he pay much attention to the consort of

<sup>11. 2 43</sup> ad fin з т 2 36-39. 1 SBE MINI P 3 4 Râmânuje's introduction to the Bhagavad-gîtâ is more ornate but does not go much further in doctrine than the passage here quoted

235

ì

the deity. On the other hand he shows no sign of rejecting the ritual and regulations of the Brahmans. He apparently wished to prove that the doctrine of salvation by devotion to a personal god is compatible with a system as strictly orthodox as Sankara's own.

I shall treat elsewhere of his philosophy, known as the Visishtådvaita or non-duality, which yet recognizes a distinction between God and individual souls. The line of thought is old and at all periods is clearly a compromise, unwilling to deny that God is everything and yet dissatisfied with the idea that a personal deity and our individual transmigrating souls are all merely illusion. Devotional theism was growing in Ramanuja's time. He could not break with the Upanishads and Vedantic tradition but he adapted them to the needs of his day. He taught firstly that the material world and human souls are not illusion but so to speak the body of God who comprises and pervades them: secondly this God is omniscient, omnipresent, almighty and all-merciful, and salvation (that is mukti or deliverance from transmigration) is obtained by those souls who, assisted by his grace, meditate on him and know him, thirdly this salvation consists not in absorption into God but in blissful existence near him and in participation of his glorious qualities. He further held that God exists in five modes, namely (a) Para, the entire supreme spirit, (b) the fourfold manifestation as Vasudeva, Sankarshana. Pradvumna and Aniruddha, (c) incarnations such as Rama and Krishna, (d) the internal controller or Antaryamın according to the text2 "who abiding in the soul rules the soul within," (e) duly consecrated images.

The followers of Ramanuja are at present divided into two schools known as Tengalais and Vadagalais, or southern and northern3. The double residence of the founder is one reason for the division, since both Mysore and Trichmopoly could claim to have personal knowledge of his teaching. The really important difference seems to be that the Tengalai or southern school is inclined to break away from Sanskrit tradition, to ignore the Vedas in practice and to regard the Tamil Nålåyiram as an

This fivefold manifestation of the derty is a characteristic Pâncarâtica doctrine. See Schrader, Int pp 25, 51 and Srt Bhashya, II 242

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Br Ar Up m. 7. The Sri Vaushnavas attach great importance to this

Only relatively northern and southern Neither flourish in what we call northern India

all-sufficient scripture, whereas the Vadagalais, though not rejecting the Nalayiram, insist on the authority of the Vedes. But both divisions are scrupulous about caste observances and the ceremonial purity of their food They are separated by nice questions of doctrine, especially as to the nature of prapatti. resignation or self-surrender to the derty, a sentiment slightly different from bhakts which is active faith or devotion. The northerners hold that the soul lays hold of the Lord, as the young monkey hangs on to its mother, whereas the southerners say that the Lord picks up the helpless and passive soul as a cat picks up a kitten1. According to the northerners, the consort of Vishnu is, like him, uncreated and equally to be worshipped as a bestower of grace. according to the southerners she is created and, though divine, merely a mediator or channel of the Lord's grace. Even more important in popular esteem is the fact that the Vadagalai sectarian mark ends between the evebrows whereas the Tengalais prolong it to the tip of the nose Odium theologicum is often bitterest between the sects which are most nearly related and accordingly we find that the Tengalais and Vadagalais frequently quarrel. They use the same temples but in many places both claim the exclusive right to recite the hymns of the Arvars. The chief difference in their recitation lies in the opening verse in which each party celebrates the names of its special teachers, and disputes as to the legality of a particular verse in a particular shrine sometimes give rise to free fights and subsequent lawsuits.

The two schools reckon the apostolic succession differently and appear to have separated in the thirteenth century, in which they were represented by Pillai Lokacarya and Vedanta Desikas respectively The Tengalai, of which the first-named teacher

Also called Venkatanätha For some rather elaborate studies in the history of the Sri-Vaishnavas see V. Rangacharis' articles in J. Bombay R A S. 1915 and

1916 and J Mythic Society, 1917, Nos 2 ff

Hence the two doctrines are called marksts-nyâys and marjârs-nyâys, monkey theory and cat theory. The latter gave rise to the dangerous doctrine of Doshabhogys, that God enjoys sin, since it gives a larger scope for the display of His grace. Ci Oscar Wilde in De Profundis, "Christ, through some divine instinct in him, seems "to have always loved the sumer as being the nearest possible approach to perfection in man. In a manner not yet understood of the world, he regarded am "send suffering as being in themselves beautiful holy things and modes of per "fection. Ohrist, had he been asked, would have said—I feel quite certain about "it—that the moment the produgal son fell on his knees and wept, he made his "having wasted his substance with harlots, his swine herding and hungering for "the hisks they are beautiful and holy moments in his his."

was the practical founder, must be regarded as innovators, for in their use of Tamil as the language of religion they do not follow the example of Râmânuja. Lokâcârya teaches that the grace of God is irresistable and should be met not merely by active faith, but by self-surrender1, and entire submission to the guidance of the spiritual teacher. He was the author of eighteen works called Rahasyas or secrets2 but though he appears to have been the first to formulate the Tengalai doctrines, Manavala Mahâmuni (1370-1443 A D.) is regarded by the sect as its chief saint. His images and pictures are frequent in south India and he wrote numerous commentaries and poems. Vedânta Desika, the founder of the Vadagalai, was a native of Conjecvaram but spent much of his life at Srfrangam. He was a voluminous author and composed inter alia an allegorical play in ten acts, portraying the liberation of the soul under the auspices of King Viveka (discrimination) and Queen Sumati (Wisdom)

At the present day the two sects recognize as their respective heads two Acaryas who are married, whereas all Smarta Acaryas are cellbates. The Tengalai Acarya resides near Tunnevelly, the Vadagalai in the district of Kurnool. They both make periodical visitations in their districts and have considerable ecclesiastical power. In the south Srirangam near Trichmopoly is their principal shrine in the north Melucote in the Seringapatam district is esteemed very sacred.

5

It was only natural that Râmânuja's advocacy of qualified non-duality should lead some more uncompromising spirit to affirm the doctrine of Dvarta or duality. This step was taken by Madhva Âcârya, a Kanarese Brahman who was probably born in 1199 A D.<sup>4</sup> In the previous year the great temple of

<sup>2</sup> The Artha-pancaka and Tattva-trays are the best known. See text and translation of the first m J.R A S 1910, pp 565-507.

Râmânuja set less store than Sankara on ascetucism and renunciation of the world He hold the doctrine called *samuechaya* (or combination) namely that good works as well as knowledge are efficacious for salvation.

<sup>4</sup> Also called Anandatirtha and Pürhaprajüa According to others he was born in 1238 a D. See for his doctrines Grierson's article Madhvas in E E E and his own

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Prapatti and åcåryåbhimåna —The word prapatti seems not to occur in the Sri Bhāshi a and it is clear that Rāmānuja's temperament was inclined to active and intelligent devotion. But prapatti is said to have been taught by Nathamuni and Sathagopa (Rājagopala Chariar, Vaishņavite Reformers, p. 6). The word means literally approaching.

Jagannatha at Puri had been completed and the Vishnuite movement was at its height. Madhva though educated as a Saiva became a Vaushnava He denied absolutely the identity of the Supreme Being with the individual soul and held that the world is not a modification of the Lord but that he is like a father who begets a son Yet in practice, rigid monotheism is not more prevalent among Madhva's followers than in other sects. They are said to tolerate the worship of Sivaite deties and of the lingam in their temples and their ascetics dress like Saivas.

Madhva travelled in both northern and southern India and had a somewhat troubled life, for his doctrine, being the flat contradiction of the Advaita, involved him in continual conflicts with the followers of Sankara who are said to have even stolen his library. At any rate they anathematized his teaching with a violence unusual in Indian theology<sup>2</sup>. In spite of such lively controversy he found time to write thirty-seven works, including commentaries on the Upanishads, Bhagavad-gîtâ and Vedânta Sûtras. The obvious meaning of these texts is not that required by his system, but they are recognized by all Vaishnavas as the three Prasthânas or starting-points of philosophy and he had to show that they supported his views. Hence his interpretation often seems forced and perverse. The most extraordinary instance of this is his explanation of the celebrated phrase in

commentaries on the Chândogya and Brihad Ar Upanishads published in Socred Books of the Hundus, vols 111 and XIV For his date Bhandarkar, Vassha and Saivem, pp 58-59 and I A 1914, pp 233 ff and 262 ff Accounts of his life and teaching have been written by Padmanabha Char and Krishna Svami Alyer (Madras, 1909). His followers maintain that he is not dead but still alive at Badari in the Himslayss

<sup>1</sup> See Padmanabha Char Ic page 12. Madhya condemned the worship of manimate objects (e.g. com Chând. Up vir 14.2) but not the worship of Brahman as manimate objects

<sup>2</sup> In a work called the Pashanda capetild or A Slap for Hereics, all the adherents of Madhva are consigned to hell and the Saurapurana, chaps KKKVIII—KL contains a violent polemic against tham. See Jahn's Analysis, pp 90–106 and Berth in Mélanges Harles, pp 12–25 It is curious that the Madhvas should have been selected for attack, for in many ways they are less opposed to favaries than are other Vishnuite sects but the author was clearly badly informed about the doctrines which he attacks and he was probably an old-fashioned Sivarte of the north who

regarded Madhvism as a new-fangled version of objectionable doctrines.

The Madhvas are equally violent in denouncing Sankars and his followers.

They miswrite the name Sankars, giving it the sense of mongrel or dirt and hold that he was an incamation of a demon called Manimat sent by evil spirits to corrupt

the world

the Chândogya Upanishad Sa âtmâ tat tvam asi. He reads Sa âtmâ atat tvam asi and considers that it means "You are not that God Why be so conceited as to suppose that you are?" Monotheistic texts have often received a mystical and pantheistic interpretation The Old Testament and the Koran have been so treated by Kabbalists and Sufis But in Madhva's commentaries we see the opposite and probably rarer method. Pantheistic texts are twisted until they are made to express uncompromising monotheism.

The sect is often called Brahma-sampradâya, because it claims that its doctrine was revealed by Brahma from whom Madhva was the sixth teacher in spiritual descent Its members are known as Madhvas but prefer to call themselves Sad-Vaishnavas. Its teaching seems more rigid and less emotional than that of other Vishnuites and is based on the Pancabheda or five eternal distinctions between (a) God and the soul, (b) God and matter, (c) the soul and matter, (d) individual souls, (e) individual atoms of matter. God is generally called Vishnu or Nåråyana rather than Våsudeva Krishna is adored but not in his pastoral aspect. Vishnu and his spouse Lakshmî are real though superhuman personalities and their sons are Brahmâ the creator and Vâyu2 Peculiar to this sect is the doctrine that except through Vayu, the son of Vishnu, salvation is impossible. Vâyu has been three times incarnate as Hanumat, the helper of Rama, as Bhima and as Madhva himself3. Souls are separate, innumerable and related to God as subjects to a king. They are of three classes. those who are destined to eternal bliss in the presence of God those who revolve eternally in the maze of transmigration and those who tending ever downwards are doomed to eternal suffering.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See his comment on Chând. Up vi 8 7 Compare Bhag ·g xv 7. The text appears to say that the soul (Jiva) is a part (amsa) of the Lord Madhva says it is ac called because it bears some reduced similated to the Lord, though quite distinct from him Madhva's exegesis is supported by a system of tantric or cabalistic interpretation in which every letter has a special meaning. Thus in the passage of the Chând. Up mentioned above the simple words ex ya eshah are explained as equivalent to Sâne essence, yama the controller, and ishts the desired one. The reading atait tvam as is said not to have originated with Madhva but to be found in a Bhàgavata work called the Sâmasamhită.

a In his commentary on the opening of the Chand. Up Madhva seems to imply a Trinity consisting of Vishua, Rama (= Lakshmf) and Vayu
a This is expressly stated at the end of the commentary on the Brih Ar. Upan.

This last doctrine, as well as the doctrine of salvation through Vâyu, the wind or spirit, has led many to suspect that Madhya was influenced by Christian ideas, but it is more probable that he owed something to Islam. Such influence would no doubt be distant and indirect, for a Brahman would not come into contact with Moslim doctors, though it is said that Madhya could speak Persian1 But some Moslim ideas such as the absolute separation of God from the world and the predestination of souls to eternal happiness and misery may have entered Brahman minds. Still, nearly all Madhva's views (with the possible exception of eternal punishment) have Indian analogies The Yoga teaches that there are unnumerable souls distinct from one another and from God and though salvation through the spirit sounds Christian, yet the Upanishads constantly celebrate Vâyu (wind) and Prâna (breath) as the pervading principle of the world and the home of the self "By the wind (Vayu) as thread, O Gautama, this world and the other world and all creatures are bound together2." Thus the idea that the wind is the universal mediator is old and it does not seem that Madhva regarded Vâyu as a redeemer or expuation for an like Christ.

The Madhvas are still an energetic and important sect. Their headquarters are at Udipi in South Kanara and they also hold an annual conference at Tirupati at which examinations in theology are held and prizes given. At Udipi are eight maths and a very sacred temple, dedicated by Madhva himself to Krishna. The head of each math is charged in turn with the supervision of this temple during two years and the change of office is celebrated by a great biennial festival in January. The worship is more puritanical than in the temples of other sects, dancing girls for instance not being allowed, but great importance is attached to the practice of branding the body with the emblems of Vishnu. The sect, like the Sri Vaishnavas, is divided

I Life and teachings of \$\tilde{S}\$16-Madhvacharyar by Padmanabha Char 1909, p 159
Some have suspected a connection between Madhva's teaching and Manichaum, because he attached much importance to an obscure demon called Manimat (see Mahâbh III 11,661) whom he considered incarnate in Sankara. It is conceivable that in his Persian studies he may have heard of Main as an arch-hereto and have identified him with this demon but this does not imply any connection between his own system (or Sankara's either) and Manicheism

2 Brib. Ar Upan III 7 2

into two parties, the Vyasakutas who are conservative and use Sanskrit scriptures<sup>1</sup>, and the Dasakutas who have more popular tendencies and use sacred books written in Kanarese. Neither the Sri Vaishnavas nor the Mâdhvas are numerous in northern India.

<sup>1</sup> Among them are the Manumanjari, the Madhvavujaya and the Väyustuti, all attributed to a disciple of Madhva and his son

### CHAPTER XXX

#### LATER VISHNUISM IN NORTH INDIA

1

Wirth the fifteenth century Hinduism enters on a new phase. Sects arise which show the influence of Mohammedanism, sometimes to such an extent that it is hard to say whether they should be classed as Hindu or Moslim, and many teachers repudiate caste Also, whereas in the previous centuries the centre of religious feeling lay in the south, it now shifts to the north Hinduism had been buffeted but not seriously menaced there the teachers of the south had not failed to recognize by their pilgrimages the sanctity and authority of the northern seats of learning such works as the Gita-govinda testify to the existence there of fervent Vishnuism. But the country had been harassed by Moslim invasions and unsettled by the vicissitudes of transitory dynasties The Jains were powerful in Gujarat and Raiputana. In Bengal Saktism and moribund Buddhism were not likely to engender new enthusiasms. But in a few centuries the movements inaugurated in the south increased in extension and strength. Hindus and Mohammedans began to know more of each other, and in the sixteenth century under the tolerant rule of Akbar and his successors the new sects which had been growing were able to consolidate themselves

After Råmånuja and Madhva, the next great name in the history of Vishnuism, and indeed of Hinduism, is Råmånand. His date is uncertain<sup>1</sup> He was posterior to Råmånuja, from whose sect he detached himself, and Kabir was his disciple,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Bhandarkar, Vaisha and Sainem, pp 66 ff, Grierson in Ind. Ant. 1893, p. 226, and also in article Ramanandi in E. R. F., Farquhar, J. R. A.S. 1920, pp 185 ff. Though Indian tradition seems to be unanimous in giving 1299 A.D. (4400 Kah) as the date of Ramanand's birth, all that we know about himself and his disciples makes it more probable that he was born nearly a century later. The history of ideas, too, becomes clear and intelligible if we suppose that Ramanand, Kabir and Manak flourished about 1400, 1450 and 1500 respectively. One should be cautious in allowing such arguments to outweigh unanimous tradition, but tradition also assigns to Ramanand an improbably long his, thus indicating a feeling that he influenced the fifteenth century. Also the traditions as to the number of teachers between Ramanay and Ramanand differ greatly.

apparently his immediate disciple. Some traditions give Prayaga as his birthplace, others Melucote, but the north was the scene of his activity. He went on a lengthy pilgrimage, and on his return was accused of having infringed the rules of his sect as to eating, etc., and was excommunicated, but received permission from his Guru to found a new sect. He then settled in Benares and taught there. He wrote no treatise but various hymns ascribed to him are still popular1. Though he is not associated with any special dogma, yet his teaching is of great importance as marking the origin of a popular religious movement characterized by the use of the vernacular languages instead of Sanskrit, and by a laxity in caste rules culminating in a readiness to admit as equals all worshippers of the true God2. This God is Râma rather than Krishna. I have already pointed out that the worship of Rama as the Supreme Being (to be distinguished from respect for him as a hero) is not early in fact it appears to begin in the period which we are considering. Of the human forms of the deity Krishna was clearly the most popular but the school of Ramanuja, while admitting both Rama and Krishna as incarnations, preferred to adore God under less mythological and more philosophic names such as Nåråyana. Ramanand, who addressed himself to all classes and not merely to the Brahman aristocracy, selected as the divine name Rama. It was more human than Nåråyana, less sensuous than Krishna. Every Hındu was famıliar with the poetry which sings of Râma as a chivalrous and godlike hero But he was not, like Krishna, the lover of the soul, and when Ramaism was divested of mythology by successive reformers it became a monotheism in which Hindu and Moslim elements could blend. Râmânand had twelve disciples, among whom were Kabir, a Raja called Pîpâ, Rai Das, a leather-seller (and therefore an outcast according to Hındu ideas) as well as Brahmans. The Râmats, as his followers were called, are a numerous and respectable body in north India, using the same sectarian mark as the Vadagalais from whom they do not differ materially, although a Hindu might consider that their small regard for caste is a vital distinction. They often call themselves Avadhûtas, that is, those who have shaken off orldly restrictions, and the more devout among them belong

One of them is found in the Granth of the Sikha

Râmânand's maxim was "Jâti pâti puchai nahiko: Hari ku bhajai so Hari-kau hol." Let no one ask a man's caste or sect. Whoever adores God, he is God's own.

to an order divided into four classes of which only the highest is reserved to Brahmans and the others are open to all castes. They own numerous and wealthy maths, but it is said that in some of these celibacy is not required and that monks and nuns live

openly as man and wife1.

An important aspect of the Ramat movement is its effect on the popular literature of Hindustan which in the fifteenth and even more in the sixteenth century blossoms into flowers of religious poetry. Many of these writings possess real merit and are still a moral and spiritual force. European scholars are only beginning to pay sufficient attention to this mighty flood of hymns which gushed forth in nearly all the vernaculars of India2 and appealed directly to the people. The phenomenon was not really new The psalms of the Buddhists and even the hymns of the Rig Veda were vernacular literature in their day, and in the south the songs of the Devaram and Nalayiram are of some antiquity. But in the north, though some Prakrit literature has been preserved, Sanskrit was long considered the only proper language for religion We can hardly doubt that vernacular hymns existed, but they did not receive the imprimatur of any teacher, and have not survived. But about 1400 all this changes. Though Ramanand was not much of a writer he gave his authority to the use of the vernacular: he did not, like Ramanuja, either employ or enjoin Sanskrit and the meagre details which we have of his circle lead us to imagine him surrounded by men of homely speech

One current in this sea of poetry was Krishnaite and as such not directly connected with Rāmānand. Vidyāpati<sup>3</sup> sang of the loves of Krishna and Rādhā in the Maithili dialect and also in a form of Bengali. In the early fifteenth century (c. 1420) we have the poetess Mirā Bai, wife of the Raja of Chitore who gained celebrity and domestic unhappiness by her passionate

1 Bhattacharya, Hindu Castes and Secis, p 445

Thus we have the poems of Kabir, Nanak and others contained in the Granth of the Sikhs and tending to Mohammedanism the hymne wherein Mirk Bat, Vallabha and his disciples praised Krishna in Rapputana and Braj the poets inspired by Caitanya in Bengal Sankar Dab and Madhab Deb in Assam Namdev and Tukaram in the Maratha country.

see Beames, J A 1873, pp 37 ff, and Grierson, Mathit Christomathy, pp 34 ff, a extra No to Journ As Soc Bengal, Part 1 for 1882 and Coomaraswamy's illustrated translation of Vidyapati, 1915. It is said that a land grant proves he was a celebrated Pandit in 1400. The Bengali Vaishpava post Chandi Das was his contemporary.

devotion to the form of Krishna known as Ranchor. According to one legend the image came to life in answer to her fervent prayers, and throwing his arms round her allowed her to meet a rapturous death in his embrace This is precisely the sentiment which we find later in the teaching of Vallabhacarya and Caitanya. The hymns of the Bengali poets have been collected in the Padakalpataru, one of the chief sacred books of the Bengali Vaishnayas From Vallabhacarya spring the group of poets who adorned Braj or the Muttra district Pre-eminent among them is the blind Sur Das who flourished about 1550 and wrote such sweet lyrics that Krishna himself came down and acted as his amanuensis A somewhat later member of the same group is Nåbhå Das, the author of the Bhakta Målå or Legends of the Saints, which is still one of the most popular religious works of northern India1. Almost contemporary with Sur Das was the great Tulsi Das and Grierson<sup>2</sup> enumerates thirteen subsequent writers who composed Ramayanas in some dialect of Hindi. A little later came the Mahratta poet Tukarâm (born about 1600) who gave utterance to Krishnaism in another language.

Tulsi Das is too important to be merely mentioned as one in a list of poets. He is a great figure in Indian religion, and the saying that his Râmâyana is more popular and more honoured in the North-western Provinces than the Bible in England is no exaggeration. He came into the world in 1532 but was exposed by his parents as born under an unlucky star and was adopted by a wandering Sâdhu. He married but his son died and after this loss he himself became a Sâdhu. He began to write his Râmâyana in Oudh at the age of forty-three, but moved to Benares where he completed it and died in 1623. On the Tulsi Ghat, near the river Asi, may still be seen the rooms which he occupied. They are at the top of a lofty building and command a beautiful view over the river.

<sup>1</sup> See Grierson, Gleanings from the Bhaktamālā, J.R A S 1909 and 1910

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Modern Vernacular Literature of Hindustan, 1889, p 57

<sup>\*</sup> Similarly Dinesh Chandra Sen (Lang and Lit of Bengal, p 170) says that Kritiväsa's translation of the Rämäyann "is the Bible of the people of the Gangetic Valley and it is for the most part the peasants who read it "Kritiväsa was born in 1346 and roughly contemporary with Rämänand Thus the popular interest in Räma was roused in different provinces at the same time

He also wrote several other poems, among which may be mentioned the Gităvali and Kavittāvali, dedicated respectively to the infancy and the heroic deeds of Rāma, and the Ymaya Pattrikā or petition, a volume of hymns and prayers.

His Râmâyana which is an original composition and not a translation of Vålmiki's work is one of the great religious poems of the world and not unworthy to be set beside Paradise Lost. The sustained majesty of diction and exuberance of ornament are accompanied by a spontaneity and vigour rare in any literature. especially in Asia. The poet is not embellishing a laboured theme. he goes on and on because his emotion bursts forth again and again, diversifying the same topic with an inexhaustible variety of style and metaphor. As in some forest a stream flows among flowers and trees, but pours forth a flood of pure water uncoloured by the plants on its bank, so in the heart of Tulsi Das the love of God welled up in a mighty fountain ornamented by the mythology and legends with which he bedecked it, yet unaffected by them. He founded no sect, which is one reason of his popularity, for nearly all sects can read him with edification, and he is primarily a poet not a theologian. But though he allows himself a poet's licence to state great truths in various ways, he still enunciates a definite belief. This is theism, connected with the name Râma. Since in the north he is the author most esteemed by the Vishnuites, it would be a paradox to refuse him that designation, but his teaching is not so much that Vishnu is the Supreme Being who becomes incarnate in Râma, as that Râma, and more rarely Hari and Vasudeva, are names of the All-God who manifests himself in human form. Vishnu is mentioned as a celestial being in the company of Brahma1, and so far as any god other than Râma receives attention it is Siva, not indeed as Râma's equal, but as a being at once very powerful and very devout, who acts as a mediator or guide. "Without prayer to Siva no one can attain to the faith which I require2." "Rama is God, the totality of good, imperishable, invisible, uncreated, incomparable, void of all change, indivisible, whom the Veda declares that it cannot defines." And yet, "He whom scripture and philosophy have sung and whom the saints love to contemplate, even the Lord God, he is the son of Dasarath, King of Kosala." By the power of Râma exist Brahmâ, Vishnu and Sıva, as also Mâyâ, the illusion which brings about the world. His "delusive power is

<sup>1</sup> See Growse's Translation, vol. I. pp 60, 62.

<sup>\* 16</sup> vol. m. p 190, cf. vol. r. p 88 and vol. m. pp. 66-67

<sup>2</sup> Ib. vol II. p 54.

<sup>4</sup> Ib. vol. L p. 77.

a vast fig-tree, its clustering fruit the countless multitude of worlds, while all things animate and inanimate are like the insects that dwell inside and think their own particular fig the only one in existence1." God has made all things; pain and pleasure, sin and merit, saints and sinners, Brahmans and butchers, passion and asceticism. It is the Veda that distinguishes good and evil among them2. The love of God and faith are the only road to happiness. "The worship of Hari is real and all the world is a dreams." Tulsi Das often uses the language of the Advaita philosophy and even calls God the annihilator of duality, but though he admits the possibility of absorption and identification with the deity, he holds that the double relation of a loving God and a loving soul constitutes greater bliss. "The saint was not absorbed into the divinity for this reason that he had already received the gift of faith4." And in a similar spirit he says, "Let those preach in their wisdom who contemplate Thee as the supreme spirit, the uncreate, inseparable from the universe, recognizable only by inference and beyond the understanding; but we, O Lord, will ever hymn the glories of thy incarnation." Like most Hindus he is little disposed to enquire what is the purpose of creation, but he comes very near to saying that God has evolved the world by the power of Maya because the bliss which God and his beloved feel is greater than the bliss of impersonal undifferentiated divinity. It will be seen that Tulsi Das is thoroughly Hindu. neither his fundamental ideas nor his mythological embellishments owe anything to Islam or Christianity. He accepts unreservedly such principles as Mâyâ, transmigration, Karma and release. But his sentiments, more than those of any other Indian writer, bear a striking resemblance to the New Testament Though he holds that the whole world is of God, he none the less bids men shun evil and choose the good, and the singular purity of his thoughts and style contrasts strongly with other Vishnuite works. He does not conceive of the love which may exist between the soul and God as a form of sexual passion.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Growse, i.e. vol n. p 200, of p 204 Måyå who sets the whole world dancing and whose actions no one can understand is herself set dancing with all her troupe, like an actress on the stage, by the play of the Lord's eyebrows Cf too, for the manty of worlds, pp 210, 211

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Growse aptly compares St Paul, "I had not known evil but by the law."

<sup>8</sup> Ib vol. II. p 223.

<sup>8</sup> Ib vol. II. p 196.

The beginning of the sixteenth century was a time of religious upheaval in India for it witnessed the careers not only of Vallabhâcârya and Caitanya, but also of Nânak, the founder of the Sikhs. In the west it was the epoch of Luther and as in Europe so in India no great religious movement has taken place since that time The sects then founded have swollen into extravagance and been reformed other sects have arisen from a mixture of Hinduism with Moslem and Christian elements, but no new and original current of thought or devotion has been started

Though the two great sects associated with the names of Caitanya and Vallabhācārya have different geographical spheres and also present some differences in doctrinal details, both are emotional and even erotic and both adore Krishna as a child or young man Their almost simultaneous appearance in eastern and western India and their rapid growth show that they represent an unusually potent current of ideas and sentaments. But the worship of Krishna was, as we have seen, nothing new in northern India. Even that relatively late phase in which the sports of the divine herdsman are made to typify the love of God for human souls is at least as early as the Gîtâ-govinda written about 1170. In the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries, the history of Krishna worship is not clear<sup>1</sup>, but it persisted and about 1400 found speech in Bengal and in Rajputâna.

According to Vaishnava theologians the followers of Vallabhâcârya<sup>2</sup> are a section of the Rudra-sampradâya founded in the early part of the fifteenth century by Vishnusvâmi, an emigrant from southern India, who preached chiefly in Gujarat. The doctrines of the sect are supposed to have been delivered by the Almighty to Siva from whom Vishnusvâmi was fifteenth in spiritual descent, and are known by the name of Suddhâdvaita or pure non-duality. They teach that God has three attributes—sac-cud-ânanda—existence, consciousness and bliss. In the human

<sup>1</sup> The Vishmuite sect called Nimavat is said to have been exterminated by Jains (Grierson in ERE sub v Bhakti marga, p 545) This may point to persecution during this period

during anis person as For Vallabhacarya and his sect, see especially Growse, Mathurs, a district memors, 1874, History of the sect of the Mahdrajas in western India (anonymous), 1865 Also Bhandarkar, Varchn and Saiviem, pp 76-82 and Farquhar, Oullines of Relig Lil. of India, pp 312-317.

or animal soul bliss is suppressed and in matter consciousness is suppressed too But when the soul attains release it recovers bliss and becomes identical in nature with God. For practical purposes the Vallabhâcâns may be regarded as a sect founded by Vallabha, said to have been born in 1470 He was the son of a Telinga Brahman, who had migrated with Vishqusvâmi to the north.

Such was the pious precocity of Vallabha that at the age of twelve he had already discovered a new religion and started on a pilgrimage to preach it. He was well received at the Court of Vijayanagar, and was so successful in disputation that he was recognized as chief doctor of the Vaishnava school. He subsequently spent nine years in travelling twice round India and at Brindaban received a visit from Krishna in person, who bade him promulgate his worship in the form of the divine child known as Bâla Gopâla Vallabha settled in Benares and is said to have composed a number of works which are still extant. He gained further victories as a successful disputant and also married and became the father of two sons. At the age of fiftytwo he took to the life of a Sannyâsi, but died forty-two days afterwards

Though Vallabha died as an ascetic, his doctrines are currently known as the Pushti Mårga, the road of well-being or comfort. His philosophy was more decidedly monistic than is usual among Vishnuites, and Indian monism has generally taught that, as the soul and God are one in essence, the soul should realize this identity and renounce the pleasures of the senses. But with Vallabhācārya it may be said that the vision which is generally directed godwards and forgets the flesh, turned earthwards and forgot God, for his teaching is that since the individual and the deity are one, the body should be reverenced and indulged Pushti<sup>2</sup> or well-being is the special grace of God and the elect are called Pushti-jîva They depend entirely on God's grace and are contrasted with Maryādā-jîvas, or those who submit to moral discipline. The highest felicity is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The principal of them are the Siddhânta-Rahasys and the Bhågavata-Tika-Subodhini, a commentary on the Bhågavata-Puräna This is a short poem of only seventeen lines printed in Growse's Hulburd, p. 150 It professes to be a revelation from the deity to the effect that sin can be done away with by union with Brahma Sambandha karanāt). Other authoritative works of the sect are the Suddhâdvaita mārtanda, Sakaiācāryamatasangraha and Prameyaratnārņava, all edited in the Chowkhamba Sanskrit series.

<sup>3</sup> Ci. the use of the word poshanam in the Bhågavata Parāna, ii x

not mukti or liberation but the eternal service of Krishna and eternal participation in his sports.

These doctrines have led to deplorable results, but so strong is the Indian instinct towards self-denial and ascetiosm that it is the priests rather than the worshippers who profit by this permission to indulge the body, and the chief feature of the sect is the extravagant respect paid to the descendants of Vallabhācārya. They are known as Maharajas or Great Kings and their followers, especially women, dedicate to them tan, dhan, man: body, purse and spirit, for it is a condition of the road of well-being that before the devotee enjoys anything himself he must dedicate it to the deity and the Maharaj represents the deity. The daily prayer of the sect is "Om Krishna is my refuge I who suffer the infinite pain and torment of enduring for a thousand years separation from Krishna, consecrate to Krishna my body, senses, life, heart and faculties, my wife, house, family, property and my own self I am thy slave, O Krishna'" This formula is recited to the Maharai with pecuhar solemnity by each male as he comes of age and is admitted as a full member of the sect. The words in which this dedication of self and family is made are not in themselves open to criticism and a parallel may be found in Christian hymns But the literature of the Vallabhıs unequivocally states that the Guru is the same as the deity2 and there can be little doubt that even now the Maharajas are adored by their followers, especially by the women, as representatives of Krishna in his character of the lover of the Gopis and that the worship is often licentious? Many Hindus denounce the sect and in 1862 one of the Maharajas brought an action for libel in the supreme court of Bombay on

Growse, Mathurd, p 157, says this formula is based on the N\u00e8radapancar\u00e8tra. It is called Samarpana, dedication, or Brahma-sambandha, connecting oneself with the Supreme Boing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For instance "Whoever holds his Guru and Krishns to be distinct and different shall be born again as a bird." Harirayan 32 Quoted in History of the Sect of the Maharana, p 82

a In the ordinary ceremonial the Maharaj stands beside the image of Krahna and acknowledges the worship offered. Sometimes he is swung in a swing with or without the image. The hymns sung on these occasions are frequently immeral. Even more licentious are the meetings or dances known as Ras Mandah and Ras Liffa. A meal of hot food seasoned with aphrodisacs is also said to be provided in the temples. The water in which the Maharaj's linen or feet have been washed is sold for a high price and actually drunk by devotees.

account of the serious charges of immorality brought against him in the native press. The trial became a cause colore. Judgment was delivered against the Maharaj, the Judge declaring the charges to be fully substantiated. Yet in spite of these proceedings the sect still flourishes, apparently unchanged in doctrine and practice, and has a large following among the mercantile castes of western India. The Rådhå-Vallabhis, an analogous sect founded by Harivamsa in the sixteenth century, give the pre-eminence to Rådhå, the wife of Krishna, and in their secret ceremonies are said to dress as women. The worship of Rådhå is a late phase of Vishnuism and is not known even to the Bhågavata Puråna.

Vallabhism owes much of its success to the family of the founder. They had evidently a strong dynastic sentiment as well as a love of missionary conquest—a powerful combination. Vallabhâcârya left behind him eighty-four principal disciples whose lives are recorded in the work called the Stories of the Eighty-four Vaishnaras, and his authority descended to his son Vithalnath Like his father, Vithalnath was active as a proselytizer and pilgrim and propagated his doctrines extensively in many parts of western India such as Cutch, Malwa, and Bijapur. His converts came chiefly from the mercantile classes but also included some Brahmans and Mussulmans. He is said to have abolished caste distinctions but the sect has not preserved this feature. In his later years he resided at Muttra or the neighbouring town of Gokul, whence he is known as Gokul Gosainii. This title of Gosain, which is still borne by his male descendants. is derived from Krishna's name Gosvâmin, the lord of cattle?. He had seven sons, in each of whom Krishna is said to have been incarnate for five years. They exercised spiritual authority in separate districts—as we might say in different dioceses but the fourth son, Gokulnathn and his descendants claimed and still claim a special pre-eminence. The family is at present represented by about a hundred males who are accepted as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Strictly speaking the Rådhå-Vallabhis are not an offshoot of Vallabha's school, but of the Nimavats or of the Mådhva sampradåya. The theory underlying their strange practices seems to be that Krishna is the only male and that all mankind should cultivate sentiments of female love for him See Macricol, *Indian Theism*, p 134

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> But other explanations are current such as Lord of the senses or Lord of the Vedas

incarnations and receive the title of Maharaja. About twenty reside at Gokul¹ or near Muttra. there are a few in Bombay and in all the great cities of western India, but the Maharaj of Nath Dwara in Rajputâna is esteemed the chief. This place is not an ancient seat of Krishna worship, but during the persecution of Aurungzeb a peculiarly holy image was brought thither from Muttra and placed in the shrine where it still remains

A protest against the immorality of the Vallabhi sect was made by Swamınarayana, a Brahman who was born in the district of Lucknow about 17802. He settled in Ahmedabad and gained so large a following that the authorities became alarmed and imprisoned him. But his popularity only increased he became the centre of a great religious movement hymns descriptive of his virtues and sufferings were sung by his followers and when he was released he found himself at the head of a band which was almost an army. He erected a temple in the village of Wartal in Baroda, which he made the centre of his sect, and recruited followers by means of periodical tours throughout Gujarat His doctrines are embodied in an anthology called the Sikshapatri consisting of 212 precepts, some borrowed from accepted Hindu scriptures and some original and in a catechism called Vacanâmritam His teaching was summed up in the phrase "Devotion to Krishna with observance of duty and purity of life" and in practice took the form of a laudable polemic against the licentiousness of the Vallabhis As in most of the purer sects of Vishnuism, Krishna is regarded merely as a name of the Supreme Deity. Thus the Sikshapatri says "Narayana and Siva should be equally recognized as parts of one and the same supreme spirit, since both have been declared in the Vedas to be forms of Brahma On no account let it be thought that difference in form or name makes any difference in the identity of the derty." The followers of Swammarayana still number about 200,000 in western India and are divided into the lasty and a body of celibate clergy I have visited their religious establishments in Ahmedabad. It consists of a temple with a large and well-kept monastery in which are housed about 300 monks who wear costumes of reddish grey. Except in Assam I have not seen in India any parallel to this monastery

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Growse, Mathurd, p 153 I can entirely confirm what he says This mean, marketic, durty place certainly suggests moral depravity

<sup>\*</sup> His real name was Sahajānanda

either in size or discipline. It is provided with a library and hospital In the temple are images of Nara and Narayana (explained as Krishna and Arjuna), Krishna and Rådhå, Ganeśa and Hanuman<sup>1</sup>.

The sect founded by Caitanya is connected with eastern India as the Vallabhis are with the west Bengal is perhaps the native land of the worship of Krishna as the god of love. It was there that Javadeva flourished in the last days of the Sena dynasty and the lyrical poet Chandidas at the end of the fourteenth century. About the same time the still greater poet Vidvapati was singing in Durbhanga For these writers, as for Cartanya, religion is the bond of love which unites the soul and God, as typified by the passion2 that drew together Radha and Krishna The idea that God loves and seeks out human souls is familiar to Christianity and receives very emotional expression in well-known hymns, but the bold humanity of these Indian lyrics seems to Europeans unsuitable. I will let a distinguished Indian apologize for it in his own words:

"The paradox that has to be understood is that Krishna means God. Yet he is represented as a vouth, standing at a gate, trying to waylay the beloved maiden, attempting to entrap the soul, as it were, into a clandestine meeting This, which is so inconceivable to a purely modern mind, presents no difficulty at all to the Vaishnava devotee To him God is the lover himself: the sweet flowers, the fresh grass, the gay sound heard in the woods are direct messages and tokens of love to his soul, bringing to his mind at every instant that loving God whom he pictures as ever anxious to win the human hearts."

Caitanyas was born at Nadia in 1485 and came under the influence of the Mådhva sect In youth he was a produgy of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Caran Das (1703-1782) founded a somewhat similar sect which professed to abolish idolatry and laid great stress on ethics. See Grierson's article Caran Das mERE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> But Vishnuite writers distinguish Lama desire and prema love, just as spur and dydan are distinguished in Greek. See Dinesh Chandra Sen, Ic p 485

Dinesh Chandra Sen History of Bengali Language and Literature, pp 134-5. For Caitanya see Dinesh Chandra Sen, History of Bengali Language and Lat. chap v and Jadunath Sarkar, Charlanya's Pilgrimages and teachings from the Castanya-Cartifarria of Krishna Das (1590) founded on the earlier Castanya Carrira of Brindayan Several of Cartanya's followers were also voluminous writers.

learning<sup>1</sup>, but at the age of about seventeen while on a pilgrimage to Gaya began to display that emotional and even hysterical religious feeling which marked all his teaching. He swooned at the mention of Krishna's name and passed his time in dancing and singing hymns At twenty-five he became a Sannyasi, and at the request of his mother, who did not wish him to wander too far, settled in Puri near the temple of Jagannath. Here he spent the rest of his life in preaching, worship and ecstatic meditation, but found time to make a tour in southern India and another to Brindaban and Benares. He appears to have left the management of his sect largely to his disciples, Advaita, Nityananda and Haridas, and to have written nothing himself. But he evidently possessed a gift of religious magnetism and exercised an extraordinary influence on those who heard him preach or sing He died or disappeared before the age of fifty but apparently none of the stories about his end merit credence.

Although the teaching of Caitanya is not so objectionable morally as the doctrines of the Vallabhis, it follows the same line of making religion easy and emotional and it is not difficult to understand how his preaching, set forth with the eloquence which he possessed, won converts from the lower classes by thousands. He laid no stress on asceticism, approved of marriage and rejected all difficult rites and ceremonies. The form of worship which he specially enjoined was the singing of Kirtans or hymns consisting chiefly in a repetition of the divine names accompanied by music and dancing Swaying the body and repetition of the same formula or hymn are features of emotional religion found in the most diverse regions, for instance among the Rufais or Howling Dervishes, at Welsh revival meetings and in negro churches in the Southern States It is therefore unnecessary to seek any special explanation in India but perhaps there is some connection between the religious ecstasies of Vaishnavas and Dervishes Within Caitanya's sect, caste was not observed. He is said to have admitted many Moslims to membership and to have regarded all worshippers of Krishna as equal. Though caste has grown up again, yet the old regulation is still in force inside the temple of Jagannath at Puri Within the sacred enclosure all are treated as of one caste and eat the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> He married the daughter of a certsin Vallabha who apparently was not the founder of the Sect, as is often stated.

same sacred food. In Caitanya's words "the mercy of God

regards neither tribe nor family."

His theology¹ shows little originality. The deity is called Bhagavān or more frequently Hari. His majesty and omnipotence are personified as Nārāyaṇa, his beauty and eostasy as Krishṇa. The material world is defined as bhedābhedaprakāša, a manifestation of the deity as separate and yet not separate from him, and the soul is vibhinnāmša or a detached portion of him. Some souls are in bondage to Prakriti or Māyā, others through faith and love attain deliverance. Reason is useless in religious matters, but ruci or spiritual feeling has a quick intuition of the divine.

Salvation is obtained by Bhakti, faith or devotion, which embraces and supersedes all other duties. This devotion means absolute self-surrender to the deity and love for him which asks for no return but is its own reward. "He who expects remuneration for his love acts as a trader" In this devotion there are five degrees (a) sânti, calm meditation, (b) dâsya, servitude, (c) sâkhya, friendship, (d) vâtsalya, love like that of a child for its parent, (e) mâdhurya, love like that of a woman for a lover. All these sentiments are found in God and this combined ecstasy is an eternal principle identified with Hari himself, just as in the language of the Gospels, God is love. Though Caitanya makes love the crown and culmination of religion, the worship of his followers is not licentious, and it is held that the right frame of mind is best attained by the recitation of Krishna's names especially Hari.

The earlier centre of Caitanya's sect was his birthplace, Nadia, but both during his life and afterwards his disciples frequented Brindaban and sought out the old sacred sites which were at that time neglected. At the beginning of the nineteenth century Lala Baba, a wealthy Bengali merchant, became a mendicant and visited Muttra. Though he had renounced the world, he stall retained his business instincts and bought up the villages which contained the most celebrated shrines and were most frequented by pilgrims. The result was a most profitable

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The theology of the sect may be studied in Baladeva's commentary on the Vedints sittes and his Prameya Ratnavall, both contained in vol. v. of the Sacred Bools of the Hindus It would appear that the sect regards itself as a continuation of the Brahma sampradaya but its tenets have more resemblance to those of Vallabha,

speculation and the establishment of Caitanya's Church in the district of Braj, which thus became the holy land of both the great Krishnaite sects. The followers of Caitanya at the present day are said to be divided into Gosains, or ecclesiastics, who are the descendants of the founder's original disciples, the Vrikats or celibates, and the laity Besides the celibates there are several semi-monastic orders who adopt the dress of monks but marry They have numerous maths at Nadia and elsewhere. Like the Vallabhis, this sect deifies its leaders Caitanya, Nityânanda and Advaita are called the three masters (Prabhû) and beheved to be a joint incarnation of Krishna, though according to some only the first two shared the divine essence. Six of Caitanya's disciples known as the six Gosains are also greatly venerated and even ordinary religious teachers still receive an almost idolatrous respect

Though Catanya was not a writer himself he exercised a great influence on the literature of Bengal. In the opinion of so competent a judge as Dinesh Chandra Sen, Bengah was raised to the status of a literary language by the Vishnute hymn-writers just as Pali was by the Buddhists Such hymns were written before the time of Catanya but after him they became extremely numerous and their tone and style are said to change The ecstasies and visions of which they tell are those described in his biographies and this emotional poetry has profoundly influenced all classes in Bengal But there was and still is a considerable hostility between the Saktas and Vishnutes.

4

A form of Vishnuism, possessing a special local flavour, is connected with the Maratha country and with the names of Nâmdev, Tukârâm² and Râmdâs, the spiritual preceptor of Sivapi. The centre of this worship is the town of Pandharpur and I have not found it described as a branch of any of the four Vishnuite Churches but the facts that Nâmdev wrote in Hindi as well as in Marathi, that many of his hymns are included in the Granth, and that his sentiments show affinities to the

2 See Bhandarkar, Vaishn and Sawism, pp 87-99, and Nicol, Psalms of Maraha Sauts which gives a bibliography For Namdev see also Macaulife, The Silk Religion, vol. vi. pp 17-76. For Ramdas see Rawlinson, Silayi the Maraha, pp 116 ff

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> No less than 159 padakartas or religious poets are enumerated by Dmesh Chandra Sen Several collections of these poems have been published of which the principal is called Padakalpataru

teaching of Nanak, suggest that he belonged to the school of Ramanand. There is however a difficulty about his date. Native tradition gives 1270 as the year of his birth but the language of his poems both in Marathi and Hindi is said to be too modern for this period and to indicate that he lived about 14001, when he might easily have felt the influence of Ramanand, for he travelled in the north

Most of his poetry however has for its centre the temple of Pandharpur where was worshipped a deity called Vitthala, Vittoba or Pandurang It is said that the first two names are dialectic variations of Vishnu, but that Pandurang is an epithet of Siva2. There is no doubt that the deity of Pandharpur has for many centuries been identified with Krishna, who, as in Bengal, is god the lover of the soul But the hymns of the Marathas are less sensuous and Krishna is coupled not with his mistress Rådhå, but with his wife Rukminî In fact Rukminîpati or husband of Rukmini is one of his commonest titles. Nåmdev's opinions varied at different times and perhaps in different moods like most religious poets he cannot be judged by logic or theology Sometimes he invergh's against idolatryunderstood as an attempt to limit God to an image-but in other verses he sings the praises of Pandurang, the local deity, as the lord and creator of all His great message is that Godby whatever name he is called—as everywhere and accessable to all, accessible without ceremonial or philosophy. "Vows, fasts and austerities are not needful, nor need you go on pilgrimage Be watchful in your heart and always sing the name of Harr Yoga, sacrifices and renunciation are not needful Love the feet of Hari Neither need you contemplate the absolute. Hold fast to the love of Hari's name Says Nama, be steadfast in singing the name and then Harr will appear to you3."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Bhandarkar, le p 92 An earlier poet of this country was Jüäneśvara who wrote a paraphrase of the Bhagavad gitā in 1290 His writings are said to be the first great landmark in Marathi literature

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> There is no necessary hostility between the worship of Siva and of Vishnu. At Pandharpur pilgrims visit first a temple of Siva and then the principal shrine. This latter, like the temple of Jagannath at Pun, is suspected of having been a Buddhist shrine. It is called Vihâra, the principal festival is in the Buddhist Lent and caste is not observed within its precincts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Quoted by Bhandarkar, p 90 The subsequent quotations are from the same source but I have sometimes elightly modified them and compared them with the original, though I have no pretension to be a Marathi scholar.

Tukârâm is better known than Nâmdev and his poetry which was part of the intellectual awakening that accompanied the rise of the Maratha power is still a living force wherever Marathi is spoken. He lived from 1607 to 1649 and was born in a family of merchants near Poona. But he was too generous to succeed in trade and a famine, in which one of his two wives died, brought him to poverty. Thenceforth he devoted himself to praying and preaching. He developed a great aptitude for composing rhyming songs in irregular metre<sup>1</sup>, and like Caitanya he held services consisting of discourses interspersed with such songs, prepared or extempore. In spite of persecution by the Brahmans, these meetings became very popular and were even attended by the great Sivali

His creed is the same as that of Namdev and finds expression in verses such as these "This thy nature is beyond the grasp of mind or words, and therefore I have made love a measure. I measure the Endless by the measure of love he is not to be truly measured otherwise Thou art not to be found by Yoga, sacrifice, fasting, bodily exertions or knowledge O Kesava,

accept the service which we render"

But if he had no use for asceticism he also feared the passions. "The Endless is beyond, between him and me are the lofty mountains of desire and anger I cannot ascend them and find no pass." In poems which are apparently later, his tone is more peaceful. He speaks much of the death of self, of purity of heart, and of self-dedication to God. "Dedicate all you do to God and have done with it. Tuka says, do not ask me again

and again, nothing else is to be taught but this."

Maratha critics have discussed whether Tukaram followed the monistic philosophy of Sankara or not and it must be confessed that his utterances are contradictory. But the gist of the matter is that he disliked not so much monism as philosophy. Hence he says "For me there is no use in the Advaita. Sweet to me is the service of thy feet The relation between God and his devotee is a source of high joy. Make me feel this, keeping me distinct from thee" But he can also say almost in the language of the Upanishads. "When salt is dissolved in water, what remains distinct? I have thus become one in joy with thee and have lost myself in thee. When fire and camphor are

I Called Abhanga.

brought together, is there any black remnant? Tukâ says, thou and I were one light."

5

There are interesting Vishnuite sects in Assam<sup>1</sup> Until the sixteenth century Hinduism was represented in those regions by Saktism, which was strong among the upper classes, though the mass of the people still adhered to their old tribal worships The first apostle of Vishnuism was Sankar Deb in the sixteenth century He preached first in the Ahom kingdom but was driven out by the opposition of Saktist Brahmans, and found a refuge at Barpeta He appears to have inculcated the worship of Krishna as the sole divine being and to have denounced idolatry, sacrifices and caste These views were held even more strictly by his successor, Madhab Deb, a writer of repute whose works, such as the Nâmyhosha and Ratnâvalî, are regarded as scripture by his followers Though the Brahmans of Assam were opposed to the introduction of Vishnusm and a section of them continued to instigate persecutions for two centuries or more, yet when it became clear that the new teaching had a great popular following another section were anxious that it should not pass out of sacerdotal control and organized it as a legitimate branch of Hindusm While fully recognizing the doctrine of justification by faith, they also made provision for due respect to caste and Brahmanic authority.

According to the last census of India<sup>2</sup> the common view that Sankar Deb drew his inspiration from Caitanya meets with criticism in Assam. His biographies say that he lived 120 years and died in 1569. It has been generally assumed that his age has been exaggerated but that the date of his death is correct. If it can be proved, as contended, that he was preaching in 1505, there would be no difficulty in admitting that he was independent of Caitanya and belonged to an earlier phase of the Vishnute movement which produced the activity of Vallabha and the poetry of Vidyâpati. It is a further argument for this independence that he taught the worship of Vishnu only and not of Râdhâ and discountenanced the use of images. On the other hand it is stated that he sojourned in Bengal and it

See Ehot, Hinduism in Assam, J R A S 1910, pp 1168-1186
 Census of India, 1911, Assam, p 41.

appears that soon after his death his connection with the teaching of Cartanya was recognized in Assam.

At present there are three sects in Assam. Firstly, the Mahapurushias, who follow more or less faithfully the doctrines of Sankar and Madhab They admit Sûdras as religious teachers and abbots, and lay little stress on caste while not entirely rejecting it. They abstain almost entirely from the use of images in worship, the only exception being that a small figure of Krishna in the form of Vaikuntha Natha is found in their temples It is not the principal object of veneration but stands to the left of a throne on which lies a copy of the Namghoshal This, together with the foot-prints of Saukar and Madhab. receives the homage of the faithful. The chief centre of the Mahapurushias is Barpeta, but they have also monasteries on the Majuli Island and elsewhere Secondly, the Bamunia monasteries, with a large lay following, represent a brahmanized form of the Mahapurushia faith This movement began in the life-time of Madhab Many of his Brahman disciples seceded from him and founded separate communities which insisted on the observance of caste (especially on the necessity of religious teachers being Brahmans) but tolerated image-worship and the use of some kinds of flesh as food Though this sect was persecuted by the Ahom kings2, they were strong enough to maintain themselves A compromise was effected in the reign of Rudra Singh (1696-1714), by which their abbots were shown all honour but were assigned the Majuh Island in the upper Brahmaputra as their chief, if not only, residence This island is still studded with numerous Sattras or monasteries, the largest of which contain three or four hundred monks, known as Bhakats (Bhaktas) They take no vows and wear no special costume but are obliged to be celibate while they remain in the sattra The Mahapurushia and Bamunia monasteries are of similar appearance, and in externals (though not in doctrine) seem to have been influenced by the Lamaism of the neighbouring regions of Sikhim and Tibet The temples are long, low, wooden buildings, covered by roofs of corrugated iron or thatched, and

2 Especially Gadadhar Singh, 1681-98

<sup>1</sup> Some authorities state that the sacred book thus venerated is the Bhagavad gits, but at Kamalaban I made careful onquiries and was assured it was the Namghosha.

containing inside a nave with two rows of wooden pillars which leads to a sanctuary divided from it by a screen. The third sect are the Moamarias, of political rather than religious importance. They represent a democratic element, recruited from non-Hindu tribes, which seceded even in the life-time of Sankar Deb Thev appear to reject nearly all Hindu observances and to worship aboriginal derties as well as Krishna. Little is known of their religious teaching, if indeed they have anything worthy of the name, but in the latter half of the eighteenth century they distracted the kingdom of Assam with a series of rebellions which were suppressed with atrocious cruelty.

Cartanya is said to have admitted some Mohammedans as members of his sect. The precedent has not been followed among most branches of his later adherents but a curious half-secret sect, found throughout Bengal in considerable numbers and called Kartabhajas1, appears to represent an eccentric development of his teaching in combination with Mohammedan elements Both Moslims and Hindus belong to this sect They observe the ordinary social customs of the class to which they belong, but it is said that those who are nominal Moslims neither circumcize themselves nor frequent mosques The founder, called Ram Smaran Pal, was born in the Nadia district about 1700. and his chief doctrine is said to have been that there is only one God who is incarnate in the Head of the sect or Karta2. For the first few generations the headship was invested in the founder and his descendants but dissensions occurred and there is now no one head the faithful can select any male member of the founder's family as the object of their devotion. The Karta claims to be the owner of every human body and is said to exact rent for the soul's tenancy thereof No distinction of caste or creed is recognized and hardly any ceremonies are prescribed but meat and wine are forbidden, the mantra of the sect is to be repeated five times a day and Friday is held sacred. These observances seem an imitation of Mohammedanism3.

<sup>1</sup> See Census of India, 1901, Bengal, pp 183-4 and Bhattacharya, Hindu Castes and Sects, pp 485-488

<sup>\*</sup> Karta, literally door, is the name given to the executive head of a joint family in Bengal The sect prefer to call themselves Bhabajanas or Bhagawanis.

Another mixed sect is that of the Dhâmis in the Panna state of Bundelkhand, founded by one Prannath in the reign of Aurungzeb Their doctrine is a combination of Hinduism and Islam, tending towards Krishnaism See Russell, Tribes and Castes of Central Provinces, p 217

## CHAPTER XXXI

## AMALGAMATION OF HINDUISM AND ISLAM KABIR AND THE SIKHS

1

THE Kartabhajas mentioned at the end of the last chapter show a mixture of Hinduism and Mohammedanism, and the mixture1 is found in other sects some of which are of considerable importance A group of these sects, including the Sikhs and followers of Kabir, arose in the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries Their origin can be traced to Râmânand but they cannot be called Vaishnavas and they are clearly distinguished from all the religious bodies that we have hitherto passed in review The tone of their writings is more restrained and severe: the worshipper approaches the deity as a servant rather than a lover, caste is rejected as useless. Hindu mythology is eschewed or used sparingly Yet in spite of these differences the essential doctrines of Tulsi Das, Kabir and Nanak show a great resemblance They all believe in one deity whom they call by various names, but this deity, though personal, remains of the Indian not of the Semitic type He somehow brings the world of transmigration into being by his power of illusion, and the business of the soul is to free itself from the illusion and return to him. Almost all these teachers, whether orthodox or heterodox, had a singular facility for composing hymns, often of high literary ment, and it is in these emotional utterances, rather than in dogmatic treatises, that they addressed themselves to the peoples of northern India

The earliest of these mixed sects is that founded by Kabir.

He appears to have been a Mohammedan weaver by birth,

<sup>1</sup> It is exemplified by the curious word an had limitless, being the Indian negative prefix added to the arabic word had used in the Sikh Granth and by Caran Das as a name of God

<sup>2</sup> See especially G H Westcott, Kabir and the Kabir Panth, and Macauliffe, Sikh Religion, vol vi pp 122-316 Also Wilson, Essays on the religion of the Hindus, vol 1 pp 68-98 Garoin de Tassy, Histoire de la Littérature Hindoue, 11 pp 120-134 Bhandarkar, Vaishn and Saivism, pp 67-73

263

though tradition is not unanimous on this point1. It is admitted, however, that he was brought up among Moslims at Benares but became a disciple of Ramanand. This suggests that he hved early in the fifteenth century2. Another tradition says that he was summoned before Sikander Lodi (1489-1517), but the details of his life are evidently legendary We only know that he was married and had a son, that he taught in northern and perhaps central India and died at Maghar in the district of Gorakhpur. There is significance, however, in the legend which relates that after his decease Hindus and Mohammedans disputed as to whether his body should be burned or buried But when they raised the cloth which covered the corpse, they found underneath it only a heap of flowers So the Hindus took part and burnt them at Benares and the Moslims buried the rest at Maghar His grave there is still in Moslim keeping

In teaching Kabir stands midway between the two religions, but leaning to the side of Hinduism It is clear that this Hindu bias became stronger in his followers, but it is not easy to separate his own teaching from subsequent embellishments, for the numerous hymns and savings attributed to him are collected in compilations made after his death, such as the Buak and the Adigranth of the Sikhs In hymns which sound authentic

he puts Hindus and Moslims on the same footing

"Kabır is a child of Ram and Allah," he says, "and accepteth all Gurus and Pirs" "O God, whether Allah or Ram, I hve by thy name"

"Make thy mind thy Kaaba, thy body its enclosing temple. Conscience its prime teacher Then, O priest, call men to pray to that mosque Which hath five gates. The Hindus and Mussulmans have the same Lord "

But the formalities of both creeds are impartially condemned. "They are good riders who keep aloof from the Veda and Koran3" Caste, circumcision and idolatry are reprobated The Hindu deities and their incarnations are all dead God was not

<sup>1</sup> The name Kabir seems to me decisive

Dadu who died about 1903 is said to have been fifth in spiritual descent from Kabur

<sup>\*</sup> From a hymn in which the spiritual life is represented as a ride Macauliffe, VI. p 156

in any of them<sup>1</sup>. Ram, it would seem, should be understood not as Râmacandra but as a name of God.

Yet the general outlook is Hindu rather than Mohammedan. God is the magician who brings about this illusory world in which the soul wanders<sup>2</sup> "I was in immobile and mobile oreatures, in worms and in moths; I passed through many various births. But when I assumed a human body, I was a Yogi, a Yati, a penitent, a Brahmacâri sometimes an Emperor and sometimes a beggar" Unlike the Sikhs, Kabir teaches the sanctity of life, even of plants "Thou cuttest leaves, O flower girl in every leaf there is life." Release, as for all Hindus, consists in escaping from the round of births and deaths. Of this he speaks almost in the language of the Buddha<sup>3</sup>.

"Though I have assumed many shapes, this is my last
The strings and wires of the musical instrument are all worn out
I am now in the power of God's name
I shall not again have to dance to the tune of birth and death.
Nor shall my heart accompany on the drum"

This denverance is accomplished by the union or identification of the soul with God

"Remove the difference between thyself and God and thou shalt be united with him.

Him whom I sought without me, now I find within me ... Know God by knowing him thou shall become as he.

When the soul and God are blended no one can distinguish them"

But if he sometimes writes like Sankara, he also has the note of the Psalms and Gospels He has the sense of sin he thinks of God in vivid personal metaphors, as a lord, a bridegroom, a parent, both father and mother.

"Save me, O God, though I have offended thee .

I forgot him who made me and did cleave unto strangers."

<sup>1</sup> But Ham is sometimes used by Kabir, especially in the hymns incorporated in the Granth, as a name of God

<sup>2</sup> Though Kabir writes as a poet rather than as a philosopher he evidently leaned to the doctrine of illusion (vivariavdia) rather than to the doctrine of manifestation or development (parindmavdia). He regards Mâyâ as something evil, a trick, a thief, a force which leads men captive, but which disappears with the knowledge of God. "The illusion vanished when I recognized him." (XXXXX.)

<sup>2</sup> He even uses the word nurvana <sup>4</sup> From Kahir's acceptable blackuliffe, vr pp 186 and 188 It is possible that this is a later composition "Sing, sing, the marriage song.

The sovereign God hath come to my house as my husband ...

I obtained God as my bridegroom; so great has been my good fortune"

"A mother beareth not in mind
All the faults her son committeth
O, God, I am thy child:
Why blottest thou not out my sins?".....

"My Father is the great Lord of the Earth; To that Father how shall I go'?"

The writings of Kabir's disciples such as the Sukh Nidhan attributed to Srut Gopal (and written according to Westcott about 1729) and the still later Amar Mul, which is said to be representative of the modern Kabirpanth, show a greater inclination to Pantheism, though caste and idolatry are still condemned. In these works, which relate the conversion of Dharm Das afterwards one of Kabir's principal followers, Kabir is identified with the Creator and then made a pantheistic deity much as Krishna in the Bhagavad-gîtâ2 He is also the true Guru whose help is necessary for salvation Stress is further laid on the doctrine of Sabda, or the divine word. Hindu theology was familiar with this expression as signifying the eternal self-existent revelation contained in the Vedas. Kabir appears to have held that articulate sound is an expression of the Derty and that every letter, as a constituent of such sound, has a meaning But these letters are due to Mâyâ in reality there is no plurality of sound Ram seems to have been selected as the divine name, because its brevity is an approach to this unity, but true knowledge is to understand the Letterless One, that is the real name or essence of God from which all differentuation of letters has vanished Apart from some special metaphors the whole doctrine set forth in the Sukh Nidhan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Macauliffe, vr. pp 230, 209, 202, 197

Westcott, I c p 144, "I am the creator of this world I am the seed and the tree all are contained in me—I live within all and all live within me" and much to the same effect. Even in the hymns of the Adı Granth we find such phrases as "Now thou and I have become one" (Macaulifie, vi p 180)

This identification of Kabir with the deity is interesting as being a modern example of what probably happened in the case of Krishna Similarly those who collected the hymns which form the sacred books of the Sikhs and Kabirpanthis repeated the process which in earlier ages produced the Rig Veda.

and Amar Mul is little more than a loose Vedantism, somewhat reminiscent of Suflism<sup>1</sup>

The teaching of Kabir is known as the Kabirpanth At present there are both Hindus and Mohammedans among his followers and both have monasteries at Maghar where he is buried. The seet numbers in all about a million<sup>2</sup>. It is said that the two divisions have little in common except veneration of Kabir and do not intermix, but they both observe the practice of partaking of sacred meals, holy water<sup>3</sup>, and consecrated betel nut. The Hindu section is again divided into two branches known as Father (Bap) and Mother (Mai)

Though there is not much that is original in the doctrines of Kabir, he is a considerable figure in Hindi literature and may justly be called epoch-making as marking the first fusion of Hinduism and Islam which culminates and attains political importance in the Sikhs Other offshoots of his teaching are the Satnamis, Radha-swams and Dadupanths The first were founded or reorganized in 1750 by a certain Jag-jivan-das. They do not observe easte and in theory adore only the True Name of God but in practice admit ordinary Hindu worship The Rådhå-swamis, founded in 1861, profess a combination of the Kabirpanth with Christian ideas The Dadupanthis show the influence of the mulitary spirit of Islam They were founded by Dâdu, a cotton weaver of Ahmedabad who flourished in Akbar's reign and died about 1603 He insisted on the equality of mankind, vegetarianism, abstinence from alcohol and strict celibacy. Hence the sect is recruited by adopting boys, most of whom are trained as soldiers. In such conditions the Dâdupanthis cannot increase greatly but they number about nine thousand and are found chiefly in the state of Jaipur, especially in the town of Naraina4

2 The Census of 1901 gives 843,171 but there is reason to think the real numbers

meal which perhaps points to constant matches of Provinces, p 217, where it is said 4 See Russell, Tribes and Caeles of Central Provinces, p 217, where it is said that some of them are householders

<sup>1 &</sup>quot;The Ātmā mingles with Paramātimā, as the rivers flow into the ocean. Only in this way can Paramātimā be found. The Ātmā without Šabda is blind and cannot find the path. He who sees Ātmā-Rām is present everywhere. All he sees is like himself. There is nought except Brahmā. I am he, I am the true Kabir." Westcott, p. 168

are larger

Consecrated by washing in it wooden sandals supposed to represent the fest of Kabir. It is stated that they believe they eat the body of Kabir at their sacred meal which perhaps points to Christian influence. See Russell, i.e. pp. 239-240.

2

The Sikh religion is of special interest since it has created not only a political society but also customs so distinctive that those who profess it rank in common esteem as a separate race. The founder Nânak lived from 1469 to 1538 and was born near Lahore He was a Hindu by birth but came under Mohammedan influence and conceived the idea of reconciling the two faiths He was attracted by the doctrines of Kabir and did not at first claim to teach a new religion. He wished to unite Hindus and Moslims and described himself simply as Guru or teacher and his adherents as Sikhs or disciples

He spent the greater part of his life wandering about India and is said to have reached Mecca A beautiful story relates that he fell asleep with his feet turned towards the Kaaba. A mollah kicked him and asked how he dared to turn his feet and not his head towards God But he answered, "Turn my feet in a direction where God is not" He was attended on his wanderings by Mardana, a lute-player, who accompanied the hymns which he never failed to compose when a thought or adventure occurred to him These compositions are similar to those of Kabir, but seem to me of inferior ment They are diffuse and mordinately long, the Japu for instance, which every Sikh ought to recite as his daily prayer, fills not less than twenty octavo pages. Yet beautiful and incisive passages are not wanting When at the temple of Jagannath, he was asked to take part in the evening worship at which lights were waved before the god while flowers and incense were presented on golden salvers studded with pearls But he burst out into song2

"The sun and moon, O Lord, are thy lamps, the firmament thy salver and the orbs of the stars the pearls set therein

"The perfume of the sandal tree is thy incense, the wind is thy fan, all the forests are thy flowers, O Lord of hight"

Though Nânak is full of Hindu allusions he is more Mohammedan in tone than Kabir, and the ritual of Sikh temples is

See especially Macauliffe, The Silh Religion, six volumes
 Macauliffe, i p 82

modelled on the Mohammedan rather than on the Hindu pattern. The opening words of the Japji are: "There is but one God, whose name is true, the Creator¹" and he is regarded rather as the ruler of the world than as a spirit finding expression in it "By his order" all things happen. "By obeying him" man obtains happiness and salvation "There is no limit to his mercy and his praises" In the presence of God "man has no power and no strength." Such sentiments have a smack of Mohammed and Nânak sometimes uses the very words of the Koran as when he says that God has no companion And though the penetrating spirit of the Vedânta infects this regal monotheism, yet the doctrine of Mâyâ is set forth in unusual phraseology "God himself created the world and himself gave names to things He made Mâyâ by his power seated, he beheld his work with delight."

In other compositions attributed to Nanak greater prominence is given to Mâyâ and to the common Hindu idea that creation is a self-expansion of the deity. Metempsychosis is taught and the divine name is Hari This is characteristic of the age, for Nânak was nearly a contemporary of Caitanya and Vallabhâcârya. For Kabir, the disciple of Râmânanda, the name was Ram.

Nanak was sufficiently conscious of his position as head of a sect to leave a successor as Guru², but there is no indication that at this time the Sikhs differed materially from many other religious bodies who reprobated caste and idolatry. Under the fourth Guru, Ram Das, the beginnings of a change appear. His strong personality collected many wealthy adherents and with their offerings he purchased the tank of Amritsar³ and built in its midst the celebrated Golden Temple. He appointed his son Arjun as Guru in 1581, just before his death the succession was made hereditary and henceforth the Gurus became chiefs rather than spiritual teachers. Arjun assumed some of the insignia of royalty a town grew up round the sacred.

ŧ

ł

<sup>2</sup> The original is Karta purukh (=purushs), the creative male This phrase shows how Hindu habits of thought clung to Nanak

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Guru of the Sikhs are (a) Nānak, 1469-1638, (b) Angada, 1538-1652, (c) Amardas, 1552-1675, (d) Ramdas, 1575-1581, (e) Arjun, 1581-1606, (f) Har Govind, 1608-1639, (g) Har-Rai, 1639-1663, (h) Har-Kisan, 1663-1666, (e) Teg Bahadur, 1668-1675, (g) Govind Singh, 1678-1708

Amritasaras the lake of nectar

tank and became the centre of a community; a tax was collected from all Sikhs and they were subjected to special and often salutary legislation Infanticide, for instance, was strictly forbidden. With a view of providing a code and standard Arjun compiled the Granth or Sikh scriptures, for though hymns and prayers composed by Nânak and others were in use there was as yet no authorized collection of them The example of Mohammedanism no doubt stimulated the desire to possess a sacred book and the veneration of the scriptures increased with time. The Granth now receives the same kind of respect as the Koran and the first sight of a Sikh temple with a large open volume on a reading-desk cannot fail to recall a mosque.

Arjun's compilation is called the Adi-granth, or original book, to distinguish it from the later additions made by Guru Govind. It comprises hymns and prayers by Nånak and the four Gurus who followed him (including Arjun himself), Råmånand, Kabir and others, amounting to thirty-five writers in all. The list is interesting as testifying to the existence of a great body of oral poetry by various authors ranging from Råmånand, who had not separated himself from orthodox Vishnuism, to Arjun, the chief of the Sikh national community. It was evidently felt that all these men had one inspiration coming from one truth and even now unwritten poems of Nånak are current in Bihar. The Granth is written in a special alphabet known as Gurmukhi<sup>1</sup> and contains both prose and poetical pieces in several languages, most are in old western Hindi<sup>2</sup> but some are in Panjabi and Marathi.

But though in compiling a sacred book and in uniting the temporal and spiritual power Arjun was influenced by the spirit of Mohammedanism, this is not the sort of imitation which makes for peace. The combination of Hindusm and Islam resulted in the production of a special type of Hindu peculiarly distasteful to Moslims and not much loved by other Hindus. Much of Arjun's activity took place in the later years of the Emperor Akbar. This most philosophic and tolerant of princes abandoned Mohammedanism after 1579, remitted the special

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It appears to be an arbitrary adaptation of the Deva-nâgan characters. The shape of the letters is mostly the same but new values are assigned to them.

<sup>2</sup> This is the description of the dialect given by Grierson, the highest authority in such matters.

taxes payable by non-Moslims and adopted many Hindu observances Towards the end of his life he promulgated a new creed known as the Din-i-Ilahi or divino faith This eclectic and composite religion bears testimony to his vanity as well as to his large sympathies, for it recognized him as the viceregent or even an incarnation of God It would appear that the singular little work called the Allopanishad or Allah Upanishad1 was written in connection with this movement. It purports to be an Upanishad of the Atharva Veda and can hardly be described as other than a forgery. It declares that "the Allah of the prophet Muhammad Akbar2 is the God of Gods" and identifies him with Mitra, Varuna, the sun, moon, water, Indra, etc Akbar's religion did not long survivo his death and never flourished far from the imperial court, but somewhat later (1666) Muhammad Dara Shukoh, tho son of Shah Jehan, caused a Persian translation of about fifty Upanishads, known as the Oupnekhat3, to be prepared The general temper of the period was propitious to the growth and immunity of mixed forms of belief, but the warlike and semi-political character of the Sikh community brought trouble on it.

Arrun attracted the unfavourable attention of Akbar's successor, Jehangir, and was cast into prison where he died The Sikhs took up arms and henceforth regarded themselves as the enomies of the government, but their strength was wasted by internal dissensions The ninth Guru, Teg-Bahadur, was executed by Aurungzeb Desire to avenge this martyrdom and the strenuous character of the tenth Guru, Govind Singh (1675-1708), completed the transformation of the Sikhs into a church militant devoted to a holy war

Though the most aggressive and uncompromising features of Sikhism are due to the innovations of Govind, he was so far from being a theological bigot that he worshipped Durga and

ĺ

١

t

ì,

See Rajendrala Mitra's article in J A S B xL 1871, pp 170-176, which gives the Sanskrit text of the Upanishad Also Schrader, Catalogue of Adyar Library, 1908, pp 136-7 Schrader states that in the north of India the Allopanishad is recited by Brahmans at the Vasantotsava and on other occasions also that in southern India it is generally believed that Moslims are skilled in the Atharva Veda

I I e , not the Allah of the Koran

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This Persian translation was rendered word for word into very strange Latin by Anquetil Duperron (1801-2) and this Latin version was used by Schopenhauer 4 He is said to have prayed for the success of the Emperor's rebellions son.

was even said to have offered human sacrifices. But the aim of all his ordinances was to make his followers an independent body of fighting men They were to return the salutation of no Hindu and to put to death every Mohammedan, The community was called Khalsa1, within it there was perfect equality every man was to carry a sword and wear long hair but short trousers Converts, or recruits, came chiefly from the fighting tribes of the Jats, but in theory admission was free The initiatory ceremony, which resembled baptism, was performed with sugar and water stirred with a sword, and the neophyte vowed not to worship idols, to bow to none except a Sikh Guru, and never to turn his back on the enemy To give these institutions better religious sanction, Govind composed a supplement to the Granth, called Dasama Padshah ka Granth or book of the tenth prince. It consists of four parts, all in verse, and is said to inculcate war as persistently as Nanak had inculcated meekness and peace To give his institutions greater permanence and prevent future alterations Govind refused to appoint any human successor and bade the Sikhs consider the Granth as their Guru. "Whatsoever ye shall ask of it, it will show you" he said, and in obedience to his command the book is still invested with a kind of personality and known as Granth Sahib

Govind spent most of his time in wars with Aurungzeb marked by indomitable perseverance rather than success Towards the end of his life he retired into Malwa and resided at a place called Danidama The accounts of his latter days are somewhat divergent According to one story he made his peace with the Mughals and accepted a military command under the successor of Aurungzeb but it is more commonly asserted that he was assassinated by a private enemy Even more troublous were the days of his successor Banda Since Govind had abolished the Guruship, he could not claim to be more than a temporal chief, but what he lacked in spiritual authority he made amends for in fanaticism The eight years of his leadership were spent in a war of mutual extermination waged with the Moslims of the Panjab and diversified only by internal dissen-At last he was captured and the sect was nearly annihilated by the Emperor Farukhsiyar. According to the

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  This Arabic word is interpreted in this context as meaning the special portion (of God)

ordinary account this victory was followed by an orgy of torture and Banda was barbarously executed after witnessing during seven days the torments of his followers and kinsmen We read with pleasure but incredulty that one division of the Sikhs believe that he escaped and promulgated his peculiar doctrines in Sind. Asiatics do not relish the idea that the chosen of God can suffer violent death.

OR

The further history of the Sikhs is political rather than religious, and need not detain us here. Despite the efforts of the Mughals to exterminate them, they were favoured by the disturbed state of the country in the early decades of the eighteenth century, for the raids of Afghans and Persians convulsed and paralyzed the empire of Delhi The government of the Khalsa passed into the hands of a body of fanatics, called Akalis, but the decision of grave matters rested with a council of the whole community which occasionally met at Amritsar. Every Sikh claimed to have joined the confederacy as an independent soldier, bound to fight under his military leaders but otherwise exempt from control, and entitled to a share of land This absolute independence, being unworkable in practice, was modified by the formation of Misals or voluntary associations, of which there were at one time twelve. From the middle of the eighteenth century onwards the Sikhs were masters of the Panjab and their great chief Ranjit Singh (1797-1839) succeeded in converting the confederacy into a despotic monarchy. Their power did not last long after his death and the Panjab was conquered by the British in the two wars of 1846 and 1849.

With the loss of political independence, the differences between the Sikhs and other Hindus tended to decrease This was natural, for nearly all their strictly religious tenets can be paralleled in Hinduism. Guru Govind waged no war against polytheism but wished to found a religious commonwealth equally independent of Hindu castes and Mohammedan sultans. For some time his ordinances were successful in creating a tribe, almost a nation. With the collapse of the Sikh state, the old hatred of Mohammedanism remained, but the Sikhs differed from normal Hindus hardly more than such sects as the Lingayats, and, as happened with decadent Buddhism, the unobtrusive pressure of Hindu beliefs and observances tended to obliterate

those differences. The Census of India<sup>1</sup>, 1901, enumerated three degrees of Sikhısm. The first comprises a few zealots called Akahs who observe all the precepts of Govind. The second class are the Guru Govind Sikhs, who observe the Guru's main commands, especially the prohibition to smoke and cut the hair. Lastly, there are a considerable number who profess a respect for the Guru but follow Hindu beliefs and usages wholly or in part. Sikham indeed reproduces on a small scale the changeableness and complexity of Hinduism, and includes associations called Sabha, whose members aim at restoring or maintaining what they consider to be the true faith. In 1901 there was a tendency for Sikhs to give up their peculiarities and describe themselves as ordinary Hindus, but in the next decade a change of sentiment among these waverers caused the Sikh community as registered to increase by thirty-seven per cent, and a period of religious zeal is reported2.

1 Census of India, 1901, Panjab report, p 122

<sup>2</sup> Provincial Geographies of India, Panjab, Dome, 1916, p 117.

## CHAPTER XXXII

## SÂKTISM²

Among the principal sub-divisions of Hinduism must be reckoned the remarkable religion known as Śâktism, that is the worship of Śakti or Śīva's spouse under various names, of which Devî, Durgâ and Kâlî are the best known. It differs from most sects in not being due to the creative or reforming energy of any one human founder. It claims to be a revelation from Śīva himself, but considered historically it appears to be a compound of Hinduism with un-Aryan beliefs. It acquired great influence both in the courts and among the people of north-eastern India but without producing personalities of much eminence as teachers or writers.

It would be convenient to distinguish Saktism and Tantrism, as I have already suggested The former means the worship of a goddess or goddesses, especially those who are regarded as forms of Siva's consort Vishnuites sometimes worship female deities, but though the worship of Lakshmi, Rådhå and others may be coloured by imitation of Saktist practices, it is less conspicuous and seems to have a different origin Tantrism is a system of magical or sacramental ritual, which professes to attain the highest aims of religion by such methods as spells, diagrams, gestures and other physical exercises. One of its bases is the assumption that man and the universe correspond as microcosm and macrocosm and that both are subject to the mysterious power of words and letters

These ideas are not modern nor peculiar to any Indian sect. They are present in the Vedic ceremonial, in the practices of the Yoga and even in the teaching of the quasi-mussulman sect of Kabir, which attaches great importance to the letters of the divine name. They harmonize with the common Indian view that some form of discipline or physical training is essential to

See also chap XXIV. as to Säktism and Tantrism in Buddhism Copious materials for the study of Säktism and Tantrism are being made available in the series of tantric texts edited in Sanskrit and Tibetan, and in some cases translated by the author who uses the pseudonym A Avalon.

he religious life They are found in a highly developed form mong the Nambutans and other Brahmans of southern India who try to observe the Vedic rules and in the Far East among suddhists of the Shingon or Chên-yen sect<sup>1</sup>. As a rule they eccive the name of Tantrism only when they are elaborated nto a system which claims to be a special dispensation for this ige and to supersede more arduous methods which are politely et aside as practicable only for the hero-saints of happier times l'antrism, like salvation by faith, is a simplification of religion out on mechanical rather than emotional lines, though its leficiency in emotion often finds strange compensations.

But Tantrism is analogous not so much to justification by arth as to sacramental ritual. The parallel may seem shocking, but most tantric ceremonies are similar in idea to Christian sacraments and may be called sacramental as correctly as nagical Even in the Anglican Church baptism includes sprinkling with water (abhisheka), the sign of the cross (nyasa) and a formula (mantra), and if any one supposes that a child so treated is sure of heaven whereas the future of the unbaptized is dubious, he holds like the Tantrists that spiritual ends can be attained by physical means And in the Roman Church where the rite includes exorcism and the use of salt, oil and lights, the parallel is still closer Christian mysticism has had much to do with symbolism and even with alchemy2, and Zoroastrianism, which is generally regarded as a reasonable religion, attaches extraordinary importance to holy spells3. So Indian religious are not singular in this respect, though the uncompromising thoroughness with which they work out this like other ideas leads to startling results.

The worship of female deities becomes prominent somewhat late in Indian literature and it does not represent—not to the same extent as the Chinese cult of Kwan-yin for example—the better ideals of the period when it appears. The goddesses of the Rig Veda are insignificant, they are little more than names, and grammatically often the feminine forms of their consorts. But this Veda is evidently a special manual of prayer from which many departments of popular religion were excluded. In

<sup>1</sup> Sec Annaies du Musée Guimet, Tome viri. St-Do-In-Dzon. Gestes de l'officiant dans les cérémonies mystiques des sectes Tendai et Singon, 1899.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Underhill, Mysticism, chaps VI and VII <sup>3</sup> See Dhalla, Zoroastrian Theology, p 116

the Atharva Veda many spirits with feminine names are invoked and there is an inclination to personify bad qualities and disasters as goddesses. But we do not find any goddess who has attained a position comparable with that held by Durga, Cybele or Astarte. though there are some remarkable hymns1 addressed to the Earth. But there is no doubt that the worship of goddesses (especially goddesses of fertility) as great powers is both ancient and widespread. We find it among the Egyptians and Semites, in Asia Minor, in Greece, Italy, and among the Kelts. The goddess Analut, who was worshipped with immoral rites in Bactria, is figured on the coins of the Kushans and must at one time have been known on the north-western borders of India. At the present day Sîtalâ and in south India Manamman are goddesses of smallpox who require propitiation, and one of the earliest deities known to have been worshipped by the Tamils is the goddess Kottavai<sup>2</sup>. Somewhat obscure but widely worshipped are the powers known as the Mothers, a title which also occurs in Keltic mythology They are groups of goddesses varying in number and often malevolent As many as a hundred and forty are said to be worshipped in Gujarat The census of Bengal (1901) records the worship of the earth, sun and rivers as fomales, of the snake goddesses Manasa and Jagat Gauri and of numerous female demons who send disease, such as the seven sisters, Ola Bibi, Jogini and the Churels, or spirits of women who have died in childbirth.

The rites celebrated in honour of these deities are often of a questionable character and include dances by naked women and offerings of spirituous liquors and blood. Similar features are found in other countries. Prostitution formed part of the worship of Astarte and Anahit the Tauric Artemis was adored with human sacrifices and Cybele with self-inflicted mutilations. Similarly offerings of blood drawn from the sacrificer's own body are enjoined in the Kālkā. Purāna. Two stages can be distinguished in the relations between these cults and Hinduism. In the later stage which can be witnessed even at the present day an aboriginal goddess or demon is identified with one of the aspects (generally a "black" or fierce aspect) of Siva's

Specially Ath Veda, XII 1.
Village detices in south India at the present day are usually female See Whitehead, Village Gods, p 21.

spouse<sup>1</sup> But such identification is facilitated by the fact that goddesses like Kålî, Bhairavî, Chinnamastakâ are not products of purely Hindu imagination but represent earlier stages of amalgamation in which Hindu and aboriginal ideas are already compounded. When the smallpox goddess is identified with Kålî, the procedure is correct, for some popular forms of Kålî are little more than an aboriginal deity of pestilence draped

with Hindu imagery and philosophy.

Some Hindu scholars demur to this derivation of Saktism from lower cults. They point to its refined and philosophic aspects, they see in it the worship of a goddess, who can be as merciful as the Madonna, but yet, since she is the goddess of nature, combines in one shape life and death. May not the grosser forms of Saktism be perversions and corruptions of an ancient and higher faith? In support of this it may be urged that the Buddhist goddess Tara is as a rule a beautiful and benevolent figure, though she can be terrible as the enemy of evil and has clear affinities to Durga. Yet the history of Indian thought does not support this view, but rather the view that Hinduism incorporated certain ancient ideas, true and striking as ancient ideas often are, but without purging them sufficiently to make them acceptable to the majority of educated Indians

The Yajur Veda<sup>2</sup> associates Rudra with a female deity called Ambikâ or mother, who is however his sister, not his spouse. The earliest forms of the latter seem to connect her with mountains. She is Umâ Haimavatî, the daughter of the Himalayas, and Pârvatî, she of the mountains, and was perhaps originally a sacred peak. In an interesting but brief passage of the Kena Upanishad (iii. 12 and iv. 1) Umâ Haimavatî explains to the gods that a being whom they do not know is Brahman. In later times we hear of a similar goddess in the Vindhyas, Mahârânî Vindhyeśvarî, who was connected with human sacrifices and Thugs<sup>3</sup>. Siva's consort, like her Lord, has many forms classified as white or benignant and black or terrible. Umâ belongs to the former class but the latter (such as Kâlî,

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  Thus Càndi is considered as identical with the wood goddess Bàsulf, worshipped in the jungles of Bengal and Orissa. See JA 1873, p 187

Naj Sanh 3 57 and Taittir Br 1 6 10 4
Crooke, Popular Religion of Northern India, 1 63 Momer Williams, Brahm and Hinduism, p 67 gives an interesting account of the shrine of Kâli at Vindhyâcal said to have been formerly frequented by Thurs

Durgå, Câmundâ, Candâ and Karalâ) are more important<sup>1</sup> Female derives bearing names like these are worshipped in most parts of India, literally from the Himalaya to Cape Comorin. for the latter name is derived from Kumari, the Virgin goddess2 But the names Sakta and Saktism are usually restricted to those seets in Bengal and Assam who worship the Consort of Siva with the rites prescribed in the Tantras.

Saktısm regards the goddess as the active manifestation of the godhead As such she is styled Sakti, or energy (whence the name Sakta), and is also identified with Maya, the power which is associated with Brahman and brings the phenomenal world into being Similar ideas appear in a philosophic form in the Sankhya teaching Here the soul is masculine and passive its task is to extricate and isolate itself But Prakriti or Nature is feminine and active: to her is due the evolution of the universe she involves the soul in actions which cause pain but she also helps the work of liberation3 In its fully developed form the doctrine of the Tantras teaches that Sakti is not an emanation or aspect of the deity There is no distinction between Brahman and Saktı She is Parabrahman and paratpard, Supreme of the Supreme

The birthplace of Saktism as a definite sect seems to have been north-eastern India4 and though it is said to be extending in the United Provinces, its present sphere of influence is still

1 This idea that deities have different aspects in which they practically become different persons is very prevalent in Tibetan mylliology which is borrowed from medieval Bengal

2 Though there are great temples erreted to goddesses in S India, there are also some signs of hostility to Saktism See the surrous legends about an attendant of Siva called Bhruigi who would not worship Parvati Hultrsch, South Indian Inscriptions, II II p 190

There is a curious tendency in India to regard the male principle as quiescent, the fomale as active and stimulating The Chinese, who are equally fond of using these two principles in their cosmological speculations, adopt the opposite view The Yang (male) is positive and active The Yan (female) is negative and passive

4 The Mahanirvana Tuntra arems to have been composed in Bengal since it recommends for sacrificial purposes (11 7) three kinds of fish said to be characteristic of that region On the other hand Buddhist works called Tantras are said to have been composed in north western India. Udyana had an old reputation for magic and even in modern times Saltism exists in western Tibet and Leh It is highly probable that in all these districts the practice of magic and the worship of mountain goddesses were prevalent, but I find little ovidence that a definite Sakta seet arose elsewhere than in Bengal and Assam or that the Saktist corruption of Buddhism prevailed elsewhere than in Magalha and Bengal

chiefly Bengal and Assam1. The population of these countries is not Aryan (though the Bengalı language bears witness to the strong Aryan influence which has prevailed there) and is largely composed of immigrants from the north belonging to the Tibeto-Burman, Mon-Khmer and Shan families These tribes remain distinct in Assam but the Bengali represents the fusion of such invaders with a Munda or Dravidian race, leavened by a little Aryan blood in the higher castes In all this region we hear of no ancient Brahmanic settlements, no ancient centres of Vedic or even Puranic learning<sup>2</sup> and when Buddhism decayed no body of Brahmanic tradition such as existed in other parts of India imposed its authority on the writers of the Tantras Even at the present day the worship of female spirits, only half acknowledged by the Brahmans, prevails among these people, and in the past the national deities of many tribes were goddesses who were propitiated with human sacrifices Thus the Chutiyas of Sadiya used to adore a goddess, called Kesai Khati-the eater of raw flesh The rites of these deities were originally performed by tribal priests, but as Hindu influence spread, the Brahmans gradually took charge of them without modifying their character in essentials Popular Bengali poetry represents these goddesses as desiring worship and feeling that they are slighted they persecute those who ignore them, but shower blessings on their worshippers, even on the obdurate who are at last compelled to do them homage The language of mythology could not describe more clearly the endeavours of a plebeian cult to obtain recognition3.

The Mahâbhârata contains hymns to Durgâ in which she is said to love offerings of flesh and wine, but it is not likely that Sâktism or Tantrism—that is a system with special scriptures

\* The law-giver Baudhayana scems to have regarded Anga and Vanga with suspicion, i 1 13, 14

See especially the story of Manasa Devi in Dinesh Chandra Sen (Beng Lang and Let 257), who says the earliest literary version dates from the twelfth century. But doubtless the story is much older.

But the Brahmans of isolated localities, like Satara in the Bombay Presidency, are said to be Säktus and the Käñouliyas of S. India are described as a Säktust sect.

<sup>4</sup> Viratap chap vi (not in all MSS) Bhishmap chap XXIII Also in the Harivanisa, vi 3236 ff Pargiter considers that the Devi-Mahatmya was probably composed in the fifth or mixth century. Chap XXI. of the Lotus Sûtra contains a spell invoking a goddess under many names. Though this chapter is an addition to the original work, it was translated into Chinese between 265 and 316

and doctrines—was prevalent before the seventh century AD. for the Tantras are not mentioned by the Chinese pilgrims and the lexicon Amara Kosha (perhaps c 500 AD) does not recognize the word as a designation of religious books Bâna (c. 630) gives more than once in his romances lists of sectaries but though he mentions Bhâgavatas and Pâśupatas, he does not speak of Sâktas¹ On the other hand Tantrism infected Buddhism soon after this period. The earlier Tibetan translations of the Tantras are attributed to the minth century. MSS of the Kubjikâmata and other Tantras are said to date from the minth and even from the seventh century and tradition represents Sankarâcârya as having contests with Sâktas² But many Tantras were written in the fifteenth century and even later, for the Yogim Tantra alludes to the Koch king Bishwa Singh (1515–1540) and the Meru Tantra mentions London and the English.

From the twelfth to the sixteenth century, when Buddhism, itself deeply infected with Tantrism, was disappearing, Saktism was probably the most powerful religion in Bengal, but Vishnusm was gaining strength and after the time of Caitanya proved a formidable rival to it. At the beginning of the fifteenth century we hear that the king of the Ahoms summoned Brahmans to his Court and adopted many Hindu rites and beliefs, and from this time onward Saktism was patronized by most of the Assamese Rajas although after 1550 Vishnusm became the religion of the mass of the people. Saktism never inspired any popular or missionary movement, but it was powerful among the aristocracy and instigated persecutions against the Vishnutes

The more respectable Tantras<sup>3</sup> show considerable resemblance to the later Upanishads such as the Nrisinhatapaniya and Ramatapaniya, which mention Sakti in the sense of creative energy<sup>4</sup> Both classes of works treat of magical formulæ (mantras)

\* Hymns to the Devi are also attributed to him but I do not know what evidence there is for his authorship

s As pointed out elsewhere, though this word is most commonly used of the Sakta scriptures it is not restricted to them and we hear of both Buddhist and Varshnava Tantras

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> But he does mention the worship of the Divine Mothers Harshacar vii 250 and Kâdamb 134

of The Adhystma Rāmāyana is an instance of Sāktist ideas in another theo logical setting. It is a Vishnuite work but Sītā is made to say that she is Prakrit who does all the deeds related in the poem, whereas Rāma is Purusha, mactive and a witness of her deeds.

and the construction of mystic diagrams or yantras. This resemblance does not give us much assistance in chronology, for the dates of the later Upanishads are very uncertain, but it shows how the Tantras are connected with other branches of Hindu thought.

The distinction between Tantras and Puranas is not always well-marked. The Bhagavata Purana countenances tantric rates1 and the Agni Purana (from chapter XXI onwards) bears a strong resemblance to a Tantra. As a rule the Tantras contain less historical and legendary matter than the Puranas and more directions as to ritual But whereas the Puranas approve of both Vedic rites and others, the Tantras insist that ceremonies other than those which they prescribe are now useless. They maintain that each age of the world has its own special revelation and that in this age the Tantra-sastra is the only scripture. Thus in the Mahanirvana Tantra Siva says2 "The fool who would follow other doctrines heedless of mine is as great a sinner as a parricide or the murderer of a Brahman or of a woman .. The Vedic rates and mantras which were efficacious in the first age have ceased to have power in this. They are now as powerless as snakes whose fangs have been drawn and are like dead things." The Kularnava Tantra (1, 79 ff ) inveighs against those who think they will obtain salvation by Vedic sacrifices or asceticism or reading sacred books, whereas it can be won only by tantric rites.

Various lists of Tantras are given and it is generally admitted that many have been lost. The most complete, but somewhat theoretical enumeration<sup>3</sup> divides India and the adjoining lands into three regions to each of which sixty-four Tantras are assigned The best known names are perhaps Mahânirvâṇa<sup>4</sup>, Sâradâtilaka<sup>5</sup>, Yoginî, Kulârṇava<sup>6</sup> and Rudra-Yâmala A Tantra

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See for full list Avalon, Principles of Tantra, pp lxv-lxvii A collection of thirty seven Tantras has been published at Calcutta by Babu Rasik Mohun Chatterjee and a few have been published separately

Translated by Avalon, 1913, also by Manmatha Nath Dutt, 1900

Analysed in J A O S xxIII. : 1902.

Edited by Taranatha Vidyaratna, with introduction by A. Avalon, 1917.

is generally cast in the form of a dialogue in which Siva instructs his consort but sometimes *vice versa*. It is said that the former class are correctly described as Agamas and the works where the Sakti addresses Siva as Nigamas<sup>1</sup>. Some are also called Yâmalas and Dâmaras but I have found no definition of the meaning of these words The Prapañcasâra Tantra<sup>2</sup> professes to be a revelation from Nârâyana

Saktısm and the Tantras which teach it are generally condemned by Hindus of other sects3. It is arguable that this condemnation is unjust, for like other forms of Hinduism the Tantras make the liberation of the soul their object and prescribe a life of religious observances including asceticism and meditation, after which the adept becomes released even in this life. But however much new tantric literature may be made accessible in future. I doubt if impartial criticism will come to any opinion except that Saktism and Tantrism collect and emphasize what is superficial, trivial and even bad in Indian religion, omitting or neglecting its higher sides If for instance the Mahamivana Tantra which is a good specimen of these works be compared with Sankara's commentary on the Vedanta Sutras, or the poems of Tulsi Das, it will be seen that it is woefully deficient in the excellences of either But many tantric treatises are chiefly concerned with charms, spells, amulets and other magical methods of obtaining wealth, causing or averting disease and destroying enemies, processes which even if efficacious have nothing to do with the better side of religion4

The religious life prescribed in the Tantras<sup>5</sup> commences with imitiation and requires the supervision of the Guru The object of it is Siddhi or success, the highest form of which is spiritual perfection. Siddhi is produced by Sddhana, or that method of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Avalon, Principles of Tanira, p 1x1 But these are probably special meanings attached to the words by tantric schools Nigama is found pretty frequently, e.g. Manu, rv. 19 and Lahta-vistara, xii. But it is not likely that it is used there in this special sense.

<sup>2</sup> Edited by Avalon, 1914

Saturcal descriptions of Saktism are fairly ancient, eg Karpura Manjari, Harvard edition, pp 25 and 233.

<sup>4</sup> Tantrism has some analogy to the Föng shui or geomancy of the Chinese Both take ancient superstitions which seem incompatible with science and systems tize them into pecucio sciences, remaining blind to the fact that the subject matter is wholly imaginary.

S Wordy Mass follows as for much else in this chapter, I am indehted to Avalon's translation of the Mahâniryâna Tantra and introduction

training the physical and psychic faculties which realizes their potentialities. Tantric training assumes a certain constitution of the universe and the repetition in miniature of this constitution in the human body which contains various nervous centres and subtle channels for the passage of energy unknown to vulgar anatomy. Thus the Sakti who pervades the universe is also present in the body as Kundalini, a serpentine coil of energy, and it is part of Sådhana to arouse this energy and make it mount from the lower to the higher centres. Kundalini is also present in sounds and in letters. Hence if different parts of the body are touched to the accompaniment of appropriate mantras (which rite is called nyāsa) the various Saktis are made to dwell in the human frame in suitable positions.

The Tantras recognize that human beings are not equal and that codes and rituals must vary according to temperament and capacity Three conditions of men, called the animal, heroic and divine1, are often mentioned and are said to characterize three periods of life-youth, manhood and age, or three classes of mankind, non-tantrists, ordinary tantrists, and adepts These three conditions clearly correspond to the three Gunas Also men, or rather Hindus, belong to one of seven groups, or stages, according to the religious practices which it is best for them to follow Saktists apparently demur<sup>2</sup> to the statement commonly made by Indians as well as by Europeans that they are divided into two sects the Dakshinacarins, or right-hand worshippers, whose ritual is public and decent, and the Vamacarins who meet to engage in secret but admittedly immoral orgies. But for practical purposes the division is just, although it must not be supposed that Dakshinacarins necessarily condemn the secret worship They may consider it as good for others but not for themselves Saktists apparently would prefer to state the matter thus There are seven stages of religion First come Vedic, Vishnuite and Sivaite worship, all three inferior, and then Dakshınâcâra, interpreted as meaning favourable worship, that is favourable to the accomplishment of higher purposes, because the worshipper now begins to understand the nature of Devi, the great goddess These four kinds of worship are all said to belong to pravritti or active life The other three, considered to be higher, require a special initiation and belong to nivritte, the

Paśn-, vira-, dwya bhava Avalon, Mahan Tan pp kwix, kwx

1

path of return in which passion and activity are suppressed. And here is propounded the doctrine that passion can be destroyed and exhausted by passion, that is to say that the impulses of eating, drinking and sexual intercourse are best subjugated by indulging them. The fifth stage, in which this method is first adopted, is called Vāmācāra. In the sixth, or Siddhāntācāra, the adept becomes more and more free from passion and prejudice and is finally able to enter Kaulācāra, the highest stage of all. A Kaula is one who has passed beyond all sects and belongs to none, since he has the knowledge of Brahman. "Possessing merely the form of man, he moves about this earth for the salvation of the world and the instruction of men."

These are aspirations common to all Indian religion The peculiarity of the Tentras is to suppose that a ritual which is shocking to most Hindus is an indispensable preliminary to their attainment. Its essential feature is known as pancatativa, the five elements, or pancamakara the five m's, because they all begin with that letter, namely, madya, mansa, matsya, mudra, and maithuna, wine, meat, fish, parched grain and copulation. The celebration of this ritual takes place at midnight, and is called cakra or circle The proceedings begin by the devotees seating themselves in a circle and are said to terminate in an indiscriminate orgy. It is only fair to say that some Tantras inveigh against drunkenness and authorize only moderate drinking?. In all cases it is essential that the wine, flesh, etc.,

Nair ova patanam dravyash addhis tair eva codită—Kulârnava Tantra, v. 48 There is probably something similar in Taciam See Wieger, Historis des Croyences religieuses en Chine, p. 409 The Indian Tantrists were aware of the dangers of their system and said it was as difficult as walking on the edge of a sword or holding a tiger.

Vâmâcâra ze sard not to mean left-hand worship but woman (vâmâ) worship This interpretation of Dakshina and Vâmâcâra ze probably fanosful.

Sometimes two oxtra stages Aghora and Yogacara are meeted here
 Mahan Tan x 108 A Kaula may pretend to be a Vanhnava or a Saiva

Although the Tantras occasionally say that mere ritial is not sufficient for the highest religious, yet indispensable preliminary is often understood as meaning sure means. Thus the Mahanirvana Tantra (x 202, Avalon's transl.) says "Those who worship the Kaulas with pance tative and with heart uplifted, cause the salvation of their ancestors and themselves attain the highest end."

But on the other hand some Tantras or tantrae treatises recommend erasy

abommations

should be formally dedicated to the goddess without this preluminary indulgence in these pleasures is sinful. Indeed it may be said that apart from the ceremonial which they inculcate, the general principles of the Tantras breathe a liberal and intelligent spirit. Caste restrictions are minimized: travelling is permitted. Women are honoured: they can act as teachers: the burning of widows is forbidden<sup>1</sup>: girl widows may remarry<sup>2</sup> and the murder of a woman is peculiarly hemous. Prostitution is denounced Whereas Christianity is sometimes accused of restricting its higher code to Church and Sundays, the opposite may be said of Tantrism. Outside the temple its morality is excellent.

A work like the Mahanirvana Tantra presents a refined form of Saktism modified, so far as may be, in conformity with ordinary Hındu usage<sup>3</sup>. But other features indubitably connect it with aboriginal cults. For instance there is a legend which relates how the body of the Saktı was cut into pieces and scattered over Assam and Bengal. This story has an uncouth and barbarous air and seems out of place even in Puranic mythology It recalls the tales told of Osiris, Orpheus and Halfdan the Black<sup>4</sup> and may be ultimately traceable to the idea that the dismemberment of a deity or a human representative ensures fertility. Until recently the Khonds of Bengal used to hack human victims in pieces as a sacrifice to the Earth Goddess and throw the shreds of flesh on the fields to secure a good harvest<sup>5</sup>. In Sanskrit literature I have not found any authority for the dismemberment of Sati earlier than the Tantras or Upapurāņas (eg Kālıkā), but this late appearance does not mean that the legend is late in itself but merely that it was not countenanced by Sanskrit writers until medieval times. Various reasons for the dismemberment are given and the incident is rather awkwardly tacked on to other stories. One common version relates that when Satt (one of the many forms of Sakti) died of vexation because her husband Siva was insulted by her

Mahânir Tant. x. 79 Bhartrâ saha kuleâânı na dahet kulakâmınım.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>circ}$  E g It does not prescribe human sacrifices and counsels moderation m, the use of wine and mathema

See Frazer's Adones, Attis and Osiris, pp 289-273 for these and other stones of dismemberment.
 See Frazer, Golden Bough · Spirits of the Corn, vol 1. 245 and authorities quoted.

father Daksha, Siva took up her corpse and wandered distractedly carrying it on his shoulder. In order to stop this penance Vishnu followed him and out off pieces from the corpse with his quoit until the whole had fallen to earth in fifty-one pieces The spots where these pieces touched the ground are held sacred and called piths At most of them are shown a rock supposed to represent some portion of the goddess's body and some object called a bhairabi, left by Siva as a guardian to protect her and often taking the form of a lingam The most important of these piths are Kamakhya near Gauhati, Faliur in the Jaintia Parganas, and Kalighat in Calcutta2.

Though the Sakts of Siva is theoretically one, yet since she assumes many forms she becomes in practice many deities or rather she is many deities combined in one or sometimes a sovereign attended by a retinue of similar female spirits. Among such forms we find the ten Mahavidyas, or personifications of her supernatural knowledge, the Mahamatus, Matrikas or the Great Mothers, allied to the abornginal goddesses already mentioned, the Nayakas or mistresses, the Yoginis or sorceresses, and fiends called Dakun's But the most popular of her manifestations are Durga and Kali The sects which revere these goddesses are the most important religious bodies in Bengal, where they number thirty-five million adherents The Durgapuja is the greatest festival of the year in north-eastern Indias and in the temple of Kalighat at Calcutta may be seen the singular spectacle of educated Hindus decapitating goats before the image of Kali It is a black female figure with gaping mouth and protruded tongue dancing on a prostrate body4, and

1 Images representing this are common in Assam

a Hauan Chuang (Watters, vol I chap VII) mentions several sacred places in NW India where the Buddha in a previous birth was dismembered or gave his flesh to feed mankind Can these places have been similar to the piths of Assaul and were the original heroes of the legend dottes who were diamembered like Sati and subsequently accommodated to Buddhist thoology as Bodhisativas?

It is an autumnal festival A special image of the goddess is made which is worshipped for nine days and then thrown into the river For an account of the festival which makes its tantrio character very clear see Durga Puja by Pratapa

chandra Ghosha, Colcutta, 1871

 One explanation given is that she was so clated with her victories over giants that she began to dance which shock the Universe Sive in order to save the world placed himself beneath her fest and when she saw she was trampling on her husband, she stopped But there are other explanations

Another of the strangely barbaru legends which cluster round the Sakta is

adorned with skulls and horrid emblems of destruction. Of her four hands two carry a sword and a severed head but the other two are extended to give blessing and protection to her worshippers. So great is the crowd of enthusiastic suppliants that it is often hard to approach the shrine and the nationalist party in Bengal who clamour for parliamentary institutions are among the goddess's devotees

It is easy to oriticize and condemn this worship Its outward signs are repulsive to Europeans and its inner meaning strange, for even those who pray to the Madonna are startled by the idea that the divine nature is essentially feminine 1 Yet this idea has deep roots in the heart of Bengal and with it another idea the terrors of death, plague and storm are half but only half revelations of the goddess-mother who can be smiling and tender as well Whatever may be the ougin of Kali and of the strange images which represent her, she is now no she-devil who needs to be propitiated, but a reminder that birth and death are twins, that the horrors of the world come from the same source as its grace and beauty and that cheerful acceptance of the deity's terrible manifestations is an essential part of the higher spiritual life? These ideas are best expressed in the songs of Râma Prasâda Sen (1718-1775) which "still reign supreme in the villages" of Bengal and show that this strange worship has really a hold on millions of Indian rustics3 The directness and childlike simplicity of his poems have caused an Indian critic to compare lum to Blake "Though the mother beat the child," he sings, "the child cries mother, mother, and clings still

iliustrated by the figure called Chinnamastaka It represents the goddess as carrying her own head which she has just out off, while from the neck sport fountams of blood which are drunk by her attendants and by the severed head itself

<sup>1</sup> Yet the English mystic Julian, the anchoress of Norwich (c 1400), maists on the motherhood as well as the fatherhood of God "God is our mother, brother and Saviour" "As verily God is our father, so verily God is our mother"

Se too in an inscription found at Capus (OIN 3580) Isis is addressed as una quae es omnia

The Power addressed in Swinburne's poems Mater Triumphalis, Hertha, The Pilgrims and Dolores is really a conception very similar to Salti

These ideas find frequent expression in the works of Bunkim Chandra Chatterjee, Dinesh Chandra Sen and Sister Nivedita

<sup>2</sup> See Dinesh Chandra Sen, *Hist Beng Lang and Lit* pp 712-721 Even the iconoclast Devendranath Tagore speaks of the Universal Mother See *Autobiog* p 240

tighter to her garment True, I cannot see thee, yet I am not a lost ohild I still cry mother, mother."

"All the miseries that I have suffered and am suffering, I know, O mother, to be your mercy alone."

I must confess that I cannot fully sympathize with this worship, even when it is sung in the hymns of Råma Prasåda, but it is clear that he makes it tolerable just because he throws aside all the magic and ritual of the Tantras and deals straight with what are for him elemental and emotional facts. He makes even sceptics feel that he has really seen God in this strange

guise.

The chief sanctuary of Såktısm 1s at Kâmâkhyâ (or Kâmâkshâ) on a hill which stands on the banks of the Brahmaputra, about two miles below Gauhati It is mentioned in the Padma Purana. The temples have been rebuilt several times, and in the eighteenth century were munificently endowed by an Ahom king, and placed under the management of a Brahman from Nadia in Bengal, with reversion to his descendants who bear the title of Parbatiya Gosams. Considerable estates are still assigned to their upkeep There are ten1 shrines on the hill dedicated to various forms of the Sakti The situation is magnificent, commanding an extensive prospect over the Brahmaputra and the plains on either bank, but none of the buildings are of much architectural ment. The largest and best is the temple dedicated to Kamakhya herself, the goddess of sexual desire It is of the style usual in northern India, an unlighted shrine surmounted by a dome, and approached by a rather ample vestibule, which is also imperfectly lighted. An inscription has been preserved recording the restoration of the temple about 1550 but only the present basement dates from that time, most of the superstructure being recent. Europeans may not enter but an image of the goddess can be seen from a side door. In the depths of the shrine is said to be a cleft in the rock, adored as the Yoni of Sakta. In front of the temple are two posts to which a goat is tied, and decapitated daily at noon Below the principal shrine is the temple of Bhairavi Human sacrifices were offered here in comparatively recent times, and it is not denied that they would be offered now if the law allowed Also it is not denied

<sup>1</sup> So I was told, but I saw only six, when I visited the place in 1910

that the rites of the "five m's" already mentioned are frequently performed in these temples, and that Aghoris may be found in them The spot attracts a considerable number of pilgrims from Bengal, and a wealthy devotee has built a villa on the hill and pays visits to it for the purpose of taking part in the rites. I was informed that the most esteemed scriptures of the sect are the Yoginî Tantra, the Mahâniryana Tantra, and the Kâlika Purana. This last work contains a section or chapter on blood<sup>1</sup>, which gives rules for the performance of human sacrifices. It states however that they should not be performed by the first three castes, which is perhaps a way of saying that though they may be performed by non-Aryans under Brahmanic auspices they form no part of the Aryan religion. But they are recommended to princes and ministers and should not be performed without the consent of princes The ritual bears little resemblance to the Vedic sacrifices and the essence of the ceremony is the presentation to the goddess of the victim's severed head in a vessel of gold, silver, copper, brass or wood but not of iron. The axe with which the decapitation is to be performed is solemnly consecrated to Kali and the victim is worshipped before immolation. The sacrificer first thinks of Brahma and the other gods as being present in the victim's body, and then prays to him directly as being all the gods in one "When this has been done" says Siva, who is represented as himself revealing these rules, "the victim is even as myself" This identification of the human victim with the god has many analogies elsewhere, particularly among the Khonds2.

ļ

ı,

ş

It is remarkable that this barbarous and immoral worship, though looked at askance except in its own holy places, is by no means confined to the lower castes. A series of apologies composed in excellent English (but sometimes anonymous) attest the sympathy of the educated. So far as theology and metaphysics are concerned, these defences are plausible. The Sakta is identified with Prakriti or with the Mâyâ of the Advaita philosophy and defined as the energy, coexistent with Brahman, which creates the world. But attempts to palliate the ceremonial, such as the argument that it is a consecration and limitation of the appetites because they may be gratified only in the service

Rudhrädhyäya Translated in As Researches, v. 1798, pp 371-391.
 See Frazer, op cif p 248

of the goddess, are not convincing. Nor do the Saktas, when able to profess their faith openly, deny the nature of their rites or the importance attached to them. An oft-quoted tantric verse represents Siva as saying Maithunena mahdyogi mama tulyo na samsayah. And for practical purposes that is the gist of Saktast teaching

The temples of Kâmâkhyâ leave a disagreeable impression—an impression of dark evil haunts of lust and bloodshed, akin to madness and unrelieved by any grace or vigour of art. For there is no attempt in them to represent the terrible or voluptuous aspects of Hinduism, such as find expression in sculpture elsewhere. All the buildings, and especially the modern temple of Kâlî, which was in process of construction when I saw the place, testify to the atrophy and paralysis produced by crotic forms of religion in the artistic and intellectual spheres, a phenomenon which finds another sad illustration in quite different theological surroundings among the Vallabhâcârya sect at Gokul near Muttra

It would be a poor service to India to palliate the evils and extravagances of Saktism, but still it must be made clear that it is not a mere survival of barbaric practices. The writers of the Tantras are good Hindus and declare that their object is to teach liberation and union with the Supreme Spirit The ecstasies induced by tantric rites produce this here in a preliminary form to be made perfect in the liberated soul This is not the craze of a few hysterical devotees, but the faith of millions among whom many are well educated. In some aspects Såktism is similar to the erotic Vishnuite sects, but there is little real analogy in their ways of thinking For the essence of Vishnuism is passionate devotion and self-surrender to a deity and this idea is not prominent in the Tantras The strange inconsistencies of Saktism are of the kind which are characteristic of Hinduism as a whole, but the contrasts are more violent and the monstrosities more conspicuous than elsewhere, wild legends and metaphysics are mixed together, and the peace that passes all understanding is to be obtained by orgies and offerings of blood.

## CHAPTER XXXIII

## HINDU PHILOSOPHY

1

Philosophy is more closely connected with religion in India than in Europe. It is not a dispassionate scientific investigation but a practical religious quest Even the Nyaya school, which is concerned chiefly with formal logic, promises that by the removal of false knowledge it can emancipate the soul and give the bliss of salvation. Nor are the expressions system or school of philosophy, commonly used to render darsana, altogether happy The word is derived from the root dris, to see, and means a way of looking at things As such a way of looking is supposed to be both comprehensive and orderly, it is more or less what we call philosophical, but the points of view are so special and so various that the result is not always what we call a philosophical system Mådhava's 1 list of Darsanas includes Buddhism and Jamesm, which are commonly regarded as separate religions, as well as the Pâsupata and Sarva, which are sects of Hinduism The Darsana of Jaimini is merely a discussion of general questions relating to sacrifices. the Nyaya Darsana examines logic and rhetoric the Paniniya Darsana treats of grammar and the nature of language, but claims that it ought to be studied "as the means for attaining the chief end of man 2 "

Six of the Darsanas have received special prominence and are often called the six Orthodox Schools. They are the Nyâya and Vaiseshika, Sânkhya and Yoga, Pûrva and Uttara Mîmâmsâ,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In the Sarva-daréana sangraha, the best known compendium of Indian philosophy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> J C Chattern's definition of Indian philosophy (in his Indian Realism, p 1) is interesting "By Hindu philosophy I mean that branch of the amount learning of the Hindus which demonstrates by reasoning propositions with regard to (a) what a man ought to do in order to gain true happiness. or (b) what he ought to realize by direct experience in order to be radically and absolutely freed from suffering and to be absolutely independent, such propositions being already given and lines of reasoning in their support being established by duly qualified "authorities."

or Vedanta. The rest are either comparatively unimportant or are more conveniently treated of as religious sects. The six placed on the select list are sufficiently miscellaneous and one wonders what principle of classification can have brought them together. The first two have little connection with religion, though they put forward the emancipation of the soul as their object, and I have no space to discuss them They are however important as showing that realism has a place in Indian thought in spite of its marked tendency to idealism! They are concerned chiefly with an examination of human faculties and the objects of knowledge, and are related to one another The special doctrine of the Varseshika is the theory of atoms ascribed to Kanâda. It teaches that matter consists of atoms (anu) which are eternal in themselves though all combinations of them are hable to decompose The Sankhya and Yoga are also related and represent two aspects of the same system which is of great antiquity and allied to Buddhism and Jamism The two Mimamsas are consecutive expositions of the teaching scattered throughout the Vedic texts respecting ceremonial and the knowledge of God respectively The second Mîmâmsâ, commonly called the Vedanta, is by far the more interesting and important

The common feature in these six systems which constitutes their orthodoxy is that they all admit the authority of the Veda This implies more than our phrases revelation or inspiration of the Bible Most of the Darsanas attach importance to the pramanas, sources or standards of knowledge They are variously enumerated, but one of the oldest definitions makes them three perception (pratyaksha), inference (anumana) and scripture (śabda) The Veda is thus formally acknowledged to have the same authority as the evidence of the senses With this is generally coupled the doctrine that it is eternal It was not composed by human authors, but is a body of sound existing from eternity as part of Brahman and breathed out by him when he causes the whole creation to evolve at the beginning of a world period The reputed authors are simply those who have, in Indian language, seen portions of this self-existent teaching This doctrine sounds more reasonable if restated in the form that words are the expression of thought, and that if thought is the eternal essence of both Brahman and the soul,

<sup>1</sup> See Chatterji's work above cited

a similar eternity may attach to words. Some such idea is the origin of the Christian doctrine of the Logos, and in many religions we find such notions as that words have a creative efficacy<sup>1</sup>, or that he who knows the name of a thing has power over it. Among Mohammedans the Koran is supposed to be not merely an inspired composition but a pre-existing book, revealed to Mohammed piecemeal

It is curious that both the sacred texts—the Veda and the Koran—to which this supernatural position is ascribed should be collections of obviously human, incongruous, and often insignificant documents connected with particular occasions, and in no way suggesting or claiming that they are anterior to the ordinary life of man on earth It is still more extraordinary that systems of philosophy should profess to base themselves on such works But in reality Hindu metaphysicians are not more bound by the past than their colleagues in other lands They do not take scripture and ask what it means, but evolve their own systems and state that they are in accordance with it. Sometimes scripture is ignored in the details of argument. More often the metaphysician writes a commentary on it and boldly proves that it supports his views, though its apparent meaning may be hostile. It is clear that many philosophic commentaries have been written not because the authors really drew their inspiration from the Upanishads or Bhagavad-gîtâ but because they dared not neglect such important texts. All the Vedantist schools labour to prove that they are in harmony not only with the Upanishads but with the Brahma-sûtras The philosophers of the Sankhya are more detached from literature but though they ignore the existence of the deity, they acknowledge the Veda as a source of knowledge Their recognition, however, has the air of a concession to Brahmanic sentiment. Isolated theories of the Sankhya can be supported by isolated passages of the Upanishads, but no impartial critic can maintain that the general doctrines of the two are compatible That the Brahmans should have been willing to admit the Sankhya as a possible form of orthodoxy is a testimony both to its importance and to their liberality

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It is this idea which disposes educated Hindus to believe in the magical or sacramental power of mystic syllables and letters, though the use of such spells access to Europeans incredible folly

It is remarkable that the test of orthodoxy should have been the acceptance of the authority of the Veda and not a confession of some sort of theism. But on this the Brahmans did not insist. The Vedanta is truly and intensely pantheistic or theistic, but in the other philosophies the Supreme Being is either eliminated or plays a small part Thus while works which seem to be merely scientific treatises (like the Nyaya) set before themselves a religious object, other treatises, seemingly religious in scope, ignore the derty. There is a strong and ancient line of thought in India which, basing itself on the doctrine of Karma, or the inevitable consequences of the deed once done, lays stress on the efficacy of ceremonies or of asceticism or of knowledge without reference to a Supreme Being because, if he exists, he does not interfere with the workings of Karma, or with the power

of knowledge to release from them

Even the Vedânta, although m a way the quintessence of Indian orthodoxy, is not a scholastic philosophy designed to support recognized dogma and ritual It is rather the orthodox method of soaring above these things It contemplates from a higher level the life of religious observances (which is the subject of the Pûrva Mîmâmsâ) and recognizes its value as a preliminary, but yet rejects it as madequate. The Sannyası or adept follows no caste observances, performs no sacrifices, reads no scriptures His religion is to realize in meditation the true nature, and it may be the identity, of the soul and God Good works are of no more importance for him than rites, though he does well to employ his time in teaching But Karma has ceased to exist for him. "the acts of a Yogi are neither black nor white," they have no moral quality nor consequences This is dangerous language and the doctrine has sometimes been abused. But the point of the teaching is not that a Sannyası may do what he likes but that he is perfectly emancipated from material bondage Most men are bound by their deeds, every new act brings consequences which attach the doer to the world of transmigration and create for him new existences deeds of the man who is really free have no such trammelling effects, for they are not prompted by desire nor directed to an object But since to become free he must have suppressed all desire, it is hardly conceivable that he should do anything which could be called a sin. But this conviction that the task of the

sage is not to perfect any form of good conduct but to rise above both good and evil, imparts to the Darsanas and even to the Upanishads a singularly non-ethical and detached tone The Yogi does no harm but he has less benevolence and active sympathy than the Buddhist monk. It was a feeling that such an attitude has its dangers and is only for the few who have fought their way to the heights where it can safely be adopted, that led the Brahmans in all ages to lay stress on the householder's life as the proper preparation for a philosophic old age. Despite utterances to the contrary, they never as a body approved the ideal of a life entirely devoted to asceticism and not occupied with social duties during one period. The extraordinary ease with which the higher phases of Indian thought shake off all formalities, social, religious and ethical, was counterbalanced by the multitudinous regulations devised to keep the majority in a law-abiding life

None of the six Darsanas concern themselves with ethics. The more important deal with the transcendental progress of sages who have avowedly abandoned the life of works, and even those which treat of that lower life are occupied with ritual and logic rather than with anything which can be termed moral science. We must not infer that Indian literature is altogether unmoral. The doctrine of Karma is intensely ethical and ethical discussions are more prominent in the Epics than in Homer, besides being the subject of much gnomic and didactic poetry. But there is no mistaking the fact that the Hindu seeks for salvation by knowledge. He feels the power of deeds, but it is only the lower happiness which lies in doing good works and enjoying their fruits. The higher bliss consists in being entirely free from the bondage of deeds and Karma.

All the Darsanas have as a common principle this idea of Karma with the attendant doctrines that rebirth is a consequence of action and that salvation is an escape from rebirth. They all treat more or less of the sources and standards of knowledge, and all recognize the Veda as one of them There is not much more that can be said of them all in common, for the Vedânta ignores matter and the Sânkhya ignores God, but they all share a conviction which presents difficulties to Europeans. It is that the state in which the mind ceases to think discursively and is concentrated on itself is not only desirable but the summum

bonum. The European is inclined to say that such a state is distinguished from non-existence only by not being permanent. But the Hindu will have none of this. He holds that mind and thought are material though composed of the subtlest matter, and that when thought ceases, the immaterial soul (purusha or atman) far from being practically non-existent is more truly existent than before and enjoys untroubled its own existence and its own nature.

Of the three most important systems, the Sankhya, Yoga and Vedânta, the first and last are on most points opposed both are ancient, but perhaps the products of different intellectual centres. In one sense the Yoga may be described as a theistic modification of the Sankhya from another and perhaps juster point of view it appears rather as a very ancient science of asceticism and contemplation, susceptible of combination with various metaphysical theories.

2

We may consider first of all the Sånkhya¹. Tradition ascribes its invention to Kapila, but he is a mere name unconnected with any date or other circumstance. It is probable that the principal ideas of the Sånkhya germinated several centuries before our era but we have no evidence whatever as to when they were first formulated in Sûtras. The name was current as the designation of a philosophical system fairly early² but the accepted text-books are all late. The most respected is the Sånkhya-pravacana³, attributed to Kapila but generally assigned by European critics to the fourteenth century A D. Considerably more ancient, but still clearly a metrical epitome of a system already existing, is the Sånkhya-Kårikå, a poem of seventy verses which was translated into Chinese about 560 A D. and may be a few centuries older. Max Muller regarded the Tattva-samåsa, a short tract consisting chiefly of an enumeration of

This appears to be the real title of the Sûtras edited and translated by

Ballantyne as "The Sankhya Aphonsms of Kapila"

See especially Garbo, Die Sanlhya Philosophie, 1894, and Keith, The Sanlhya System, 1919, which however reached me too late for me to make any use of it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Eg in the Bhagavad gitä and Svetäśvatara Upanishads. According to tradition Kapila taught Asuri and be, Pañcasikha, who made the system celebrated Garbe thinks Pañcasikha may be assigned to the first century AD

topics, as the most ancient Sankhya formulary, but the opinion of scholars as to its age is not unanimous. The name Sankhya is best interpreted as signifying enumeration in allusion to the predilection of the school for numbered lists, a predilection

equally noticeable in early Buddhism.

The object of the system set forth in these works is strictly practical. In the first words of the Sånkhya-pravacana, the complete cessation of suffering is the end of man, and the Sånkhya is devised to enable him to attain it Another formula divides the contents of the Sånkhya into four topics—(a) that from which man must liberate himself, or suffering, (b) liberation, or the cessation of suffering, (c) the cause of suffering, or the failure to discriminate between the soul and matter, (d) the means of liberation, or discriminating knowledge This division obviously resembles the four Truths of Buddhism The object proposed is the same and the method analogous, though not identical, for Buddhism speaks as a religion and lays greater stress on conduct

The theory of the Sankhya, briefly stated, is this There exist, uncreated and from all eternity, on the one side matter and on the other individual souls. The world, as we know it, is due entirely to the evolution of matter. Suffering is the result of souls being in bondage to matter, but this bondage does not affect the nature of the soul and in one sense is not real, for when souls acquire discriminating knowledge and see that they are not matter, then the bondage ceases and they attain to eternal peace.

The system is thus founded on dualism, the eternal antithesis between matter and soul Many of its details are comprised in the simple enumeration of the twenty-five Tattvas or principles as given in the Tattva-samasa and other works. Of these, one is Purusha, the soul or self, which is neither produced nor productive, and the other twenty-four are all modifications of Prakriti or matter, which is unproduced but productive. Prakriti means the original ground form of external existence (as distinguished from Vikriti, modified form). It is uncreated and indestructible, but it has a tendency to variation or evolu-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Or topics. It is difficult to find any one English word which covers the twenty-five tattvas, for they include both general and special ideas, mind and matter on the one hand, special organs on the other

tion. The Sankhya holds in the strictest sense that ex nihlo nihl fit Substance can only be produced from substance and properly speaking there is no such thing as origination but only manifestation. Causality is regarded solely from the point of view of material causes, that is to say the cause of a pot is clay and not the action of the potter. Thus the effect or product is nothing else than the cause in another shape production is only manifestation and destruction is the resolution of a product into its cause. Instead of holding like the Buddhists that there is no such thing as existence but only becoming, the Sankhya rather affirms that there is nothing but successive manifestations of real existence. If clay is made into a pot and the pot is then broken and ground into clay again, the essential fact is not that a pot has come into existence and disappeared but that the clay continuously existing has undergone certain changes.

The tendency to evolution inherent in matter is due to the three gunas They are sattva, explained as goodness and happiness; rajas, as passion and movement, and tamas, as darkness, heavmess and ignorance. The word Guna is not easy to translate, for it seems to mean more than quality or mode and to signify the constituents of matter. Hence one cannot help feeling that the whole theory is an attempt to explain the unity and diversity of matter by a phrase, but all Hinduism is permeated by this phrase and theory. When the three gunas are in equilibrium then matter-Prakriti-is quiescent, undifferentiated and unmanifested. But as soon as the equilibrium is disturbed and one of the gunas becomes preponderant, then the process of differentiation and manifestation begins The disturbance of equilibrium is due to the action of the individual Purushas or souls on Prakriti, but this action is mechanical and due to proximity not to the volution of the souls and may be compared to the attraction of a magnet for iron1 Thus at the beginning of the evolutionary process we have quescent matter in equilbrium over against this are souls innumerable, equally quiescent but exerting on matter a mechanical force. This upsets the equilibrium and creates a movement which takes at first the form of development and later of decay and collapse Then matter returns to its quiescent state to be again excited by the Purushas and commence its world-making evolution anew. The

<sup>2</sup> Sankh. Pravac 1. 96

doctrine that evolution, dissolution and quiescence succeed one another periodically is an integral part of the Sankhya<sup>1</sup>.

The unmodified Prakriti stands first on the list of twenty-five principles When evolution begins it produces first Buddhi or intellect, secondly Ahamkara, which is perhaps best rendered by individuality, and next the five Tanmatras or subtle elements. Buddhi, though meaning intellect, is used rather in the sense of ascertaining or perception. It is the faculty by which we distanguish objects and perceive what they are. It differs also from our conception of intellect in being, like Ahamkara and all the subsequent developments of Prakriti, material, and must not be confused with the immaterial Purusha or soul. It is in fact the organ of thought, not in the sense of the brain or anything tangible, but a subtle substratum of all mental processes But in what sense is it possible to say that this Buddhi exists apart from individuals, who have not come into being at this stage of cosmic evolution? This difficulty is not met by talking, as some commentators do, of cosmic as well as individual Buddhi, for even if all Prakriti is illuminated by Buddhi at this stage it is difficult to see what result can occur. To make the process of development coherent we must think of it not as a series of chronologically successive stages but rather as a logically connected series and an analysis of completely evolved beings, just as we might say that bones are covered with flesh and flesh with skin, without affirming that the bones have a separate and prior existence. Ahamkara, which is, like Buddhi, strictly speaking a physical organ, means Ego-maker and denotes the sense of personality and individuality, almost the will In the language of Indian philosophy it is the delusion or misconception which makes the soul imagine itself a personal agent and think, I see. I hear, I slay, I am slam, whereas the soul is really incapable of action and the acts are those of Prakriti

The five subtle elements are the essences of sound, touch, colour, savour and odour conceived as physical principles, imperceptible to ordinary beings, though gods and Yogis can perceive them The name Tanmatra which signifies that only indicates that they are concerned exclusively with one sense.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Garbe, Die Sânkhya Philosophie, p $\,222\,$  He considers that it spread thence to other schools. This involves the assumption that the Sânkhya is prior to Buddhism and Jamism

Thus whereas the gross elements, such as earth, appeal to more than one sense and can be seen, felt and smelt, the subtle element of sound is restricted to the sense of hearing. It exists in all things audible but has nothing to do with their tangibility or visibility There remain sixteen further modifications to make up the full list of twenty-four They are the five organs of sense1, the five organs of action2, Manas or mind, regarded as a sixth and central sense, and also as the seat of will, and the five gross elements—earth, water, light, air and ether The Sankhya distinguishes between the gross and the subtle body The latter, called lingasarira, is defined in more than one way, but it is expressly stated in the Kârikâs<sup>3</sup> that it is composed of "Buddhi and the rest, down to the subtle elements" It practically corresponds to what we call the soul, though totally distinct from Purusha or soul in the Sankhya sense It constitutes the character and essential being of a person. It is the part which transmigrates from one gross body to another, and is responsible for the acts committed in each existence Its union with a gross body constitutes birth, its departure death Except in the case of those who attain emancipation, its existence and transmigration last for a whole world-period at the end of which come quiescence and equilibrium In it are imprinted the Samskåras\*, the predispositions which pass on from one existence to another and are latent in the new-born mind like seeds in a field.

By following the evolution of matter we have now accounted for intellect, individuality, the senses, the moral character, will, and a principle which survives death and transmigrates. It might therefore be supposed that we have exhaustively analysed the constitution of a human being. But that is not the view of the Sankhya. The evolution of Buddhi, Ahamkara, the subtle body and the gross body is a physical process and the result is also physical, though parts of it are of so fine a substance that ordinary senses cannot perceive them. This physical organism becomes a living being (which term includes gods and animals) when it is connected with a soul (purusha) and consciousness depends on this connection, for neither is matter when isolated conscious, nor is the soul, at least not in our sense of the word.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ears, skin, eyes, tongue and noss

<sup>2</sup> Voice, hands, feet, organs of excretion and generation

<sup>\*</sup> Verse 40

<sup>4</sup> Ci the Buddhet Sankharas

Though the soul is neither the life which ends at death (for that is the gross body) nor yet the life which passes from existence to existence (for that is the subtle body) yet it is the vitalizing

element which renders life possible

The Sankhya like Jamism regards souls as innumerable and distinct from one another. The word Purusha must have originally referred to the manikin supposed to inhabit the body. and there is some reason to think that the earliest teachers of the Sankhya held that it was infinitely small. But in the existing text-books it is described as infinitely large. It is immaterial and without beginning, end, parts, dimensions, or qualities, incapable of change, motion, or action. These definitions may be partly due to the influence of the Vedanta and, though we know little about the historical development of the Sankhya, there are traces of a compromise between the old teaching of a soul held in bondage and struggling for release and later conceptions of a soul which, being infinite and passionless, hardly seems capable of submitting to bondage. Though the soul cannot be said to transmigrate, to act, or to suffer, still through consciousness it makes the suffering of the world felt and though in its essence it remains eternally unchanged and unaffected, yet it experiences the reflection of the suffering which goes on. Just as a crystal (to use the Indian simile) allows a red flower to be seen through it and remains unchanged, although it seems to become red, so does the soul remain unchanged by sorrow or joy, although the illusion that it suffers or rejoices may be present in the consciousness

The task of the soul is to free itself from illusion, and thus from bondage. For strictly speaking the bondage does not exist. it is caused by want of discrimination. Like the Vedânta, the Sânkhya regards all this troubled life as being, so far as the soul is concerned, mere illusion. But while the Vedânta bids the soul know its identity with Brahman, the Sânkhya bids it isolate itself and know that the acts and feelings which seem to be its own have really nothing to do with it. They are for the soul nothing but a spectacle or play originating in its connection with Prakriti, and it is actually said, "Wherefore no soul is bound, or is liberated or transmigrates. It is Prakriti, which has many bodily forms, which is bound, liberated and trans-

<sup>1</sup> Sankh Kar. 62.

migrates" It is in Buddhi or intellect, which is a manifestation of Prakriti, that the knowledge of the difference between the soul and Prakriti must arise. Thus though the Sankhva reposes on a fundamental dualism, it is not the dualism of good and evil. Soul and matter differ not because the first is good and the second bad, but because the first is unchangeable and the second constantly changing. Matter is often personified as a woman. Her motives are unselfish and she works for the liberation of the soul. "As a dancer after showing herself on the stage ceases to dance, so does Prakritz cease when she has made herself manifest to the soul" That is to say, when a soul once understands that it is distinct from the material world, that world ceases to exist for that particular soul, though of course the play continues for others. "Generous Prakriti, endowed with Gunas, causes by manifold means without benefit to herself, the benefit of the soul, which is devoid of Gunas and makes no return1." The condition of the liberated soul, corresponding to the moksha and nirvana of other systems, is described as Karvalya, that is, complete separation from the material world, but, as among Buddhists and Vedantists, he who has learnt the truth is liberated even before death, and can teach others. He goes on living, just as the wheel continues to revolve for some time after the potter has ceased to turn it After death, complete liberation without the possibility of re-birth is attained. The Sankhva manuals do not dwell further on the character of this liberation we only know that the eternal soul is then completely isolated and aloof from all suffering and material things Liberation is compared to profound sleep, the difference being that in dreamless sleep there is a seed, that is, the possibility of return to ordinary life, whereas when liberation is once attained there is no such return.

Both in its account of the world process and in its scheme of salvation the Sankhya ignores theism in the same way as did the Buddha. Indeed the text-books go beyond this and practically deny the existence of a personal supreme deity. We are told2 that the existence of God cannot be proved, for whatever exists must be either bound or free and God can be neither. We cannot think of him as bound and yet he cannot be free like an emancipated soul, for freedom implies the absence of desire and hence Bankh, Pravac 1 92-95.

ŧ

١

of the impulse to create. Similarly the consequences of good and evil deeds are due to Karma and not to the government of God Such a ruler is inconceivable, for if he governs the world according to the action of Karma his existence is superfluous. and if he is affected by selfish motives or desire, then he cannot be free. It is true that these passages speak of there being no proof of God's existence and hence commentators both Indian and European who skrink from atheism represent the Sankhya as suspending judgment But if a republican constitution duly describes the President and other authorities in whom the powers of government are vested, can we argue that it is not unmonarchical because it does not expressly say there is no king? In the Sankhya there is no more place for a derty than for a king in a republican constitution. Moreover, the Sûtras endeavour to prove that the idea of God is inconceivable and self-contradictory and some commentaries speak plainly on this subject2. Thus the Sankhya-tattva-kaumudi commenting on Karika 57 argues that the world cannot have been created by God, whether we suppose him to have been impelled by selfishness or kindness For if God is perfect he can have no need to create a world And if his motive is kindness, is it reasonable to call into existence beings who while non-existent had no suffering, simply in order to show kindness in relieving them from suffering? A benevolent derty ought to create only happy creatures, not a mixed world like the one we sees.

Arguments like this were not condemned by the Brahmans so strongly as we should expect, but they did not like them and though they did not excommunicate the Sankhya in the same way as Buddhism, they greatly preferred a theistic variety of it called Yoga

The Yoga and Sankhya are mentioned together in the Svetāśvatara Upanishad<sup>4</sup>, and the Bhagavad-gîtā<sup>5</sup> says that he sees truly who sees them as one. The difference lies in treatment

\* v. 5

<sup>1</sup> Saulh Pravac v 2-12

Thus Sankh Pravac v. 46, says Tatkartuh purushasyabhavat and the commentary explains Isvara-pratishedhad its seshah "supply the words, because we deny that there is a supreme God "

Nevertheless the commentator Vijñâna-Bhikahu (c 1500) tries to explain away this atheism and to reconcile the Sankhya with the Vedanta See Garbe's preface to his edition of the Sankhya-pravacana-bhashya.

<sup>4</sup> VL 13

rather than in substance. Whereas the Sankhya is mainly theoretical, the principal topic of the Yoga is the cultivation of that frame of mind which leads to emancipation and the methods and exercises proper to this end Further, the Yoga recognizes a deity This distinction may seem of capital importance but the god of the Yoga (called Isvara or the Lord) is not its foundation and essence as Brahman is of the Vedantal. Devotion to God is recognized as one among other methods for attaining emancipation and if this particular procedure, which is mentioned in relatively few passages, were omitted, the rest of the system would be unaffected It is therefore probable that the theistic portions of the Yoga are an addition made under Brahmanic influence But taking the existing Sûtras of the two philosophies, together with their commentaries, it may be said that the Yoga implies most of the Sankhya theory and the Sankhya most of the Yoga practice, for though it does not go into details it prescribes meditation which is to be perfected by regulating the breathing and by adopting certain postures I have already spoken of the methods and discipline prescribed by the Yoga and need not dwell further on the topic now

That Buddhism has some connection with the Sankhya and Yoga has often been noticed. Some of the ideas found in the Sankhya and some of the practices prescribed by the Yoga are clearly anterior to Gotama and may have contributed to his mental development, but circumspection is necessary in the use of words like Yoga, Sankhya and Vedanta. If we take them to mean the doctrinal systems contained in certain sitras, they are clearly all later than Buddhism. But if we assume, as we may safely do, that the doctrine is much older than the manuals in which we now study it, we must also remember that when we leave the texts we are not justified in thinking of a system but merely of a line of thought. In this sense it is clear that many ideas of the Sankhya appear among the Jams, but the Jams know nothing of the evolution of matter described by the Sankhya manuals and think of the relation of the soul to matter

<sup>1</sup> Isvars is apparently a purusha like others but greater in glory and untouched by human infirmities. Yoga sutras, r. 24-26

by numan marginess access to the Sankhya-karika bhashya and a treatise on 2 It is a singular fact that both the Sankhya-karika bhashya and a treatise on the Vasteshika philosophy are included in the Chinese Tripitaka (Nanjio, Cat Nos 1300 and 1295) A warning is however added that they are not "the law of the Buddha".

in a more materialistic way. The notion of the separate eternal soul was the object of the Buddha's persistent polemics and was apparently a popular doctrine when he began preaching. The ascetic and meditative exercises prescribed by the Yoga were also known before his time and the Pitakas do not hide the fact that he received instruction from two Yogis. But though he was acquainted with the theories and practices which grew into the Yoga and Sankhya, he did not found his religion on them for he rejected the idea of a soul which has to be delivered and did not make salvation dependent on the attainment of trances If there was in his time a systematic Sankhya philosophy explaining the nature of suffering and the way of release, it is strange that the Pitakas contain no criticism of it, for though to us who see these ancient sects in perspective the resemblance of Buddhism to the Sankhya is clear, there can be little doubt that the Buddha would have regarded it as a most erroneous heresy, because it proposes to attain the same objects as his own teaching but by different methods.

Sankhya ideas are not found in the oldest Upamshads, but they appear (though not in a connected form) in those of the second stratum, such as the Svetäśvatara and Katha. It therefore seems probable, though not proven, that the origin of these ideas is to be sought not in the early Brahmanic schools but in the intellectual atmosphere non-theistic, non-sacerdotal, but audaciously speculative which prevailed in the central and castern part of northern India in the sixth century B.C. The Sankhya recognizes no ment in sacrifices or indeed in good works of any kind, even as a preliminary discipline, and in many details is un-Brahmanic. Unlike the Vedanta Sûtras, it does not exclude Súdras from higher studies, but states that there are eight classes of gods and five of animals but only one of men A teacher must have himself attained emancipation, but there is no provision that he must be a Brahman. Perhaps the fables and parables which form the basis of the fourth book of the Sankhya Sûtras point to some more popular form of instruction similar to the discourses of the Buddha We may suppose that this ancient un-Brahmanic school took shape in several sects, especially Jainism and Buddhism, and used the Yoga discipline But the value and efficacy of that discipline were admitted almost universally and several centuries later it was

formulated in the Sûtras which bear the name of Patañiali m a shape acceptable to Brahmans, not to Buddhists If, as some scholars think, the Yoga sutras are not earlier than 450 A D 1 it seems probable that it was Buddhism which stimulated the Brahmans to codify the principles and practice of Yoga, for the Yogacara school of Buddhism arose before the fifth century The Sankhya is perhaps a somewhat similar brahmanization of the purely speculative ideas which may have prevailed in Magadha and Kosala<sup>2</sup>. Though these districts were not strongholds of Brahmanism, yet it is clear from the Pitakas that they contained a considerable Brahman population who must have been influenced by the ideas current around them but also must have wished to keep in touch with other Brahmans The Sankhya of our manuals represents such an attempt at conciliation. It is an elaboration in a different shape of some of the ideas out of which Buddhism sprung but in its later history it is connected with Brahmanism rather than Buddhism When it is set forth in Sûtras in a succinct and isolated form, its divergence from ordinary Brahmanic thought is striking and in this form it does not seem to have ever been influential and now is professed by only a few Pandits, but, when combined in a literary and eclectic spirit with other ideas which may be incompatible with it in strict logic, it has been a mighty influence in Indian religion, orthodox as well as unorthodox Such conceptions as Prakriti and the Gunas colour most of the post-Vedic religious literature Their workin, may be plainly traced in the Mahabharata, Manu and the Puranass, and the Tantras identify with Prakriti the goddesses whose worship they teach. The unethical character of the Sankhya enabled it to form the strangest alliances with aboriginal beliefs

2 I find it hard to accept Deussen's view (Philosophy of the Upanishads, chap x)

that the Sankhya has grown out of the Vedanta

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Jacob, J A O S Dec 1910, p 24 But if Vasubandhu lived about 280-360, as is now generally believed, allumons to the Yogacara school in the Yoga satras do not oblige us to place the satras much later than 300 A D since the Yogacara was founded by Asanga, the brother of Vasubandhu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See eg Vishnu Purana, I chaps 2, 4, 5 The Bhagavad gitä, though almost the New Testament of Vedantists, uses the words Sankhya and Yoga in several passages as meaning speculative truth and the religious life and is concerned to show that they are the same See ii 39, iii. 3, v 4, 5

3

Unlike the Sånkhya, the Vedanta is seen in its most influential and perhaps most advantageous aspect when stated in its most abstract form. We need not enquire into its place of origin for it is clearly the final intellectual product of the schools which produced the Upanishads and the literature which preceded them, and though it may be difficult to say at what point we are justified in applying the name Vedanta to growing Brahmanic thought, the growth is continuous. The name means simply End of the Veda. In its ideas the Vedanta shows great breadth and freedom, yet it respects the prejudices and proprieties of Brahmanism. It teaches that God is all things, but interdicts this knowledge to the lower castes it treats rites as a merely preliminary discipline, but it does not deny their value for certain states of life.

The Vedânta is the boldest and the most characteristic form of Indian thought. For Asia, and perhaps for the world at large, Buddhism is more important but on Indian soil it has been vanquished by the Vedânta, especially that form of it known as the Advaita. In all ages the main idea of this philosophy has been the same and may be summed up in the formula that the soul is God and that God is everything. If this formula is not completely accurate—and a sentence which both translates and epitomizes alien metaphysics can hardly aspire to complete accuracy—the error lies in the fact to which I have called attention elsewhere that our words, God and soul, do not cover quite the same ground as the Indian words which they are used to translate.

Many scholars, both Indian and European, will demur to the high place here assigned to the Advaita philosophy I am far from claiming that the doctrine of Sankara is either primitive or unchallenged. Other forms of the Vedânta existed before him and became very strong after him But so far as a synthesis of opinions which are divergent in details can be just, he gives a just synthesis and elaboration of the Upanishads It is true that his teaching as to the higher and lower Brahman and as to Mâyâ has affinities to Mahayanist Buddhism, and that later sects were

VOL. II

It is perhaps hardly necessary to add that there has been endless discussion as to the sense and manner in which the soul is God.

repelled by the severe and impersonal character of his philosophy, but the doctrine of which he is the most thorough and eminent exponent, namely that God or spirit is the only reality and one with the human soul, asserts itself in almost all Hindu sects, even though their other doctrines may seem to contradict it.

This line of thought is so persistent and has so many ramifications, that it is hard to say what is and what is not Vedânta. If we take literature as our best guide we may distinguish four points of importance marked by the Upanishads,

the Brahma-Sûtras, Sankara and Râmânuja.

I have said something elsewhere of the Upanishads These works do not profess to form a systematic whole (though later Hinduism regards them as such) and when European scholars speak of them collectively, they generally mean the older members of the collection. These may justly be regarded as the ancestors of the Vedânta, inasmuch as the tone of thought prevalent in them is incipient Vedantism. It rejects dualism and regards the universe as a unity not as plurality, as something which has issued from Brahman or is pervaded by Brahman and in any case depends on Brahman for its significance and existence Brahman is God in the pantheistic sense, totally disconnected with mythology and in most passages impersonal. The knowledge of Brahman is salvation he who has it, goes to Brahman or becomes Brahman. More rarely we find statements of absolute identity such as "Being Brahman, he goes to Brahman1." But though the Upanishads say that the soul goes to or is Brahman, that the world comes from or is Brahman, that the soul is the whole universe and that a knowledge of these truths is the one thing of importance, these ideas are not combined into a system. They are simply the thoughts of the wise, not always agreeing in detail, and presented as independent utterances, each with its own value

One of the most important of these wise men is Yâjñavalkya, the hero of the Brihad Âranyaka Upanishad and a great name, to whom are ascribed doctrines of which he probably never heard The Upanishad represents him as developing and completing the views of Sândilya and Uddâlaka Ârini The former taughts that the Âtman or Self within the heart, smaller than

<sup>2</sup> Brihad Aran IV 4 6, sb I IV 10 "I am Brahman"

<sup>\*</sup> See above Book II. chaps V and VI Chand Up III 14

a grain of mustard seed, is also greater than all worlds. The brief exposition of his doctrine which we possess starts from and emphasizes the human self. This self is Brahman. The doctrine of Uddålaka1 takes the other side of the equation, he starts with Brahman and then asserts that Brahman is the soul. But though he teaches that in the beginning there was one only without a second, yet he seems to regard the subsequent products of this Being as external to it and permeated by it But to Yŝiñavalkva is ascribed an important modification of these doctrines, namely, that the Atman is unknowable and transcendental<sup>2</sup> It is unknowable because since it is essentially the knowing subject it can be known only by itself it can never become the object of knowledge and language is inadequate to describe it All that can be said of it is neti, neti, that is no, no it is not anything which we try to predicate of it. But he who knows that the individual soul is the Atman, becomes Atman; being it, he knows it and knows all the world, he perceives that m all the world there is no plurality Here the later doctrine of Mava is adumbrated, though not formulated Any system which holds that in reality there is no plurality or, like some forms of Mahayanist Buddhism, that nothing really exists implies the operation of this Mâyâ or illusion which makes us see the world as it appears to us. It may be thought of as mere ignorance, as a failure to see the universe as it really is but no doubt the later view of Mâyâ as a creative energy which fashions the world of phenomena is closely connected with the half-mythological conceptions found in the Pancaratra and Saiva philosophy which regard this creative illusion as a female force—a goddess in fact-inseparably associated with the deity.

The philosophy of the Upanishads, like all religious thought in India, is avowedly a quest of happiness and this happiness is found in some form of union with Brahman. He is perfect bliss, and whatever is distinct from him is full of suffering. But this sense of the suffering inherent in existence is less marked in the older Upanishads and in the Vedânta than in Buddhism and the Sânkhya Those systems make it their basis and first principle in the Vedânta the temperament is the same

1 Chând. Up VI.

See Deussen, Philosophy of the Upanishads.
 Ato'nyad ârtam Bribad Âr m several times.

but the emphasis and direction of the thought are different The Sankhya looks at the world and says that salvation hes in escape into something which has nothing in common with it But the Vedântist looks towards Brahman, and his pessimism is merely the feeling that everything which is not wholly and really Brahman is unsatisfactory. In the later developments of the system, pessimism almost disappears, for the existence of suffering is not the first Truth but an illusion the soul, did it but know it, is Brahman and Brahman is bliss. So far as the Vedânta has any definite practical teaching, it does not wholly despise action Action is indeed inferior to knowledge and when knowledge is once obtained works are useless accessories, but the four stages of a Brahman's career, including household life, are approved in the Vedanta Sutras, though there is a disposition to say that he who has the necessary religious aptitudes can adopt the ascetic life at any time. The occupations of this ascetic life are meditation and absorption or samadhi, the state in which the meditating soul becomes so completely blended with God on whom it meditates, that it has no consciousness of its separate existence1

As indicated above the so-called books of Sruti or Vedic literature are not consecutive treatises, but rather responsa prudentium, utterances respecting ritual and theology ascribed to poets, sacrificers and philosophers who were accepted as authorities When these works came to be regarded as an orderly revelation, even orthodoxy could not shut its eyes to their divergences, and a comprehensive exegesis became necessary to give a conspectus of the whole body of truth This investigation of the meaning of the Veda as a connected whole is called Mîmâmsā, and is divided into two branches, the earlier (pûrva) and the later (uttara) The first is represented by the Pûrvamîmâmsâ-sûtras of Jaimini<sup>2</sup> which are called earlier (pûrva) not in the chronological sense but because they deal with rites which come before knowledge, as a preparatory stage It is interesting to find that Jaimini was accused of atheism and defended by Kumarıla Bhatta The defence is probably just, for Jaimini does

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Maitrâyana Brâh Upanishad, vr 20 "Having seen his own self as The Self he becomes selfess, and because he is selfless he is without limit, without cause, absorbed in thought"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> There is nothing to fix the date of this work except that Kumårila in commenting on it in the eighth century treats it as old and authoritative. It was perhaps composed in the early Gupta period

not so much deny God as ignore him. But what is truly extraordinary, though characteristic of much Indian literature about ritual, is that a work dealing with the general theory of religious worship should treat the deity as an irrelevant topic. The Pûrva-mîmâmsa discusses ceremonies prescribed by an eternal self-existing Veda. The reward of sacrifice is not given by God. When the result of an act does not appear at once, Jaimmi teaches that there is all the same produced a supersensuous principle called apûrva, which bears fruit at a later time, and thus a sacrifice leads the offerer to heaven. This theory is really tantamount to placing magic on a philosophic basis.

Bådaråyana's sûtras, which represent the other branch of the Mimamsa, show a type of thought more advanced and profound than Jaimini's They consist of 555 aphorisms—less than a fifth of Jamuni's voluminous work—and represent the outcome of considerable discussion posterior to the Upanishads, for they cite the opinions of seven other teachers and also refer to Bådaråyana himself by name. Hence they may be a compendium of his teaching made by his pupils Their date is unknown but Sankara evidently regards them as ancient and there were several commentators before him1. Like most sûtras these aphorisms are often obscure and are hardly intended to be more than a mnemotechnic summary of the doctrine, to be supplemented by oral instruction or a commentary. Hence it is difficult to define the teaching of Badarayana as distinguished from that of the Upanishads on the one hand, and that of his commentators on the other, or to say exactly what stage he marks in the development of thought, except that it is the stage of attempted synthesis He teaches that Brahman is the origin of the world and that with him should all knowledge, religion and effort be concerned By meditation on him, the soul is released and somehow associated with him But it is not clear that we have any warrant for finding in the sûtras (as does Sankara) the distinction between the higher and lower Brahman, or the doctrine of the unreality of the world (Mâyâ) or the absolute identity of the individual soul with Brahman. We are

Such attempts must have begun early. The Mattrayana Upanishad (ii. 3) talks of Sarvopanishadvidya, the science of all the Upanishads.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Keith m J R A S. 1907, p 492 says it is becoming more and more probable that Badarayana cannot be dated after the Christian era Jacobi m J A O S 1911, p 29 concludes that the Brahma satras were composed between 200 and 450 A D

be remembered that its meaning is not so much that the world and individual existences are illusory in the strict sense of the word, as phenomenal. The only true reality is self-conscious thought without an object. When the mind attains to that, it ceases to be human and individual: it is Brahman But whenever it thinks of particular objects neither the thoughts nor the objects of the thoughts are real in the same sense They are appearances, phenomena This universe of phenomena includes not only all our emotions and all our perceptions of the external world, but also what might be supposed to be the deepest truths of religion, such as the personality of the Creator and the wanderings of the soul in the maze of transmigration. In the same sense that we suffer pain and pleasure, it is true that there is a personal God (Îśvara) who emits and reabsorbs the world at regular intervals, and that the soul is a limited existence passing from body to body. In this sense the soul, as in the Sankhya philosophy, is surrounded by the upddhis, certain limiting conditions or disguises, which form a permanent psychical equipment with which it remains invested in all its innumerable bodies. But though these doctrines may be true for those who are in the world, for those souls who are agents, enjoyers and sufferers, they cease to be true for the soul which takes the path of knowledge and sees its own identity with Brahman. It is by this means only that emancipation is attained, for good works bring a reward in kind, and hence inevitably lead to new embodiments, new creations of Mâyâ And even in knowledge we must distinguish between the knowledge of the lower Brahman or personal Derty (Isvara) and of the higher indescribable Brahman1. For the orthodox Hindu this distinc-

who in many ways approximates to Indian thought, both Buddhist and Vedantist He makes a distinction between the Godhead and God The Godhead is the revealer but unrevealed it is described as "wordless" (Yājnavalkya's neit, neit), "the nameless nothing," "the immoveable rest" But God is the manifestation of the Godhead, the uttered word "All that is in the Godhead is one Therefore we can say nothing "He is above all names, above all nature God works, so doeth not the Godhead "Therein are they distinguished, in working and in not working The end of all "things is the hidden darkness of the eternal Godhead, unknown and never to be "Lnown" (Quoted by Rufus Jones, Studies in Mystical Religion, p 225) It may be doubted if Sankara's distinction between the Higher and Lower Brahman is to be found in the Upanishads but it is probably the best means of harmonizing the discrepancies in those works which Indian theologians feel bound to explain away

is no worse than many other explanations of the scheme of things and the origin of evil but it is not really an explanation. It means that the Advaita is so engrossed in ecstatic contemplation of the omnipresent Brahman that it pays no attention to a mere by-product like the physical universe. How or why that universe with all its imperfections comes to exist, it does not explain.

Yet the boldness and ample sweep of Sankara's thought have in them something greater than logic1, something recalling the grandeur of plains and seas limited only by the horizon, nay rather those abysses of space wherein on clear nights worlds and suns innumerable are scattered like sparks by what he would call God's playfulness. European thought attains to these altitudes but cannot live in them for long: it demands and fancies for itself just what Sankara will not grant, the motive of Brahman, the idea that he is working for some consummation, not that he was, is and will be eternally complete, unaffected by the drama of the universe and yet identical with souls that know him

Even in India the austere and impersonal character of Sankara's system provoked dissent: He was accused of being a Buddhist in disguise and the accusation raises an interesting question<sup>2</sup> in the history of Indian philosophy to which I have referred in a previous chapter. The affinity existing between the Mådhyamika form of Buddhist metaphysics and the earlier Vedanta can hardly be disputed and the only question is which borrowed from the other. Such questions are exceedingly difficult to decide, for from time to time new ideas arose in India, permeated the common intellectual atmosphere, and were worked up by all sects into the forms that suited each best. In the present instance all that can be said is that certain ideas about the unreality of the world and about absolute and relative

m its controversal parts, yet he holds that the knowledge of Brahman depends not on reasoning but on sompture and intuition. "The presentation before the mind of the Highest Self is effected by meditation and devotion." Brah. Sut. III. 2. 24. See too his comments on I. 1. 2 and II. 1 11

<sup>&</sup>quot;the whole phenomenon of plurality which springs from wrong knowledge being "sublated by perfect knowledge and what becomes then of the creation and the "faults of not doing what is beneficial and the like?" <sup>2</sup> Although Sankara's commentary is a piece of severe ratiocination, especially

See Sukhtankar, Teachings of Veddinia according to Ramanuja, pp. 17-19. Walleser, Der aeliere Veddnia, and De Is Valles Poussin in J.R.A S. 1910, p. 129

truth appear in several treatuses both Brahmanic and Buddhıst, such as the works of Sankara and Nâgârjuna and the Gaudapâdakârıkâs, and of these the works attributed to Nâgârjuna seem to be the oldest. It must also be remembered that according to Chinese accounts Bodhıdharma preached at Nanking in 520 a doctrine very similar to the advaita of Sankara though ex-

pressed in Buddhist phraseology

Of other forms of Vedântism, the best known is the system of Râmânuja generally called Visishtâdvaita¹ It is an evidence of the position held by the Vedânta philosophy that religious leaders made a commentary on the Sûtras of Bâdarâyana the vehicle of their most important views. Unlike Sankara, Râmânuja is sectarian and identifies his supreme deity with Vishnu or Nârâyana, but this is little more than a matter of nomenclature. His interpretation is modern in the sense that it pursues the line of thought which leads up to the modern sects. But that line of thought has ancient roots. Râmânuja followed a commentator named Bodhâyana who was anterior to Sankara, and in the opinion of so competent a judge as Thibaut he gives the meaning of Bâdarâyana in many points more exactly than his great rival. On the other hand his interpretation often strains the most important utterances of the Upanishads

Râmânuja admits no distinction between Brahman and Iśvara, but the distinction is abolished at the expense of abolishing the idea of the Higher Brahman, for his Brahman is practically the Iśvara of Sankara Brahman is not without attributes but possessed of all imaginable good attributes, and though nothing exists apart from him, like the antithesis of purusha and prakriti in the Sânkhya, yet the world is not as in Sankara's system merely Mâyâ Matter and souls (cit and acit) form the body of Brahman who both comprises and pervades

I This term is generally rendered by qualified, that is not absolute, Monsim But South Indian scholars give a slightly different explanation and maintain that it is equivalent to Visishingor advantam or the identity of the two qualified (visishingor advantam or the identity of the two qualified (visishingor) and matter, which stand to him in the relation of attributes. The two conditions are Kinykushin or period of cosmic manifestation in which of and acri are manifest and Karané vasihé or period of cosmic dissolution, when they exist only in a subtle state within Brahman. These two conditions are not different (advantam). See Sinnivas Iyengar, J.R. A. S. 1912, p. 1073 and also Sin Ramanugharya. His Philosophy by Rajagopa lachsryar.

all things, which are merely modes of his existence1. He is the unner ruler (antaryâmin) who is in all elements and all human souls2. The texts which speak of Brahman as being one only without a second are explained as referring to the state of pralaya or absorption which occurs at the end of each Kalpa. At the conclusion of the period of pralaya he re-emits the world and individual souls by an act of volition and the souls begin the round of transmigration Salvation or release from this round is obtained not by good works but by knowledge and meditation on the Lord assisted by his grace The released soul is not identified with the Lord but enjoys near him a personal existence of eternal bliss and peace. This is more like European theism than the other doctrines which we have been considering. The difference is that God is not regarded as the creator of matter and souls. Matter and souls consist of his substance. But for all that he is a personal deity who can be loved and worshipped and whereas Sankara was a religious philosopher, Râmânuja was rather a philosophic theologian and founder of a church I have already spoken of his activity in this sphere.

4

The epics and Puranas contain philosophical discussions of considerable length which make little attempt at consistency. Yet the line of thought in them all is the same. The chief tenets of the theistic Sankhya-Yoga are assumed matter, soul and God are separate existences the soul wishes to move towards God and away from matter. Yet when Indian writers glorify the deity they rarely abstain from identifying him with the universe In the Bhagavad-gita and other philosophical cantos of the Mahabharata the contradiction is usually left without an attempt at solution. Thus it is stated categorically that the world consists of the perishable and imperishable, i.e., matter and soul, but that the supreme spirit is distinct from both.

3 Bhag -gitâ, xv. 16, 17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Compare the phrase of Keats m a letter quoted by Bosanquet, Gifford Lectures for 1912, p 66 "As vanous as the lives of men are, so vanous become their souls and thus does God make individual beings, souls, identical souls of the sparks of his own essence"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This tenet is justified by Brihad Aran. Up in: 3 ff which is a great text for Rămânuja'e school. "He who dwells in the earth (water, etc.) and within the earth (or, is different from the earth) whom the earth knows not, whose body the earth is, who rules the earth within, he is thyself, the ruler within, the immortal."

Yet in the same poem we pass from this antithesis to the monism which declares that the deity is all things and "the self seated in the heart of man" We have then attained the Vedantist point of view Nearly all the modern sects, whether Sivarte or Vishnuite, admit the same contradiction into their teaching, for they reject both the atheism of the Sankhya and the immaterialism of the Advaita (since it is impossible for a practical religion to deny the existence of either God or the world), while the irresistable tendency of Indian thought makes them describe their deity in pantheistic language. All strive to find some metaphysical or theological formula which will reconcile these discrepant ideas, and nearly all Vishnuites profess some special variety of the Vedânta called by such names as Visishtådvaita, Dvaitadvaita, Suddhadvaita and so on. They differ chiefly in their definition of the relation existing between the soul and God Only the Madhvas entirely discard monism and profess duality (Dvarta) and even Madhva thought it necessary to write a commentary on the Brahma-sûtras to prove that they support his doctrine and the Sivartes too have a commentator, Nilakantha, who interprets them in harmony with the Saiva Siddhanta. There is also a modern commentary by Somanaradittyar which expounds this much twisted text agreeably to the doctrines of the Lingavat sect

In most fundamental principles the Sivaite and Saktist schools agree with the Visishtadvaita but their nomenclature is different and their scope is theological rather than philosophical. In all of them are felt the two tendencies, one wishing to distinguish God, soul and matter and to adjust their relations for the purposes of practical religion, the other holding more or less that God is all or at least that all things come from God and return to him But there is one difference between the schools of sectarian philosophy and the Advaita of Sankara which goes to the root of the matter Sankars holds that the world and individual existences are due to illusion, ignorance and misconception they vanish in the light of true knowledge. Other schools, while agreeing that in some sense God is all, yet hold that the universe is not an illusion or false presentment of him but a process of manifestation or of evolution starting from him1. It is not precisely evolution in the European sense, but rather

<sup>1</sup> The two doctrines are called Vivariardda and Parindmardda

a rhythmic movement, of duration and extent inexpressible in figures, in which the Supreme Spirit alternately emits and reabsorbs the universe. As a rule the higher religious life aims at some form of union or close association with the derty, beyond the sphere of this process. In the evolutionary process the Vaishnavas interpolate between the Supreme Spirit and the phenomenal world the phases of conditioned spirit known as Sankarshana, etc; in the same way the Sivarte schools increase the twenty-four tatteas of the Sankhya to thirty-six1. The first of these tattvas or principles is Sive, corresponding to the highest Brahman The next phase is Sadásiva in which differentiation commences owing to the movement of Sakti, the active or female principle. Siva in this phase is thought of as having a body composed of mantras Sakti, also known as Bindu or Suddhamâyâ, is sometimes regarded as a separate tativa but more generally as inseparably united with Siva. The third tattva is lévara, or Siva in the form of a lord or personal deity, and the fourth is Suddhavidya or true knowledge, explained as the principle of correlation between the experiencer and that which is experienced. It is only after these that we come to Maya, meaning not so much illusion as the substratum in which Karma mheres or the protoplasm from which all things grow. Between Maya and Purusha come five more tativas, called envelopes. Their effect is to enclose and limit, thus turning the divine spirit into a human soul

Såktist accounts of the evolutionary process give greater prominence to the part played by Sakti and are usually metaphysiological, if the word may be pardoned, inasmuch as they regard the cosmic process as the growth of an embryo, an idea which is as old as the Vedas<sup>2</sup> It is impossible to describe even in outline these manifold cosmologies but they generally speak of Sakti, who in one sense is identical with Siva and merely his active form but in another sense is identified with Prakriti, coming into contact with the form of Siva called Prakåsa or light and then solidifying into a drop (Bindu) or germ which divides At some point in this process arise Nåda or sound, and

<sup>1</sup> These are only the more subtle taities. There are also 60 gross ones. See for the whole subject Schomerus Der Çava Siddhānta, p 129.

It also finds expression in myths about the division of the deity into male and female halves, the cosmic egg, etc., which are found in all strate of Indian

Sabda-brahman, the sound-Brahman, which manifests itself in various energies and assumes in the human body the form of the mysterious coiled force called Kundalmf<sup>1</sup>. Some of the older Vishnuite writings use similar language of Sakti, under the name of Lakshmi, but in the Visishtadyaita of Ramanuja and subsequent teachers there is little disposition to dwell on any feminine

energy in discussing the process of evolution

Of all the Darsanas the most extraordinary is that called Rasesvara or the mercurial system<sup>2</sup> According to it quicksilver, if eaten or otherwise applied, not only preserves the body from decay but delivers from transmigration the soul which inhabits this glorified body Quicksilver is even asserted to be identical with the supreme self This curious Darsana is represented as revealed by Siva to Sakti and it is only an extreme example of the tantric doctrine that spiritual results can be obtained by physical means The practice of taking mercury to secure health and long life must have been prevalent in medieval India for it is mentioned by both Marco Polo and Berniers

5

A people among whom the Vedanta could obtain a large following must have been prone to think little of the things which we see compared with the unseen of which they are the manifestation It is, therefore, not surprising if materialism met with small sympathy or success among them In India the extravagances of asceticism and of mystic sensualism alike find devotees, but the simple philosophy of Let us eat and drink for to-morrow we die, does not commend itself. Nevertheless it is not wholly absent and was known as the doctrine of Brihaspati. Those who professed it were also called Carvakas and Lokavatikas4 Brihaspati was the preceptor of the gods and his

Sarva daréana sangraha, chap IX For this doctrine in China see Wieger Histoire des Croyances religieuses en Chine, p 411

See Yule's Marco Polo, II pp 365, 369

I An account of tantric cosmology can be found in Avalon, Mahan Tantra, pp xix-xxxi See also Avalon, Prapanensara Tantra, pp. 5 ff . Srmivasa Lyengar, Indian Philosophy, pp 143 and 295 ff , Bhandarkar, Varehn and Sainem, pp 145 ff

<sup>4</sup> See Rhya Davids' note in his Dialogues of the Buddha on Digha Nilaya, Sutta v pp 166 ff He seems to show that Loksysta meant originally natural philosophy as a part of a Brahman's education and only gradually acquired a bad meaning. The Arthasastra also recommends the Sankhya, Yoga and Lokaysta **systems** 

connection with this sensualistic philosophy goes back to a legend found in the Upanishads1 that he taught the demons false knowledge whose "reward lasts only as long as the pleasure lasts" in order to compass their destruction. This is similar to the legend found in the Puranas that Vishnu became incarnate as Buddha in order to lead astray the Daityas. But though such words as Cârvâka and Nâstika are used in later literature as terms of learned abuse, the former seems to denote a definite school, although we cannot connect its history with dates, places or personalities The Cârvâkas are the first system examined in the Sarva-darsana-sangraha, which is written from the Vedântist standpoint, and beginning from the worst systems of philosophy ascends to those which are relatively correct. This account contains most of what we know about their doctrines2, but is obviously biassed it represents them as cynical voluptuaries holding that the only end of man is sensual enjoyment. We are told that they admitted only one source of knowledge, namely perception, and four elements, earth, water, fire and air, and that they held the soul to be identical with the body. Such a phrase as my body they considered to be metaphorical, as apart from the body there was no ego who owned it The soul was supposed to be a physical product of the four elements. just as sugar combined with a ferment and other ingredients produces an intoxicating liquor. Among verses described as "said by Brihaspati" occur the following remarkable lines:

"There is no heaven, no liberation, nor any soul in another world, Nor do the acts of the asramas or castes produce any reward. If the animal slain in the Jyotishtoma sacrifice will go to heaven, Why does not the sacrificer immolate his own father? While life remains let a man live happily let him feed on butter even if he runs into debt

When once the body becomes ashes, how can it ever return?"

The author of the Dabistan, who hved in the seventeenth century, also mentions the Cârvâkas in somewhat similar terms<sup>3</sup>.

Brahmanical authors often couple the Carvakas and Buddhists This lumping together of offensively heretical sects may

Martr Up viz 8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See also Suah in *Muséon*, 1908, pp 277 ff and the article Materialism (Indian) in ERE For another instance of ancient materialism see the views of Payan set forth m Dig Nik xxm The Brihad Ar Up mt. 2 13 implies that the idea of body and spirit being disintegrated at death was known though perhaps not relished.

Translation by Shea and Troyer, vol 11. pp 201-2

be merely theological animus, but still it is possible that there may be a connection between the Carvakas and the extreme forms of Mahayanist mhilism. Schrader<sup>1</sup> in analysing a singular work, called the Svasamvedyopanishad, says it is "inspired by the Mahavanist doctrine of vacuity (sûnya-vâda) and proclaims a most radical agnosticism by asserting in four chapters (a) that there is no reincarnation (existence being bubble-like), no God, no world that all traditional literature (Sruti and Smits) is the work of concerted fools. (b) that Time the destroyer and Nature the originator are the rulers of all existence and not good and bad deeds, and that there is neither hell nor heaven; (c) that people deluded by flowery speech cling to gods, sacred places, teachers, though there is in reality no difference at all between Vishnu and a dog; (d) that though all words are untrue and all ideas mere illusions, yet liberation is possible by a thorough realization of Bhavadvasta." But for this rather sudden concession to Hindu sentiment, namely that deliverance is possible, this doctrine resembles the tenets attributed to the Carvakas

<sup>1</sup> Sanskrit Manuscripts in the Adyar Inbrary, 1908, pp 800-1

